Carbon nanotubes as catalysts for wet peroxide oxidation: The effect of surface chemistry
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\begin{abstract}
Three magnetic carbon nanotube (CNT) samples, named A30 (N-doped), E30 (undoped) and E10A20 (selectively N-doped), synthesized by catalytic chemical vapor deposition, were modified by introducing oxygenated surface groups (oxidation with HNO\textsubscript{3}, samples CNT-N), and by heat treatment at 800 °C for the removal of surface functionalities (samples CNT-HT). Both treatments lead to higher specific surface areas. The acid treatment results in more acidic surfaces, with higher amounts of oxygenated species being introduced on N-doped surfaces. Heat-treated samples are less hydrophilic than those treated with nitric acid, heat treatment leading to neutral or basic surfaces, only N-quaternary and N-pyridinic species being found by XPS on N-doped surfaces. These materials were tested in the catalytic wet peroxide oxidation (CWPO) of highly concentrated 4-nitrophenol solutions (4-NP, 5 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) at atmospheric pressure, \(T = 50^\circ\text{C}\) and pH = 3, using a catalyst load of 2.5 g L\textsuperscript{-1} and the stoichiometric amount of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} needed for the complete mineralization of 4-NP. The high temperature treatment enhanced significantly the activity of the CNTs towards CWPO, evaluated in terms of 4-NP and total organic carbon conversion, due to the increased hydrophobicity of their surface. In particular, E30HT and E10A20HT were able to remove ca. 100% of 4-NP after 8 h of operation. On the other hand, by treating the CNTs with HNO\textsubscript{3}, the activity of the less hydrophilic samples decreased upon increasing the concentration of surface oxygen-containing functionalities, whilst the reactivity generated inside the opened nanotubes improved the activity of the highly hydrophilic A30 N.

\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

Carbon materials, such as activated carbon, carbon gels, graphite, graphene, and carbon nanofibers and nanotubes (CNTs), have been extensively used in different heterogeneous catalytic processes [1–5]. Among them, CNTs are particularly suitable for liquid-phase reactions (as catalysts or catalyst supports) in different environmental processes, owing to their particular mechanical, electronic, and thermal properties [6,7]. CNTs can be synthesized by different procedures, such as arc discharge [8], laser ablation [9], and plasma torch [10], but catalytic chemical vapor deposition (CVD) has been the most widely used method for their production, including at the industrial scale [11].

During catalytic CVD, a carbon-containing precursor (methylene, acetylene, ethylene, benzene, etc.) first decomposes on a metallic surface (Fe, Co, Ni), generally in the presence of hydrogen. Then, the carbon atoms diffuse on or in the metallic phase and precipitate to produce a CNT [1,12]. Due to the generally high synthesis temperatures and reductive synthesis atmosphere, the surface of these materials commonly presents low amounts of heteroatoms (e.g., O), rendering them mostly inert [13,14]. One way to increase their reactivity is to introduce surface groups through thermal, chemical, or physical treatments. This allows the nature and concentration of surface functional groups to be fine-tuned to specific applications [15]. For example, oxidation treatments with nitric acid, hydrogen peroxide, or ozone introduce oxygen-
containing surface groups such as carboxylic acids and anhydrides, lactones, phenols, carbonyls, and quinones, with different acidic/basic properties [16,17]. Ammonia, urea, and melamine [18,19] are commonly used for the incorporation of nitrogen-containing surface groups (e.g., ex situ at high temperatures), which are known to contribute to the basicity of CNTs [20,21]. Nevertheless, nitrogen atoms can also be introduced during the in situ CVD growth of CNTs, resulting in materials with new properties such as increased polarity and electrical conductivity, improved CNTs dispersion in liquid media, higher reactivity towards different molecules, and lower toxicity [22–26]. Moreover, it is known that, due to their electron donating capacity, the presence of N-groups on the CNT surface enhances their activity towards hydrogen peroxide decomposition into HO· radicals, the preliminary step for several catalytic processes [27,28]. The acidity of CNTs can also be increased by oxidation with sulfuric acid, due to the introduction of sulfonic acid and thiol groups [29–31]. In order to control the CNT surface chemistry, certain functional groups can be removed following a thermal treatment. Working at specific temperatures under inert atmosphere, it is possible to remove selectively different types of surface groups [17,32,33].

Catalytic wet peroxide oxidation (CWPO) uses H2O2 as a source of hydroxyl radicals (HO·) for the oxidation of organic species. This process has been successfully used for the treatment of aqueous effluents containing recalcitrant and non-biodegradable compounds from pharmaceutical and petrochemical industries, among others, under relatively mild operation conditions [34,35]. These types of compounds are difficult to remove by conventional biological processes, especially when present at high concentrations (1–10 g L−1). Among organic pollutants, phenol and its derivatives have received increasing attention in the last years due to their high toxicity. Some of the most toxic members of the phenol family are chloro- and nitro-phenols, commonly used as pesticides and antibacterials. The classical heterogeneous catalyts used in CWPO consist of an active phase, mainly Fe, supported on a porous material such as activated carbon, alumina, or zeolites. However, they usually suffer from severe deactivation due to leaching of the metallic phase into the reaction medium, with further steps being needed in the overall treatment process to remove or recover the metals from the treated wastewaters [36–39]. In addition, rather than attacking the pollutant molecules, hydroxyl radicals can also recombine, originating non-reactive species like H2O and O2 [25]. In order to overcome this limitation, the properties of the catalysts for CWPO can be improved through surface chemical modification. Basic functionalities enhance H2O2 decomposition. Basic oxygen-containing functionalities (e.g., chromene, pyrone and quinones) are electron donating species, promoting the decomposition of H2O2 to HO· [35,40–42]. Moreover, basic nitrogen-containing functionalities have been related with increased rates of H2O2 decomposition [27]. On the other hand, acidic oxygen-containing functionalities withdraw electrons from the catalyst surface, hindering their use in H2O2 attack. Therefore, the presence of acidic functionalities may limit the CWPO process [43,44].

Instead of supporting metallic particles on CNTs, in this work, a Fe/γ-Al2O3 catalyst has been used for the synthesis of N-doped and undoped CNTs by CVD, enabling the incorporation of Fe magnetic particles within the CNT structure. After inducing different surface properties to the synthesized CNTs by oxidation with nitric acid and thermal treatment at 800°C, the materials have been tested as catalysts for the CWPO of 4-nitrophenol (4-NP) solutions. High pollutant concentrations (5 g L−1) were used to simulate highly loaded wastewaters.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

4-NP (98 wt.%) was purchased from Acros Organics. H2O2 (30%, w/v), 1,4-benzoquinone (C6H4O2, 99.5 wt.%), catechol (C6H4O2, 98 wt.%) and 4-nitrocatechol (C6H4NO2, 98 wt.%) were obtained from Fluka. Formic acid (CH2O2, 98 wt.%), o-phenanthrolone (C12H9N2, 99 wt %) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 98 wt.%) were acquired from Panreac. Acetic acid (CH3COOH, glacial acetic acid), acetonitrile (CH3CN, HPLC grade, 99.99 wt.%), methanol (CH3OH, HPLC grade, 99.99 wt.%) and l-ascorbic acid (C6H8O6, 99 wt.%) were purchased from Fisher Chemical. Hydroquinone (C6H4O2, 99 wt.%), maleic acid (C4H4O4, 99 wt.%), manganic acid (C4H4O4, 99 wt.%), oxalic acid (C2H2O4, 99 wt.%), phenol (C6H5OH, 99 wt.%), iron(ii) chloride tetrahydrate (FeCl2·4H2O, 99 wt.%), hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37 wt.%), potassium nitrate (KNO3, 99 wt.%), titanium(iv) oxysulphate (TiO2 15 wt.% in dilute sulphuric acid, 99.99%) and sodium sulphite (Na2SO3, 98 wt.%), were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Sulphuric acid (H2SO4, 96–98 wt.%) and nitric acid (HNO3, 65 wt.%), were supplied from Riedel-de-Haén and ammonium acetate (CH3COONH4, 98 wt.%), was obtained from Pronalab. All chemicals were used as received without further purification. Distilled water was used throughout the work, except for mobile phase preparation, where ultrapure water was employed.

2.2. Synthesis and modification of carbon nanotubes

The original CNTs were synthesized by a CVD process in a fluidized bed reactor, as described elsewhere [24]. The CNTs were grown with a Fe/γ-Al2O3 (20 wt.% Fe) catalyst using ethylene as carbon source and acetonitrile as carbon/nitrogen source. The Fe/γ-Al2O3 catalyst was prepared by impregnation, using a FeCl3·4H2O solution, and activated by reduction with H2 at 650°C for 30 min, as described by Purceno et al. [24]. Three different samples were produced by feeding to the fluidized bed reactor: (i) ethylene alone for 30 min (sample E30); (ii) ethylene for 10 min, followed by acetonitrile for 20 min (E10A20), and (iii) acetonitrile alone for 30 min (A30). The synthesized CNTs were purified under reflux at 140°C, with an aqueous solution of H2SO4 (50 vol.%) for 3 h to facilitate the total dissolution of both the alumina and exposed Fe particles. Each sample then underwent different treatments (thermal and chemical), resulting in the production of six additional materials. The thermal treatment was carried out to remove surface functionalities; it consisted on heating the CNT samples under N2 atmosphere (100 cm3 min−1) at 120°C for 60 min, followed by heating at 400°C (60 min), 600°C (60 min) and 800°C (240 min), in a vertical furnace (2°C min−1 heating ramp between each temperature). On the other hand, the chemical treatment consisted in the oxidation of the original CNT samples with nitric acid to incorporate surface O-containing functionalities, adapting the experimental procedure described elsewhere [19]. A 20 L−1 mixture containing CNTs and nitric acid (7 mol L−1) was maintained at boiling temperature for 3 h in a 250 mL round-bottom flask. The obtained oxidized solids were thoroughly washed with distilled water until stabilization of the pH of the rinsing waters, and dried in an oven at 110°C for 24 h. The samples were named as the original CNT, (E30, E10A20, and A30), followed by “HT” for the heat-treated samples or “N” for the nitric acid-treated ones.

2.3. Characterization

The porous structure of the materials was characterized using N2 adsorption-desorption at −196°C (Tristar II 3020, Micromeritics). The samples were previously outgassed for 12h at 150°C at a residual pressure of 10−3 Torr (VacPrep 061, Micromeritics). The apparent surface areas (S BET) were calculated by the BET equation [45] and total pore volumes (V pore) were estimated using the BJH method [46].

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a JEOL 1011 microscope operating at 100 kV. Average CNT diameters were calculated from statistical distributions from TEM images. Elemental analyses were performed on a Vario MICRO Cube system (C, H, N and S), and on a rapid OXY cube analyser (O), from Elemental; C, H, N and S contents were determined by combustion of the sample at 1050°C, whereas O content was determined by pyrolysis at 1450°C.
The results correspond to the average of three independent measurements, using a daily calibration with a standard compound.

The chemical surface composition of the CNTs was analyzed by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS, Kratos AXIS Ultra HSA spectrometer), using Mg-Kα radiation (1486.7 eV). The elements present and their corresponding concentrations were determined by recording general XPS spectra, scanning up to a binding energy (BE) of 1300 eV. The Cls peak (284.6 eV) was taken as an internal standard to correct the shift in BE caused by sample charging. The BE of the Cls, N1s, O1s and Fe2p3/2 core levels and the full-width-at-half-maximum values were used to assess the chemical state of these elements at the catalyst surface, according to the NIST database.

The pH of the point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) was determined by pH drift tests adapting the procedure described elsewhere [48]. Briefly, five NaCl (0.01 M) solutions were prepared as electrolyte with varying initial pH (range 2–11, using HCl and NaOH 0.1 M solutions). 0.05 g of carbon sample was added to 20 mL of each NaCl solution. The equilibrium pH of each suspension was measured after 48 h under stirring (200 rpm) at room temperature. The pH_{pzc} value was determined by intercepting the curve 'final pH vs initial pH' with the straight line 'initial pH = final pH' [49].

The hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity of the CNTs (in the form of buckypapers) was determined by water contact angle measurements using an Attension optical tensiometer (model Theta) that allowed image acquisition and data analysis. The measurements were performed on dry buckypapers at room temperature using an Attension optical tensiometer (model Theta) that allowed image acquisition and data analysis. The measurements were performed on dry buckypapers at room temperature using the sessile drop method [50]. For each sample, the contact angle was measured using at least five different locations on the buckypapers in order to determine the average value.

The magnetism of the samples was assessed qualitatively using magnets.

2.4. 4-Nitrophenol removal experiments

The CWPO runs were carried out in a batch reaction system described elsewhere [25], consisting of a 250 mL, magnetically stirred (600 rpm), glass reactor immersed in an oil bath with temperature control, equipped with a reflux condenser and a sample collection port. In a typical experiment, the reactor was loaded with 50 mL of a highly concentrated 4-NP aqueous solution (5 g L\(^{-1}\)) and heated to 50 °C. The pH was adjusted to 3.0 using H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and NaOH 0.1 M solutions, and the stoichiometric concentration of H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Necessary to minimize completely the 4-NP, was added to the system. The reaction started with the addition of 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\) of catalyst. During the experiment, samples of the resulting effluent were collected at different reaction times and prepared for analysis, as described in subsection 2.5. A blank experiment, i.e. without catalyst, was carried out to assess possible non-catalytic oxidation reactions promoted by H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The adsorption capacity of the catalysts was evaluated by means of pure adsorption experiments using distilled water instead of H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The experiments were performed in triplicate, and the standard deviation was lower than 5% in all cases.

2.5. Analytical methods

4-NP and the aromatic intermediates were identified and quantified as described elsewhere [25], using a Jasco HPLC system equipped with an UV-VIS detector (UV-2075 Plus), a quaternary gradient pump (PU-2089 Plus) for solvent delivery and a Kromasil 100-5-C18 column (15 cm × 4.6 mm; 5 μm particle size) working at room temperature. Briefly, small aliquots were periodically withdrawn from the reactor, typically at 0, 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, 240, 480, and 1440 min. In order to stop the reaction, an excess of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) was immediately added to consume the residual H\(_2\)O\(_2\) [51,52] and the catalyst was removed by filtration. The mobile phase (1 mL min\(^{-1}\)) consisted of an isotropic method of an A:B (40:60) mixture of 3% acetic acid and 1% acetonitrile in methanol (A) and 3% acetic acid in ultrapure water (B). The absorbance wavelength was adjusted to 318 nm for the determination of 4-NP, and to 277 nm for the aromatic intermediates. The concentration of carboxylic acids was monitored using a Jasco HPLC system fitted with an YMC - Triart C18 column (25 cm × 4.6 mm; 5 μm particle size) [25]. The mobile phase (0.6 mL min\(^{-1}\)) consisted of an isotropic method of an A:B (95:5) mixture of 1% sulphuric acid in ultrapure water (A) and acetonitrile (B). The UV/VIS detector was set to 210 nm. The TOC content was determined using a Shimadzu TOC-L analyzer. Additionally, two colorimetric methods were used to determine the concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and Fe in solution by means of UV/VIS spectrophotometry (T70 spectrometer, PG Instruments Ltd.). For the determination of H\(_2\)O\(_2\), a filtered sample was acidified with a H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) 0.5 M solution. 0.1 mL of titanium oxysulfate was added, and the absorbance was measured at 405 nm [53]. The concentration of Fe species was determined by the o-phenanthroline method, according to ISO 6332 [54], using ascorbic acid as reducing agent, and measuring the absorbance at 510 nm.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Carbon nanotubes characterization

The N\(_2\) adsorption-desorption isotherms of the CNTs (Fig. 1) can be classified as type II according to the IUPAC classification, which are typical of non-porous materials. The hysteresis loop present at high P/P\(_0\) values is associated with capillary condensation occurring in large mesopores, as the spaces between agglomerated CNTs [55]. The S\(_{BET}\) and V\(_{pore}\) values are summarized in Table 1. As previously reported [25], the original E30 material consists of very regular multi-walled CNTs, the N-doped A30 is characterized by a "bamboo-like" structure, and the selectively N-doped E10A20 contains sections of both doped and undoped structures (Fig. S1, Supplementary Material). Both treatments (thermal treatment under inert atmosphere, and oxidation with HNO\(_3\)) lead to higher S\(_{BET}\) values, which could be related to CNT endpoints opening during the treatments, and a thinning effect of the nanotube walls, as shown in the TEM images (Fig. 2). This effect is especially important for the E30 N sample, which presented the highest S\(_{BET}\) value. Since the other two types of CNTs present a partial (E10A20) or complete (A30) "bamboo-like" structure, the endpoints opening effect is less significant, with the increase in surface area being limited by the existence of small interconnected compartments on the N-doped sections.

TEM images (Fig. 2) show that the regular E30 nanotubes heat-treated at 800 °C (E30HT) present an average external diameter of ca. 9.6 nm, while those oxidized with nitric acid (E30 N) show a diameter of ca. 8.5 nm. Although these values are relatively similar, the smaller diameter of E30 N could indicate a thinning effect of the nanotube walls. In fact, at higher magnification, it was possible to confirm that some nanotubes were damaged by the nitric acid treatment (Fig. S2a, Supplementary Material), occasionally presenting small openings. This effect could be enhanced by existing defects located throughout the walls of the nanotube, which act as nucleation sites for the oxidation and corresponding sidewall etching. Hence, the textual properties, such as S\(_{BET}\), might be significantly impacted, since the access to the interior of some nanotubes would be possible. Interestingly, this could explain why S\(_{BET}\) of E30 increased from 275 to 652 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) after the nitric acid treatment. With regard to the nanotubes prepared entirely from acetonitrile (A30-series), a slightly larger external diameter than
that found for the undoped tubes is observed (12.6 nm for A30HT, and 11.7 nm for A30N). However, a similar thinning effect as that described before for the E30-series was observed again for the nanotubes that have undergone chemical treatment. Finally, although to a smaller extent (compared to E30 and A30), E10A20N also presented a smaller external diameter (9.8 nm) than E10A20HT (10.5 nm), confirming a thinning effect from the nitric acid treatment of these materials. It should be noted that, when the nanotubes were initially grown from ethylene (E10A20), the diameter of the N-doped portion was smaller than that observed for the sample grown from only acetonitrile (A30), indicating that these hybrid materials contain two different sections in the same CNT [31] (highlighted in Fig. S2b in Supplementary Material), as opposed to an independent and separate growth of each section. The TEM images also show the presence of encapsulated metal nanoparticles. They are formed during the catalytic CVD synthesis by in situ reduction of the iron oxide catalyst at high temperature, and remain in the CNT structure even after the purification step with 50 vol.% H2SO4, conferring magnetic properties to these materials (shown in Fig. S3 in Supplementary Material).

The elemental composition (wt.%) of the synthesized CNTs is given in Table 1. All samples are mainly composed of carbon, the hydrogen contents being negligible in all cases. Compared to E30-series, there is a decrease in carbon content for the N-doped materials (E10A20 and A30-series), with a corresponding increase of the nitrogen content. Nitrogen and oxygen contents decreased upon heating the CNTs at 800 °C, due to the removal of surface functional groups. On the other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>H (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>O (%)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>SBET (±5) (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Vpore (±0.01) (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E30</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>275*</td>
<td>1.92*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E30HT</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E30N</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>214*</td>
<td>1.49*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20HT</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20N</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>212*</td>
<td>1.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30HT</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30N</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data from [25].
hand, the oxygen content increased significantly after the nitric acid treatment, due to the incorporation of oxygenated surface groups. The remaining material consisted mainly of Fe particles from the Fe/Al2O3 catalyst used in the synthesis of the CNTs, as observed by TEM, which decreases after the modifications, especially after the nitric acid treatment of the N-doped materials.

From the XPS results (Table 2), it can be observed that the surface carbon content of the different CNTs is higher than 95 at.%, with the exception of the A30 samples, which is lower due to the high concentration of N and O heteroatoms (ca. 93 and 88 at. % for the heat-treated and nitric acid-treated samples, respectively). Given that E30 is prepared from ethylene, there is no nitrogen present, and only a small concentration of oxygen is detected (0.7 at.%). Nevertheless, after the nitric acid functionalization, the concentration of both oxygen and nitrogen increased to 3.1 and 0.2 at.%, respectively. Regarding the other samples, the amount of oxygen-containing surface groups increased with the N content, affecting the type of surface functionalities. It has been shown that ex situ N-doping (i.e., high-temperature thermal treatment under e.g., ammonia) of carbon materials is significantly improved if its surface is functionalized with oxygen species [56]. Interestingly, in this study, we have observed that the oxidation step is much more effective when carried out on N-containing surfaces, based on the higher amount of oxygenated species introduced on A30 compared to E30 (3.1 at.% for E30N and 6.4 at.% for A30 N). Given that oxidation first occurs on highly reactive sites [16], the introduction of heteroatoms on the carbon surface is energetically more favorable on defects than on the pristine/undoped surface. This supports the concept that defect-containing surfaces are necessary to introduce high amounts of heteroatoms. Hence, N-containing species can be seen as defects, with theoretical calculations predicting a localization of the unpaired electrons around the nitrogen-defect in the hetero-nanotubes [57], changing the reactivity of the material. Comparing the heat-treated samples with those treated with nitric acid, the amount of nitrogen of the latter is higher. There are two main reasons for this behavior: (i) the nitric acid treatment introduces a small amount of N-containing species on the surface (e.g., 0 at.% for E30HT and 0.2 at.% for E30 N), and (ii) for the heat-treated samples, the treatment at 800°C removes part of the N-containing groups produced in situ during the CVD synthesis. Hence, only the more stable functionalities, such as N-quaternary and N-hydrides (between 350–600°C), phenols/ethers (500–800°C) and carboxylates (−COO−), and carboxylate (− COOR) groups [58]. On the other hand, the high-resolution O1s spectra of the CNTs were deconvoluted into three components namely, C–O (either in carbonyl or in carboxyl groups), C–O (assigned to oxygen in phenol/ether groups), and the last component that could correspond to N-oxides or chemisorbed water. Finally, deconvolution of the N1s region of the XPS spectra (Table 2) shows the presence of pyridinic-N (N6), pyrrolic-N (N5), quaternary-N (NQ), and N-oxides (N-ox) for the N-doped materials. As can be seen, no significant differences are observed when comparing the deconvoluted C1s and O1s peaks, with the exception of E30HT, which has no peak associated to N-oxides (this material has no N in its structure) and presents higher concentration of phenol/ether instead. Larger differences can be observed in the peaks deconvoluted from the N1s region.

The decomposition of the different groups on the CNT surface produced the TPD profiles shown in Fig. 3. According to the procedure described elsewhere [47,59], the peaks appearing in the CO2-TPD profiles can be assigned to carboxylic acids (peaks below 450°C), carboxylic anhydrides (between 350–600°C) and lactones (above 600°C), whilst the peaks in the CO-TPD profiles are related to carboxylic anhydrides (between 350–600°C), phenols/ethers (500–800°C) and carboxyl/quinones (850–950°C). The difference between the thermally treated and the oxidized samples is demonstrated by the profile shape for each group of materials, as well as the amount of CO and CO2 released during the analyses (Table 3). The incorporation of oxygenated surface groups during nitric acid treatment leads to the release of large amounts of CO and CO2, whilst the removal of surface functionalities during the thermal treatment results in much lower amounts of these gases. This effect is clearly observed by comparing the amounts of the different functional groups obtained from deconvolution of TPD profiles.
The surface oxygenated functionalities by TPD analyses, and pHPZC of the original and modified CNTs. (Table 3). The CNTs treated at 800 °C show a large signal related to the presence of carbonyl and/or quinone groups, but lower amounts of the other groups. In particular, carboxylic acids, anhydrides and lactones almost disappear in E30HT. The larger amounts of surface functional groups observed in A30HT are due to the larger concentrations present in the original A30 catalyst. On the other hand, the profiles of the samples treated with nitric acid show the presence of large amounts of carboxylic acids and anhydrides. With the exception of the carbonyl/quinone peak, which seems to be preferentially present on the undoped samples, all other surface groups are present in higher concentrations on the N-doped materials. Moreover, the sharp peaks observed at ~850 °C in the thermally treated samples can be attributed to the presence of Fe. These sharp peaks are not found in the oxidized samples, which should be ascribed to the increased surface content of oxygen and nitrogen after oxidation, as shown by XPS and TPD. It is noteworthy the low contact angle of A30HT (i.e., 18 ± 2°), which should be due to the 2.3 at.% OXPS and 4.1 at.% NXPS even after the thermal treatment at 800 °C. In general, the contact angles decreased as follows: E30 > E10A20 > A30, regardless of whether the samples were heat-treated or oxidized. Thus, the E30HT sample presented a moderate hydrophobicity, with the highest contact angle (i.e., 59 ± 2°), while the A30 N sample can be considered highly hydrophilic, with a contact angle as low as 9 ± 1°.

3.2. Catalytic performance in CWPO

In a previous study, the role of N-doping in CNTs used in the CWPO process was studied [25]. The aim of the current work is to study the activity of these CNTs after different surface modifications, in which there will be a competition between the effect caused by the N-doping, and the effect caused by the treatment (heat treatment or oxidation).

Screening experiments were carried out to assess the performances of the different CNT samples during the CWPO of highly concentrated 4-NP solutions. The removal of 4-NP by CWPO and the decomposition of H₂O₂ observed during 24-hour experiments are shown in Fig. 5. The curves corresponding to the non-modified CNTs from a previous work [25] have been included for comparison purposes (represented in grey).

It is expected that the adsorption of 4-NP, a weak acid, is favored on materials with a basic surface. Using the heat-treated materials, with a pHPZC significantly higher than the working pH (3 in all cases), 4-NP partially dissociates to its anionic form, thus favoring the electrostatic interaction between adsorbent and adsorptive. On the other hand, it has been reported that the adsorption of molecules such as 4-NP on hydrophilic surfaces (e.g., HNO₃ treated samples) is lower due to the formation of water molecule clusters on the carbon porous structure, resulting in pore blockages (solvent effect) [60]. Due to this phenomenon, some pores may be inaccessible to the formed 4-NP-water aggregates. Hence, thermally-treated samples are expected to show a much better behavior in the CWPO of 4-NP than oxidized ones.

As observed in Fig. 5, the catalysts synthesized from the completely N-doped sample (A30HT and A30 N) promote a fast decomposition of H₂O₂, resulting in a poor 4-NP removal. For sample A30, the limited CWPO performance was attributed to the fast decomposition of H₂O₂ into non-reactive species (H₂O and O₂) promoted by the N-doped hydrophilic surfaces [25]. Nevertheless, the conversion of 4-NP is significantly improved for the surface-modified samples (heat-treated and nitric acid-treated), despite the fast H₂O₂ decomposition observed in both cases. As observed for A30, both A30HT and especially A30 N have hydrophilic character, and contain large concentrations of electron donating groups (as evidenced by XPS), explaining the fast decomposition of H₂O₂. The activity improvement observed for A30 N, when compared to A30, could be related to the reactivity generated inside the Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CO₂ (μmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>CO (μmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>carboxylic (μmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>lactone (μmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>anhydride (μmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>phenol/ether (μmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>carboxyl/quinone (μmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>pHPZC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E30</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E30HT</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20HT</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30HT</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E30N</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20N</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30N</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>1472</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Surface oxygenated functionalities by TPD analyses, and pHPZC of the original and modified CNTs.
opened nanotubes, allowing HO· to react with the 4-NP molecules adsorbed in the close proximity, before the radicals disappear by scavenging effects [43].

With regard to the catalysts derived from E30, E30HT decomposed H₂O₂ at a moderate rate, resulting in the complete conversion of 4-NP. The moderate hydrophobicity of E30 has been reported to favor the controllable and efficient decomposition of H₂O₂ into highly reactive HO· radicals [25]. The same moderate hydrophobicity has been found for E30HT, favoring the decomposition of H₂O₂ into HO·, thus showing that E30HT has a high catalytic activity for the 4-NP degradation. As will be seen below (Table 4), in comparison with the other catalysts, the efficiency of the H₂O₂ when using E30HT is clearly superior. On the other hand, when treated with nitric acid (increased concentration of oxygen functionalities, viz. lactones, phenols, carboxylic acids and anhydrides, increasing the catalyst surface hydrophilicity - a contact angle similar to that of A30HT was determined, ca. 18°) this sample (E30N) promoted a faster H₂O₂ decomposition, which slowed down the CWPO of 4-NP. Despite the fast decomposition of H₂O₂ with E30 N, the higher specific surface area of this material (650 m² g⁻¹) favored the reaction between the HO· and the 4-NP molecules, allowing the reaction to occur in the internal cylindrical mesopores (confinement effect).

As already reported, the selectively N-doped E10A20 sample, consisting of hybrid structures containing both undoped and N-doped sections, has an intermediate behavior, showing an intermediate H₂O₂ decomposition rate and 4-NP removal [25]. The thermal treatment (E10A20HT) improved the 4-NP conversion, showing a behavior similar to E30 and E30HT, removing 99% of the pollutant after 8 h. Nevertheless, the HNO₃ treatment (E10A20 N) hindered the H₂O₂ decomposition and, consequently, the 4-NP removal (conversion of 60%). It is well accepted that basic active sites are responsible for the generation of HO· radicals at the carbon surface, which include basic surface groups such as quinones, chromenes, and pyrones, as well as delocalized π electrons on carbon basal planes [40,61].

In general, materials with higher microporosities and surface densities of available electrons yield higher H₂O₂ decomposition rates [41]. Several authors have reported that basic carbon materials lead to higher H₂O₂ decomposition rates, whilst acidic carbons exhibit a limited activity in the decomposition of H₂O₂ [40,42,62,63]. The presence of surface acidic groups in E10A20 N, demonstrated by XPS and TPD analyses, as well as the pHₐₗₜ shown by this material, explains its decreased ability to decompose H₂O₂. Despite this more controllable decomposition, the same acidity hinders the CWPO reaction, since, as explained before, the adsorption of the 4-NP molecules on the material’s hydrophilic surface is hindered.

Table 4 presents the conversions (X) of 4-NP, total organic carbon (TOC), and H₂O₂ achieved for each catalyst, as well as the
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**Fig. 4.** Contact angle measurements determined for buckypapers prepared with different CNTs: Heat-treated (a–c) and nitric acid-treated (d–f).

**Fig. 5.** Concentration evolution of 4-NP (top) and H₂O₂ (bottom) during the CWPO experiments (normalized by the initial concentrations).
is consistent with the reaction mechanisms published for this reaction [32,64–66]. These intermediates disappear almost completely after 24 h, increasing the concentration of low-molecular-weight carboxylic acids (mainly malonic and malic acids). E10A20HT shows an activity similar to E30HT. Despite that, the efficiency of the H₂O₂ consumption decreased by half, with the Fe leaching into the solution possibly contributing to the activity. This significant Fe leaching was also observed with A30HT. However, in this case, the fast and inefficient decomposition of H₂O₂ slowed down the reaction, resulting in lower TOC conversion and byproducts concentration. Regarding the CNTs treated with HNO₃, as already observed for the heat-treated ones, when the N content increased (E30N < E10A20N < A30N), the efficiency of H₂O₂ consumption decreased and so did the TOC conversion.

4. Conclusions

The surface chemistry of carbon nanotubes with different N-doping and hydrophilicity grade (E30, undoped and moderately hydrophobic; A30, N-doped and hydrophilic; E10A20, selectively N-doped, with both hydrophilic and hydrophobic sections) plays an important role in their performance during the catalytic wet peroxide oxidation (CWPO) of highly concentrated solutions of 4-nitrophenol (4-NP, 5 g L⁻¹). The removal of surface functionalities by heat treatment of the CNTs under inert atmosphere at 800 °C produced the samples CNT-HT, for which the interaction between the 4-NP molecules and the CNT surface is favored. Among the CNT-HT samples, the more hydrophobic materials (E30HT and E10A20HT) promote a controllable H₂O₂ decomposition into HO⁻ radicals, being able to remove ca. 100% of 4-NP after 8 h of operation. On the contrary, the hydrophilic A30HT promotes the fast H₂O₂ decomposition into non-reactive species, resulting in a poor pollutant removal. The oxidation of the CNTs by nitric acid produced hydrophilic samples (CNT-N) with opened ends, in which the decomposition of H₂O₂ is fast. Nevertheless, the reactivity generated inside the opened nanotubes favored the reaction between the HO⁻ radicals and the 4-NP molecules in the internal cylindrical mesopores, allowing the reaction to occur before the radicals disappear by scavenging effects.
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### Table 4

Removal of 4-NP from aqueous solution by adsorption (Ads.) and CWPO (X₄-NP), TOC removal (X_TOC), H₂O₂ decomposition (X_H₂O₂), efficiency of H₂O₂ consumption (η_H₂O₂), Fe leaching, and reaction byproducts resulting from the CWPO experiments; results after 24 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ads. (%)</th>
<th>X₄-NP (%)</th>
<th>X_H₂O₂ (%)</th>
<th>X_TOC (%)</th>
<th>η_H₂O₂ (%)</th>
<th>Fe (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Σ aromatics* (mg C.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Σ acids* (mg C.L⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>2,169.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>355.2</td>
<td>1,325.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>359.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E30HT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1,076.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20HT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>786.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30HT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>166.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E30N</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>1,480.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10A20N</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>460.7</td>
<td>220.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A30N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sum of mg C in hydroquinone, 1,4-benzoquinone, catechol and 4-nitrocatechol.

b Sum of mg C in oxalic acid, formic acid, malic acid, malonic acid, acetic acid and maleic acid.
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Fig. 6. Concentration (mg L⁻¹) evolution with time (h) for the different compounds resulting from the CWPO of 4-NP using E30HT.
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