



**XII INTERNATIONAL  
RANGELAND  
CONGRESS  
AUSTRALIA 2025**

**DRAFT PROCEEDINGS  
12<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL RANGELAND CONGRESS**

**EDITORS**

**SARAH MCDONALD, RON HACKER, TONY PRESSLAND, JENNIFER  
SILCOCK, JODIE RESEIGH AND TERRY BEUTEL**

**2-6 JUNE 2025**

**ADELAIDE, SOUTH AUSTRALIA, AUSTRALIA**

**<https://irc2025.rangelandcongress.org/abstracts/>**

***Please note these proceedings will be updated after the congress***

**Cataloguing in publication**  
**Proceedings of the 12th**  
**International Rangeland Congress/ Editors:**  
**Sarah McDonald, Ron Hacker, Tony Pressland,**  
**Jennifer Silcock, Jodie Reseigh and Terry Beutel**  
**on behalf of the 2016 International Rangeland Congress**  
**Organizing Committee**  
**Print ISBN (To be populated after congress)**  
**Digital ISBN (To be populated after congress)**  
**First printed in 2025**

All rights reserved.

Nothing in this publication may be reproduced, stored in a computerized system or published in any form or in any manner, including electronic, mechanical, reprographic or photographic, without prior written permission from:

The International Rangeland Congress  
Continuing Committee

<http://rangelandcongress.org/>

The individual contributions in this publication and any liabilities arising from them remain the responsibility of the authors.

The publisher is not responsible for possible damages that could be a result of content derived from this publication.

**Publisher 12th International Rangeland Congress**

## 12<sup>TH</sup> INTERNATIONAL RANGELAND CONGRESS ORGANISING COMMITTEE MEMBERS

### *Congress Co-Chairs | Treasurer*

Dr. Donald Burnside, *Retired*, Australian Rangeland Society, Western Australia, Australia  
Dr. Cathleen Waters, GreenCollar, NSW, Australia

### *Scientific Program Committee Chairs*

Dr. Alex Baumber, University of Technology, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia  
Dr. Cecile Godde, The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO),  
Australia

### *Publication Committee Chair*

Dr. Sarah McDonald, New South Wales Department of Primary Industries and Regional  
Development, Australia

### *Sponsorship Committee Chair*

Dr. Andrew Ash, AJ Ash and Associates, Queensland, Australia

### *Tour Committee Chairs*

Dr. John Gavin, Remarkable NRM, Queensland, Australia

### *Logistics Committee Chairs*

Dr. Pieter Conradie, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland, Australia

### *Delegate & developing country support, international liaison & publicity Committee Chairs*

Dr. David Phelps, Tropical North Queensland Drought Resilience Adoption and Innovation Hub,  
Australia

Dr. Nicole Spiegel, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Queensland, Australia

### *Indigenous oversight Chair*

Dr. Stephen van Leeuwen, Curtin University, Western Australia, Australia

### *Promotion, publicity & social media Chair*

Alison Nicholson, Department of Primary Industries and Regions South Australia, Australia

### *Other committee members*

Dr Dana Kelly, University of Queensland, Queensland, Australia  
Dr Saravan Peacock, Department for Environment and Water, South Australia, Australia

The assistance of many colleagues not listed here is gratefully acknowledged.

## REVIEWERS

All papers underwent peer review by at least one reviewer and were edited prior to publication. We acknowledge the contribution of the following people for their assistance in reviewing full papers submitted to the congress.

Brianne Altmann	Abule Gedda Ebro	David Phelps
Karl Andersson	Niilo Gobius	Wayne Pitchford
Andrew Ash	Ganzorig Gonchigsumlaa	Jeremy Pittman
Mohamed Habibou	Iain Gordon	Tony Pressland
Assouma	F. Patrick Graz	Hugh Pringle
David Augustine	Daryl Green	Kate Reardon-Smith
Warwick Badgery	Juan Guerschman	Gordon Refshauge
Manjunatha B.L.	Ron Hacker	Nick Reid
Derek Bailey	Rachel Hay	Matthew Rigge
Jason Barnettson	Jacob Hennig	Jodie Reseigh
Gary Bastin	Madonna Hoffmann	Caroline Ruto
Alex Baumber	Alexander Holm	Manam Saaed
Theresa Becchetti	Bernard Hubert	Nicole Sallur
Paul Beck	Lachlan Ingram	Igshaan Samuels
Brandon Bestelmeyer	Ali Jahani	Merilynn Schantz
Terry Beutel	Sadeeka Jayasinghe	Zhanhuan Shang
Teshome Beza	Peter Johnston	Abolfazl Sharifian
Nagaratna Biradar	Srijana Joshi	Bahraman
Mélanie Blanchard	Juergen Knauer	Mark Silburn
Vincent Blanfort	Apostolos Kyriazopoulos	Jennifer Silcock
Oscar Blumetto	Gabrielle Lebbink	Richard Silcock
Janis Boettinger	John Leys	Aaron Simmons
Steven Bray	Ping Li	Awnindra Singh
Fiona Brayshaw	Nan Liu	Ashley Sparrow
Joel Brown	Mounir Louhaichi	Nicole Spiegel
Donald Burnside	John Ludwig	Mariana Tadey
Shane Campbell	Azizza Mala	Michelle Tedder
Chris Chilcott	Kieren McCosker	Dean Thomas
Pieter Conradie	Sarah McDonald	Rebecca Trevithick
Tim Cowan	Zsolt Molnar	Samuel Tuffa
Robyn Cowley	Piet Monegi	Wayne Vogler
Gabriel Crowley	Craig Morris	John Walker
Greg Curran	Said Moukrim	Dionne Walsh
Carlos G.H. Díaz-ambrona	James Muir	Cathleen Waters
Debbie Dowden	Joseph Mureithi	Ann Waters-Bayer
Danny Eastburn	Javier Naupari	Ian Watson
Brandon Edwards	Diep Nguyen Thi Hong	Antony Whitbread
Marwan El Hassan	Maryam Niamir-fuller	Wendy Williams
Jacqueline England	Robyn Nicolay	Megan Willis
Jose (Jope) Facelli	Uffe Nielsen	Jessica Windh
Rod Fensham	Paul Novelly	Boyd Wright
Maria Fernandez-Gimenez	Peter O'Reagain	Ben Wu
Andiswa Finca	Susan Orgill	Jing Wu
Tamara Freitas-kirk	David Orr	Baisen Zhang
Margaret Friedel	Carolyn Palmer	
John Gavin	Beth Penrose	

The ‘Drought Antidote’: An archaeology of artesian water management in NSW .....212  
Phelps, JEG  
Fate of yak herding in the highlands of Mustang, Nepal: A case of Namu Bhrapsee  
Rangeland .....217  
Sanjyal, S; Joshi, S; Gorkhali, N; Dhakal, B  
Agricultural developments on the rangelands of the Tibet Autonomous Region in China,  
with a special emphasis on the role of Tibetan women .....220  
Spiegel, NB; Rose, CM

**THEME 2. CO-DESIGN, PARTNERSHIPS, AND INCORPORATING  
TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE FOR MORE ENDURING RANGELAND  
OUTCOMES.....226**

**Bridging Perspectives – Indigenous, Non-Indigenous, and Traditional ..... 226**

A comprehensive analysis of pastoral traditional knowledge functions .....227  
Chao, O; Li, X; Reyes-Garcia, V  
Interdisciplinary investigations identify local knowledge important for pastoralist  
adaptation to climate change in Montesinho (Portugal).....232  
Aleixo-Pais, I; Castro, J; Frazão-Moreira, A; Castro, JP; Castro, M  
Aboriginal and white pastoralist history — the positive stories .....236  
Kelly, DM  
Key lessons learned when supporting Indigenous scholars and communities during co-  
creation of knowledge .....241  
Reid, RS  
International cooperation to improve forage supply in grasslands in Kenya and Tanzania  
.....247  
Guzmán, FD; Ledesma, RR; Alberghini, JP; Nenkari, H; Sangula, A; Okore, C; Kidake, B

**Collaborations for rangeland restoration and conservation ..... 251**

Increasing rangeland resilience through collaborative, climate-adaptive, community-  
engaged rangeland restoration with the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe in Southwestern Colorado,  
USA .....252  
Lockard, EL; Bradbury, ES; Bruegger, RA; Stoner, D; Swartz, EH; Havrilla, CA  
Knowledge co-production between herders and scientists for the better management of  
species-rich pastures .....257  
Molnár, Z; L. Sáfián, L; Barta, S; Sharifian, A  
Protection of sacred springs in South Australia’s rangelands .....263  
Smith, A; Gerlach, CA; Warren, F; Dadleh, K; Booth, F  
Great Plains Grassland Extension Project: Tackling big conservation challenges through  
collaboration and cooperation .....268  
Baldwin, C; Fogarty, D; Hovick, T; Treadwell, M; Matzke, C; Thompson, A; Goodman, L; Spackman, C;  
Cram, D; Overlin, A; Bruegger, R; Twidwell, D; Scasta, D; Beaver, J; Bauman, P;  
Building public awareness for rangelands and pastoralists via social media and the FIFA  
World Cup 2026 .....272  
Audoin, F; Lekaitogo, J; Chen Y; Dosamantes, EG; Coppock, DL

**Collaborative approach to grazing management ..... 277**

Participatory rangeland management (PRM): from concept to continental scaling .....278



## **Interdisciplinary investigations identify local knowledge important for pastoralist adaptation to climate change in Montesinho (Portugal)**

Aleixo-Pais, I<sup>1</sup>; Castro, J<sup>2</sup>; Frazão-Moreira, A<sup>3</sup>; Castro, JP<sup>1</sup>; Castro, M<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CIMO, LA SusTEC, Instituto Politécnico de Bragança; <sup>2</sup> Instituto Politécnico de Bragança; <sup>3</sup> Centre for Research in Anthropology (CRIA - NOVA FCSH/IN2PAST)

**Key words:** Rangelands; Mediterranean basin; local livestock breeds; co-participatory consultation.

### **Abstract**

Pastoralists are among the most vulnerable groups to climate change and variability, as they depend on bioclimatic conditions for livestock forage biodiversity and production. In the complex socio-ecological system of the mountainous area of Montesinho Natural Park (north-east Portugal, Western Europe), traditional pastoralists are impacted by climate change in their rangelands (e.g. average monthly temperature increase, shifts in precipitation patterns) (Castro et al. 2021; Oliveira 2023).

To understand the adaptive responses of local pastoralists to environmental change, this interdisciplinary research applied a three-step method. Firstly, we performed walking ethnographies with shepherds for over two years and used dialogues and observations to identify perceptions and local adaptations. Secondly, adaptive practices from other pastoral groups that could be viable in the study area were identified from the literature. And thirdly, co-participatory consultation workshops with pastoralists and representatives of local and national entities were developed to discuss and assess the adaptive measures for its practicality and sustainability.

Shifts in precipitation patterns, drought and higher temperatures in the winter were identified as climate changes by local pastoralists, and several adaptive practices for water provision and conservation, additional fodder supply and livestock thermal comfort were listed by all local stakeholders involved in the project, as feasible solutions to overcome regional ecosystem shocks and trends.

Local knowledge and climate perceptions were important for the documentation of adaptations at the rangeland level. This integrative approach, which resulted in a good practices guide, promotes practitioners and decision-makers to identify and discuss measures that will contribute to the resilience of traditional mountain pastoralism in the protected area of Montesinho and similar agro-pastoral systems.

### **Introduction**

Pastoralism is an ancient practice that requires flexibility and adaptability to respond to social, political and ecological landscape changes. Up to the 20<sup>th</sup> century, this practice was economically important in northern Mediterranean mountains and remains so in the Natural Park of Montesinho (PNM) in north-east Portugal, Western Europe. Here, traditional pastoralism contributes to landscape management, provides ecosystem services, is a source of animal protein in local diets, and represents cultural identity (Castro et al. 2021). Despite a significant reduction in the total number of animals and shepherds using the territory due to these historical

changes, pastoralism remains an important activity in PNM. As with mountain pastoralists elsewhere, however, we are yet to understand how these groups will overcome contemporary climate challenges, or the social and ecological impacts of this practice collapsing.

Future north Mediterranean landscape projections include increased aridification and desertification as a result of climate change and current exploitation rates (Lazarev 2022). In the PNM region, recent investigations on climatic normals over the past 70 years, reveal reduced spring and increased autumn rainfall, alongside consistently increasing annual average temperatures (Oliveira 2023). Such events can deplete drinking water resources and induce heat stress in animals and plants, degrading animal health and decreasing palatable biomass, culminating in reduced livestock productivity and increased livelihoods risks (Kgosikoma et al. 2018).

Elucidating pastoralists climate change perceptions, significant impacts on activities and adaptive responses is therefore urgent. In such socio-ecological systems, as complex as PNM, an interdisciplinary approach integrating anthropological, ecological and climate knowledge is crucial for pastoralism resilience.

In this study we aim to (i) obtain insights into regional climate change perceptions amongst shepherds, (ii) identify the feasibility of climate adaptations to strengthen livelihood resilience, and (iii) provide technical oversight regarding adaptations suitability and sustainability. Project outputs will include a regional good practices guide.

## Methods

The Natural Park of Montesinho (PNM) was established in 1979. The mountainous area (approximately 74,000 ha) has an elevation range between 445 and 1,487 m, average annual rainfall between 1262 and 806 mm, and average annual temperature range of 8.5 to 12.5°C (INMG 1991). The park is rich in plant and animal biodiversity mainly due to its climate, geology, and the transboundary river system with the neighbouring country, Spain. Just over 4500 people live within the park and livelihoods include both rural and non-rural activities. Pastoralism is a practice performed by fewer people than in the past, but the park still sustains herds with totals of 20,001 sheep and 687 goats across 35,296 ha of shrublands, woodlands, and cultivated areas. The low number of residents, particularly shepherds, reflects ongoing social and economic change.

To firstly identify climate change perceptions and other socio-economic-political changes affecting pastoralism in PNM, seasonal walks were undertaken between 2022 and 2023 with local sheep and goat herders. An ethnographical approach using conversations and observations yielded data, which was analysed qualitatively to identify perceptions and knowledge on specific themes: transformations in land use and pastoral activities (historical and contemporary), political and economic challenges, agrosilvopastoral resilience, pastoralists perceptions on climate change and adaptive responses. The list of adaptations identified was complemented with adaptations applied in other mountain regions globally, which could be viable under the PNM ecological, political and social conditions.

These adaptations were reviewed and discussed in co-participatory consultations held with regional pastoralists in two villages within the grazing territory of the project shepherds. The sessions comprised of presentation and discussion of project results grouped into (i) pastoralism in the past and in the present, (ii) climate change perceptions, and (iii) how to address the future as a pastoralist. The first and second parts were presented using photographs portraying life in the past and present and a summary of the descriptions and perceptions of pastoralism and climate changes provided during the ethnographic walks, which instigated open discussions among participants. The third part comprised of a comparison between two photographs depicting a current productive landscape and a future drier scenario. The adaptive responses identified during the walking ethnography and the literature review were presented to the participants in the form of smaller photographs, which were either selected or discarded according to its practicality, efficiency and applicability in the PNM, and prioritised based on the pastoralists needs. A final workshop involving local stakeholders engaged with the agrosilvopastoral system of the park (members of national associations of local breeds and governmental

agencies) followed a similar structure. The participants were invited to contribute with their technical knowledge on discussions about the executability and enforcement requirements of each adaptation. Every person involved in this study was individually invited to participate, and informed about the research aims, outputs, and their rights to withdraw at any point.

Though this study aimed to present how PNM pastoralists are adapting to climate change, our work addressed a limited number of male only participants with a narrow age spectrum (50-69 years). Additionally, across the years, different researchers conducted the ethnographic walks which could have contributed to some bias as we did not follow an interview script. When conducting the co-participatory consultations, thinking of a future scenario with drastic water shortage and warmer periods was not always an easy task for some participants. Moreover, we believe some discussions among stakeholders were guided by their professional link to a regional/national entity.

## Results

Walking ethnography yielded important insights on temporal changes in work organisation, dynamic agrosilvopastoral system resilience, and climate change perceptions. Furthermore, coping mechanisms were identified for the changing conditions pastoralists currently face. Climate change perceptions, suggest that seasons are less well defined compared to the past, winters are warmer, and there is less water in the soil (e.g. many springs and small streams have dried or have little water). Pastoralists further reported that rainfall no longer follows monthly patterns, frost and thunderstorms occur at unexpected times of the year, and snowfall frequency and quantity are lower. All these changes are believed to impact fodder productivity and drive higher resource and materials costs.

Adaptations implemented by PNM pastoralists and in other regions were reviewed by all parties (shepherds and stakeholders) involved in this traditional practice during the co-participatory consultations. The two consultations with local pastoralists resulted in the selection of 14 and 11 relevant adaptations, a difference most likely due to the edaphoclimatic characteristics of the west and east regions of the park where the shepherds graze their herds. We grouped the selected adaptations into three types: adaptations in the grazing territory, to the grazing of animals, and to the livestock production. Many of the response mechanisms were directed at providing water and forage for the animals, valuing and diversifying livestock products (e.g. added value product; production of cheese), and improving animal health. Priority among pastoralists was given to adaptations to be implemented by regional and national entities rather than by the shepherds themselves, probably due to the grazing territory being within a protected area with strong conservation enforcement and laws.

## Discussion [Conclusions/Implications]

Pastoralists perspectives on precipitation and temperature variation, and other climatic hazards (e.g. lack of snow), reflect the changes taking place in PNM inferred from climatic data (Oliveira 2023). Moreover, they highlight the negative impact such events have mainly on water availability and crop productivity in PNM. As a response, all shepherds, regardless of their territories characteristics, apply coping mechanism frequently observed in other rangelands across the globe (Herrero et al, 2016): place water points in the territory, grow crops that are more drought resistant to feed animals in face of pasture shortage, adjust shepherding routes. Adaptations priority, however, appear to respond to the edaphoclimatic conditions of the grazing grounds. Planting trees and conserving pastures were top adaptations for pastoralists from the warmer and drier region of the PNM, while valuing livestock products more crucial for increasing pastoralism resilience among pastoralists from the colder region. The fact that most shepherding territory is located within a protected area that is under national administration could explain why in both consultations, priority was also given to large scale adaptations such as building water reservoirs, planting trees or implementing early weather warning systems. However, this result could also be due to individual strategies already being addressed and becoming less significant when compared to other major adaptations.

The stakeholders workshop confirmed some of the pastoralists perceptions on bureaucratic and legislative challenges to improve animal housing conditions or implementing water recovery systems. Though technology may benefit pastoral adaptations to climate change (Arjjumend 2018), in the PNM context, local protected area laws are somehow prohibitive. Moreover, other difficulties pointed out by stakeholders address the fact that many national strategies and policies are developed for pastoralism at a different scale than that of the PNM.

This investigation shows that pastoralists in PNM continue to adapt as they have done for decades, though we are unsure if these responses are enough to maintain the activity in times of accelerated and larger magnitude changes (Galvin, 2009). Indeed, worries emerged regarding the future of this traditional activity facing the impacts of a warmer and drier landscape, and political and social challenges (ex. unsuitable common agricultural policy, rural abandonment). Nevertheless, we hope that our research strategy of bringing together knowledge from pastoralists, stakeholders and scientists - “hybrid knowledge” (Dean et al. 2024) - will contribute to policy discussions at the regional and national levels on the complex Mediterranean pastoral systems and the need for climate change mitigation and coping strategies.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the families of Armindo e Arminda Veiga, Adriano e Vera da Silva, João e Paula Carroceiras, Humberto e Marina Figueiredo, Manuel João e Irene do Cubo, e Ramiro Pires, as all other sheperds and stakeholders for contributing with their knowledge on pastoral climate change adaptations. A special thanks to those who helped develop the consultations and workshop: Ana Farias de Oliveira, Caroline Barradas, Júlio de Souza, Vítor Seripieri, Maria Alice Vieira da Silva – Junta de Freguesia da Soeira, e Porfíria Maria Cordeiro Margarido – Junta de Freguesia de Palácios. This research was funded with Portuguese national funds, through the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT), under the project MTS/CAC/0028/2020: PASTOpraxis.

### References

- Arjjumend H (2018). Review of pastoralists’ resilience and adaptation to climate change: Can technology help pastoralists mitigate the risks? *International journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 9(1), 118-128.
- Castro J, Castro M, Gomez-Sal A (2021) Changes on the climatic edge: adaptation of and challenges to pastoralism in Montesinho (northern Portugal). *Mountain Research and Development* 41(4), R29-R37
- Dean G, Francioni M, Toderi M, ... D’Ottavio P (2024) Nature’s contribution to people provided by pastoral systems across European, African, and Middle East Mediterranean countries: trends, approaches and gaps. *Regional Environmental Change* 24, 77.
- Herrero M, Addison J, Bedelian C, Carabine E, Havlík P, Henderson B, van de Steeg J, Thornton PK (2016) Climate change and pastoralism: impacts, consequences and adaptation. *Rev Sci Tech*, 35(2), 417-433.
- Galvin KA (2009). Transitions: pastoralists living with change. *Annual review of anthropology*, 38(1), 185-198.
- INMG [Instituto Nacional Meteorologia e Geofísica] (1991) O clima de Portugal. Normais Climatológicas da região de «Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro e Beira Interior» correspondentes a 1951–1980. Lisbon, Portugal
- Kgosikoma, K.R., Lekota, P.C. and Kgosikoma, O.E. (2018), "Agro-pastoralists’ determinants of adaptation to climate change", *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 488-500.
- Lazarev G (2022) Pastoralism as a response to climate change and water security in Mediterranean mountains and forests. In *Managing Healthy Livestock Production and Consumption* (pp. 123-127). Academic Press.
- Oliveira ACF (2023) Dinâmica e disponibilidade hídrica nas zonas de pastoreio no Parque Natural de Montesinho. MSc Thesis, Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal.