

LNCS 11621

Sanjay Misra · Osvaldo Gervasi ·  
Beniamino Murgante · Elena Stankova ·  
Vladimir Korkhov · Carmelo Torre ·  
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha · David Taniar ·  
Bernady O. Apduhan · Eufemia Tarantino (Eds.)

# Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2019

19th International Conference  
Saint Petersburg, Russia, July 1–4, 2019  
Proceedings, Part III

3  
Part III



 Springer

*Commenced Publication in 1973*

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

## Editorial Board Members

David Hutchison

*Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK*

Takeo Kanade

*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA*

Josef Kittler

*University of Surrey, Guildford, UK*

Jon M. Kleinberg

*Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA*

Friedemann Mattern

*ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland*

John C. Mitchell

*Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA*

Moni Naor

*Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel*

C. Pandu Rangan

*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India*

Bernhard Steffen

*TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany*

Demetri Terzopoulos

*University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA*

Doug Tygar

*University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA*

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/7407>

Sanjay Misra · Osvaldo Gervasi ·  
Beniamino Murgante · Elena Stankova ·  
Vladimir Korkhov · Carmelo Torre ·  
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha ·  
David Taniar · Bernady O. Apduhan ·  
Eufemia Tarantino (Eds.)


# Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2019


19th International Conference  
Saint Petersburg, Russia, July 1–4, 2019  
Proceedings, Part III

*Editors*


Sanjay Misra   
Covenant University  
Ota, Nigeria


Beniamino Murgante   
University of Basilicata  
Potenza, Italy

Vladimir Korkhov   
Saint Petersburg State University  
Saint Petersburg, Russia

Ana Maria A. C. Rocha   
University of Minho  
Braga, Portugal

Bernady O. Apduhan  
Kyushu Sangyo University  
Fukuoka, Japan

Osvaldo Gervasi   
University of Perugia  
Perugia, Italy

Elena Stankova   
Saint Petersburg State University  
Saint Petersburg, Russia

Carmelo Torre   
Polytechnic University of Bari  
Bari, Italy

David Taniar   
Monash University  
Clayton, VIC, Australia

Eufemia Tarantino   
Polytechnic University of Bari  
Bari, Italy

ISSN 0302-9743                      ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)  
Lecture Notes in Computer Science  
ISBN 978-3-030-24301-2              ISBN 978-3-030-24302-9 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24302-9>

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 – Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Preface

These six volumes (LNCS volumes 11619–11624) consist of the peer-reviewed papers from the 2019 International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA 2019) held in St. Petersburg, Russia during July 1–4, 2019, in collaboration with the St. Petersburg University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

ICCSA 2019 was a successful event in the International Conferences on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA) series, previously held in Melbourne, Australia (2018), Trieste, Italy (2017), Beijing, China (2016), Banff, Canada (2015), Guimaraes, Portugal (2014), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (2013), Salvador, Brazil (2012), Santander, Spain (2011), Fukuoka, Japan (2010), Suwon, South Korea (2009), Perugia, Italy (2008), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (2007), Glasgow, UK (2006), Singapore (2005), Assisi, Italy (2004), Montreal, Canada (2003), and (as ICCS) Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2002) and San Francisco, USA (2001).

Computational science is a main pillar of most of the current research, industrial and commercial activities, and plays a unique role in exploiting ICT innovative technologies. The ICCSA conference series have been providing a venue to researchers and industry practitioners to discuss new ideas, to share complex problems and their solutions, and to shape new trends in computational science.

Apart from the general track, ICCSA 2019 also included 33 workshops, in various areas of computational sciences, ranging from computational science technologies, to specific areas of computational sciences, such as software engineering, security, artificial intelligence, and blockchain technologies. We accepted 64 papers distributed in the five general tracks, 259 in workshops and ten short papers. We would like to show our appreciations to the workshop chairs and co-chairs.

The success of the ICCSA conference series, in general, and ICCSA 2019, in particular, is due to the support of many people: authors, presenters, participants, keynote speakers, workshop chairs, Organizing Committee members, student volunteers, Program Committee members, Advisory Committee members, international liaison chairs, reviewers and people in other various roles. We would like to thank them all.

We also thank our publisher, Springer, for accepting to publish the proceedings, for sponsoring part of the best papers awards and for their kind assistance and cooperation during the editing process.

We cordially invite you to visit the ICCSA website <http://www.iccsa.org> where you can find all relevant information about this interesting and exciting event.

July 2019

Oswaldo Gervasi  
Beniamino Murgante  
Sanjay Misra

# Welcome to St. Petersburg

Welcome to St. Petersburg, the Venice of the North, the city of three revolutions, creation of czar Peter the Great, the most European city in Russia. ICCSA 2019 was hosted by St. Petersburg State University, during July 1–4, 2019.

St. Petersburg is the second largest city in Russia after Moscow. It is the former capital of Russia and has a lot of attractions related to this role in the past: imperial palaces and parks both in the city center and suburbs, respectable buildings of nobles and state institutions, multitude of rivers and canals with more than 300 bridges of various forms and sizes. Extraordinary history and rich cultural traditions of both imperial Russia and the Soviet Union attracted and inspired many examples of world's greatest architecture, literature, music, and visual art, some of which can be found in the famous Hermitage and State Russian Museum located in the heart of the city. Late June and early July is the season of white nights where the sun sets only for a few hours, and the nighttime is covered with mysterious twilight.

What to do in the city:

- Enjoy the white nights, see the open bridges during the night and cargo ships passing by from Ladoga Lake to the Gulf of Finland and back. Dvortsovy bridge is open at about 1am. Be sure to stay on the correct side of the river when the bridges open!
- Visit Hermitage (Winter palace) and State Russian Museum to see great examples of international and Russian art, and the Kunstkammer, the oldest museum of St. Petersburg founded by Peter the Great.
- Travel to St. Petersburg suburbs Peterhof and Tsarskoe Selo to see imperial palaces and splendid parks, famous Peterhof fountains.
- Eat Russian food: borsch (beetroot soup), pelmeni and vareniki (meat and sweet dumplings), bliny (pancakes), vinegret (beetroot salad), drink kvas and maybe some vodka.
- Walk around and inside the Peter and Paul Fortress, the place where the city began in 1703.
- Visit the Mariinsky Theater for famous Russian ballet and opera.
- Have a boat tour along the Neva River and canals to look at the city from the water.
- Walk along Nevsky Prospect, the main street of the city.
- Climb St. Isaac's Cathedral colonnade to enjoy great city views.
- Go down to the Metro, the city's underground train network with some Soviet-style museum-like stations.
- Pay a visit to the recently renovated Summer Garden, the oldest park of St. Petersburg.
- Visit a new modern open space on the New Holland Island to see modern art exhibitions, performances and just to relax and enjoy sitting on the grass with an ice cream or lemonade during a hot summer day.

St. Petersburg State University is the oldest university in Russia, an actively developing, world-class center of research and education. The university dates back to 1724, when Peter the Great founded the Academy of Sciences and Arts as well as the first Academic University and the university preparatory school in Russia. At present there are over 5,000 academic staff members and more than 30,000 students, receiving education in more than 400 educational programs at 25 faculties and institutes.

The venue of ICCSA is the Faculty of Economics located on Tavricheskaya Street, other faculties and university buildings are distributed all over the city with the main campus located on Vasilievsky Island and the natural science faculties (Mathematics and Mechanics, Applied Mathematics and Control Processes, Physics, Chemistry) located on the campus about 40 kilometers away from the city center in Peterhof.

Elena Stankova  
Vladimir Korkhov  
Nataliia Kulabukhova

# Organization

ICCSA 2019 was organized by St. Petersburg University (Russia), University of Perugia (Italy), University of Basilicata (Italy), Monash University (Australia), Kyushu Sangyo University (Japan), University of Minho, (Portugal).

## Honorary General Chairs

Antonio Laganà	University of Perugia, Italy
Norio Shiratori	Tohoku University, Japan
Kenneth C. J. Tan	Sardina Systems, Estonia

## General Chairs

Oswaldo Gervasi	University of Perugia, Italy
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Bernady O. Apduhan	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan

## Program Committee Chairs

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
David Taniar	Monash University, Australia
Vladimir Korkov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

## International Advisory Committee

Jemal Abawajy	Deakin University, Australia
Dharma P. Agarwal	University of Cincinnati, USA
Rajkumar Buyya	Melbourne University, Australia
Claudia Bauzer Medeiros	University of Campinas, Brazil
Manfred M. Fisher	Vienna University of Economics and Business, Austria
Marina L. Gavrilova	University of Calgary, Canada
Yee Leung	Chinese University of Hong Kong, SAR China

## International Liaison Chairs

Ana Carla P. Bitencourt	Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia, Brazil
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Alfredo Cuzzocrea	ICAR-CNR and University of Calabria, Italy
Maria Irene Falcão	University of Minho, Portugal
Robert C. H. Hsu	Chung Hua University, Taiwan
Tai-Hoon Kim	Hannam University, South Korea
Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria

Takashi Naka	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Rafael D. C. Santos	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Maribel Yasmina Santos	University of Minho, Portugal

### **Workshop and Session Organizing Chairs**

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
Jorge Gustavo Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

### **Award Chair**

Wenny Rahayu	La Trobe University, Australia
--------------	--------------------------------

### **Publicity Committee Chairs**

Elmer Dadios	De La Salle University, Philippines
Hong Quang Nguyen	International University (VNU-HCM), Vietnam
Daisuke Takahashi	Tsukuba University, Japan
Shangwang Wang	Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China

### **Workshop Organizers**

#### **Advanced Transport Tools and Methods (A2TM 2019)**

Massimiliano Petri	University of Pisa, Italy
Antonio Pratelli	University of Pisa, Italy

#### **Advanced Computational Approaches in Fractals, Wavelet, Entropy and Data Mining Applications (AAFTWTETDT 2019)**

Yeliz Karaca	University of Massachusetts Medical School, USA
Yu-Dong Zhang	University of Leicester, UK
Majaz Moonis	University of Massachusetts Medical School, USA

#### **Advances in Artificial Intelligence Learning Technologies: Blended Learning, STEM, Computational Thinking and Coding (AAILT 2019)**

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy
Valentina Poggioni	University of Perugia, Italy

#### **Affective Computing and Emotion Recognition (ACER-EMORE 2019)**

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Valentina Franzoni	University of Perugia, Italy
Giulio Biondi	University of Florence, Italy

**Advances in Information Systems and Technologies for Emergency Management, Risk Assessment and Mitigation Based on the Resilience Concepts (ASTER 2019)**

Maurizio Pollino	ENEA, Italy
Marco Vona	University of Basilicata, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy

**Blockchain and Distributed Ledgers: Technologies and Application (BDLTA 2019)**

Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg State University, Russia

**Bio and Neuro-inspired Computing and Applications (BIONCA 2019)**

Nadia Nedjah	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Luiza de Macedo Mourell	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

**Computer Aided Modeling, Simulation, and Analysis (CAMSA 2018)**

Jie Shen	University of Michigan, USA
Hao Chen	Shanghai University of Engineering Science, China
Youguo He	Jiangsu University, China

**Computational and Applied Statistics (CAS 2019)**

Ana Cristina Braga	University of Minho, Portugal
--------------------	-------------------------------

**Computational Mathematics, Statistics, and Information Management (CMSIM 2019)**

M. Filomena Teodoro	Portuguese Naval Academy and Lisbon University, Portugal
---------------------	--

**Computational Optimization and Applications (COA 2019)**

Ana Maria Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal
Humberto Rocha	University of Coimbra, Portugal

**Computational Astrochemistry (CompAstro 2019)**

Marzio Rosi	University of Perugia, Italy
Dimitrios Skouteris	Master-up, Perugia, Italy
Fanny Vazart	Université Grenoble Alpes, France
Albert Rimola	Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain

**Cities, Technologies, and Planning (CTP 2019)**

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy

**Econometrics and Multidimensional Evaluation in the Urban Environment (EMEUE 2019)**

Carmelo M. Torre	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Pierluigi Morano	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Maria Cerreta	University of Naples Federico II, Italy
Paola Perchinunno	University of Bari, Italy
Francesco Tajani	University of Rome La Sapienza, Italy

**Future Computing System Technologies and Applications (FISTA 2019)**

Bernady O. Apduhan	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Rafael Santos	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil

**Geographical Analysis, Urban Modeling, Spatial Statistics (GEO-AND-MOD 2019)**

Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Hartmut Asche	University of Potsdam, Germany

**Geomatics for Resource Monitoring and Control (GRMC 2019)**

Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Rosa Lasaponara	Italian Research Council, IMAA-CNR, Italy
Benedetto Figorito	ARPA Puglia, Italy
Umberto Fratino	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy

**International Symposium on Software Quality (ISSQ 2019)**

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
--------------	------------------------------

**Land Use Monitoring for Sustainability (LUMS 2019)**

Carmelo M. Torre	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Alessandro Bonifazi	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Pasquale Balena	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Eric Gielen	Polytechnic University of Valencia, Spain

**Machine Learning for Space and Earth Observation Data (ML-SEOD 2019)**

Rafael Santos	Brazilian National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Karine Reis Ferreira	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil

**Mobile-Computing, Sensing, and Actuation in Cyber Physical Systems (MSA4CPS 2019)**

Saad Qaisar	National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan
Moonseong Kim	Seoul Theological University, South Korea

### **Quantum Chemical Modeling of Solids with Computers: From Plane Waves to Local Structures (QuaCheSol 2019)**

Andrei Tchougréeff	Russia Academy of Sciences, Russia
Richard Dronskowski	RWTH Aachen University, Germany
Taku Onishi	Mie University and Tromsø University, Japan

### **Scientific Computing Infrastructure (SCI 2019)**

Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Nataliia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg State University, Russia

### **Computational Studies for Energy and Comfort in Building (SECoB 2019)**

Senhorinha Teixeira	University of Minho, Portugal
Angela Silva	Viana do Castelo Polytechnic Institute, Portugal
Ana Maria Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

### **Software Engineering Processes and Applications (SEPA 2019)**

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
--------------	------------------------------

### **Smart Factory Convergence (SFC 2019)**

Jongpil Jeong	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
---------------	--------------------------------------

### **Smart City and Water. Resource and Risk (Smart\_Water 2019)**

Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Gianfranco Becciu	Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Francesco Viola	University of Cagliari, Italy

### **Sustainability Performance Assessment: Models, Approaches, and Applications Toward Interdisciplinary and Integrated Solutions (SPA 2019)**

Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy
Valentin Grecu	Lucia Blaga University on Sibiu, Romania
Jolanta Dvarioniene	Kaunas University, Lithuania
Sabrina Lai	University of Cagliari, Italy

### **Theoretical and Computational Chemistry and Its Applications (TCCMA 2019)**

Noelia Faginas Lago	University of Perugia, Italy
Andrea Lombardi	University of Perugia, Italy

### **Tools and Techniques in Software Development Processes (TTSDP 2019)**

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
--------------	------------------------------

**Virtual Reality and Applications (VRA 2019)**

Oswaldo Gervasi	University of Perugia, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy

**Collective, Massive and Evolutionary Systems (WCES 2019)**

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Valentina Franzoni	University of Rome La Sapienza, Italy
Rajdeep Niyogi	Indian Institute of Technology at Roorkee, India
Stefano Marcugini	University of Perugia, Italy

**Parallel and Distributed Data Mining (WPDM 2019)**

Massimo Cafaro	University of Salento, Italy
Italo Epicoco	University of Salento, Italy
Marco Pulimeno	University of Salento, Italy
Giovanni Aloisio	University of Salento, Italy

**Program Committee**

Kenneth Adamson	University of Ulster, UK
Vera Afreixo	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Filipe Alvelos	University of Minho, Portugal
Remadevi Arjun	National Institute of Technology Karnataka, India
Hartmut Asche	University of Potsdam, Germany
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Michela Bertolotto	University College Dublin, Ireland
Sandro Bimonte	CEMAGREF, TSCF, France
Rod Blais	University of Calgary, Canada
Ivan Blečić	University of Sassari, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Ana Cristina Braga	University of Minho, Portugal
Massimo Cafaro	University of Salento, Italy
Yves Caniou	Lyon University, France
José A. Cardoso e Cunha	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Leocadio G. Casado	University of Almeria, Spain
Carlo Cattani	University of Salerno, Italy
Mete Celik	Erciyes University, Turkey
Hyunseung Choo	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Min Young Chung	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Florbela Maria da Cruz	Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Domingues Correia	
Gilberto Corso Pereira	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil
Alessandro Costantini	INFN, Italy
Carla Dal Sasso Freitas	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Pradesh Debba	The Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), South Africa
Hendrik Decker	Instituto Tecnológico de Informática, Spain

Frank Devai	London South Bank University, UK
Rodolphe Devillers	Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Joana Matos Dias	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Paolino Di Felice	University of L'Aquila, Italy
Prabu Dorairaj	NetApp, India/USA
M. Irene Falcao	University of Minho, Portugal
Cherry Liu Fang	U.S. DOE Ames Laboratory, USA
Florbela P. Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Jose-Jesus Fernandez	National Centre for Biotechnology, CSIS, Spain
Paula Odete Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Adelaide de Fátima Baptista	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Valente Freitas	
Manuel Carlos Figueiredo	University of Minho, Portugal
Valentina Franzoni	University of Rome La Sapienza, Italy
Maria Celia Furtado Rocha	PRODEB–PósCultura/UFBA, Brazil
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Paulino Jose Garcia Nieto	University of Oviedo, Spain
Jerome Gensel	LSR-IMAG, France
Maria Giaoutzi	National Technical University, Athens, Greece
Arminda Manuela Andrade	University of Minho, Portugal
Pereira Gonçalves	
Andrzej M. Goscinski	Deakin University, Australia
Sevin Gümğüm	Izmir University of Economics, Turkey
Alex Hagen-Zanker	University of Cambridge, UK
Shanmugasundaram	B.S. Abdur Rahman University, India
Hariharan	
Eligius M. T. Hendrix	University of Malaga/Wageningen University, Spain/The Netherlands
Hisamoto Hiyoshi	Gunma University, Japan
Mustafa Inceoglu	EGE University, Turkey
Jongpil Jeong	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Peter Jimack	University of Leeds, UK
Qun Jin	Waseda University, Japan
A. S. M. Kayes	La Trobe University, Australia
Farid Karimipour	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Baris Kazar	Oracle Corp., USA
Maulana Adhinugraha Kiki	Telkom University, Indonesia
DongSeong Kim	University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Taihoon Kim	Hannam University, South Korea
Ivana Kolingerova	University of West Bohemia, Czech Republic
Nataliia Kulabukhova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Vladimir Korkhov	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Rosa Lasaponara	National Research Council, Italy
Maurizio Lazzari	National Research Council, Italy
Cheng Siong Lee	Monash University, Australia
Sangyoun Lee	Yonsei University, South Korea

Jongchan Lee	Kunsan National University, South Korea
Chendong Li	University of Connecticut, USA
Gang Li	Deakin University, Australia
Fang Liu	AMES Laboratories, USA
Xin Liu	University of Calgary, Canada
Andrea Lombardi	University of Perugia, Italy
Savino Longo	University of Bari, Italy
Tinghuai Ma	NanJing University of Information Science and Technology, China
Ernesto Marcheggiani	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Antonino Marvuglia	Research Centre Henri Tudor, Luxembourg
Nicola Masini	National Research Council, Italy
Eric Medvet	University of Trieste, Italy
Nirvana Meratnia	University of Twente, The Netherlands
Noelia Faginas Lago	University of Perugia, Italy
Giuseppe Modica	University of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Josè Luis Montaña	University of Cantabria, Spain
Maria Filipa Mourão	IP from Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Louiza de Macedo Mourelle	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Nadia Nedjah	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Laszlo Neumann	University of Girona, Spain
Kok-Leong Ong	Deakin University, Australia
Belen Palop	Universidad de Valladolid, Spain
Marcin Paprzycki	Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
Eric Pardede	La Trobe University, Australia
Kwangjin Park	Wonkwang University, South Korea
Ana Isabel Pereira	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Massimiliano Petri	University of Pisa, Italy
Maurizio Pollino	Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Italy
Alenka Poplin	University of Hamburg, Germany
Vidyasagar Potdar	Curtin University of Technology, Australia
David C. Proserpi	Florida Atlantic University, USA
Wenny Rahayu	La Trobe University, Australia
Jerzy Respondek	Silesian University of Technology Poland
Humberto Rocha	INESC-Coimbra, Portugal
Jon Rokne	University of Calgary, Canada
Octavio Roncero	CSIC, Spain
Maytham Safar	Kuwait University, Kuwait
Chiara Saracino	A.O. Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda - Milano, Italy
Haiduke Sarafian	The Pennsylvania State University, USA
Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy
Marco Paulo Seabra dos Reis	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Jie Shen	University of Michigan, USA

Qi Shi	Liverpool John Moores University, UK
Dale Shires	U.S. Army Research Laboratory, USA
Inês Soares	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Elena Stankova	St. Petersburg University, Russia
Takuo Suganuma	Tohoku University, Japan
Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy
Ana Paula Teixeira	University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, Portugal
Senhorinha Teixeira	University of Minho, Portugal
M. Filomena Teodoro	Portuguese Naval Academy and University of Lisbon, Portugal
Parimala Thulasiraman	University of Manitoba, Canada
Carmelo Torre	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Javier Martinez Torres	Centro Universitario de la Defensa Zaragoza, Spain
Giuseppe A. Trunfio	University of Sassari, Italy
Pablo Vanegas	University of Cuenca, Ecuador
Marco Vizzari	University of Perugia, Italy
Varun Vohra	Merck Inc., USA
Koichi Wada	University of Tsukuba, Japan
Krzysztof Walkowiak	Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Zequn Wang	Intelligent Automation Inc., USA
Robert Weibel	University of Zurich, Switzerland
Frank Westad	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Roland Wismüller	Universität Siegen, Germany
Mudasser Wyne	SOET National University, USA
Chung-Huang Yang	National Kaohsiung Normal University, Taiwan
Xin-She Yang	National Physical Laboratory, UK
Salim Zabir	France Telecom Japan Co., Japan
Haifeng Zhao	University of California, Davis, USA
Fabiana Zollo	University of Venice Cà Foscari, Italy
Albert Y. Zomaya	University of Sydney, Australia

### **Additional Reviewers**

Adewumi Oluwasegun	Covenant University, Nigeria
Afreixo Vera	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Agrawal Akshat	International Institute of Information Technology Bangalore, India
Aguilar Antonio	University of Barcelona, Spain
Ahmad Rashid	Microwave and Antenna Lab, School of Engineering, South Korea
Ahmed Waseem	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria
Alamri Sultan	Taibah University, Medina, Saudi Arabia
Alfa Abraham	Kogi State College of Education, Nigeria
Alvelos Filipe	University of Minho, Portugal

Amato Federico	University of Basilicata, Italy
Amin Benatia Mohamed	Groupe Cesi, Francia
Andrianov Serge	Institute for Informatics of Tatarstan Academy of Sciences, Russia
Apduhan Bernady	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Aquilanti Vincenzo	University of Perugia, Italy
Arjun Remadevi	National Institute of Technology Karnataka, India
Arogundade Oluwasefunmi	Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria
Ascenzi Daniela	University of Trento, Italy
Ayeni Foluso	Southern University and A&M College, USA
Azubuike Ezenwoke	Covenant University, Nigeria
Balacco Gabriella	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Balena Pasquale	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Balletto Ginevra	University of Cagliari, Italy
Barrile Vincenzo	Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Bartolomei Massimiliano	Spanish National Research Council, Spain
Behera Ranjan Kumar	Indian Institute of Technology Patna, India
Biondi Giulio	University of Florence, Italy
Bist Ankur Singh	KIET Ghaziabad, India
Blecic Ivan	University of Cagliari, Italy
Bogdanov Alexander	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Borgogno Mondino Enrico Corrado	University of Turin, Italy
Borruso Giuseppe	University of Trieste, Italy
Bostenaru Maria	Ion Mincu University of Architecture and Urbanism, Romania
Braga Ana Cristina	University of Minho, Portugal
Cafaro Massimo	University of Salento, Italy
Capolupo Alessandra	University of Naples Federico II, Italy
Carvalho-Silva Valter	Universidade Estadual de Goiás, Brazil
Cerreta Maria	University Federico II of Naples, Italy
Chan Sheung Wai	Hong Kong Baptist Hospital, SAR China
Cho Chulhee	Seoul Guarantee Insurance Company Ltd., South Korea
Choi Jae-Young	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Correia Anacleto	Base Naval de Lisboa, Portugal
Correia Elisete	University of Trás-Os-Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal
Correia Florbela Maria da Cruz Domingues	Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Costa e Silva Eliana	Polytechnic of Porto, Portugal
Costa Lino	Universidade do Minho, Portugal
Costantini Alessandro	Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy
Crawford Broderick	Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile
Cutini Valerio	University of Pisa, Italy
D'Acerno Luca	University of Naples Federico II, Italy
Danese Maria	Italian National Research Council, Italy
Dantas Coutinho Nayara	University of Perugia, Italy
Degtyarev Alexander	St. Petersburg State University, Russia

Dereli Dursun Ahu	UNSW Sydney, Australia
Devai Frank	London South Bank University, UK
Di Bari Gabriele	University of Florence, Italy
Dias Joana	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Diaz Diana	National University of Colombia, Colombia
Elfadaly Abdelaziz	University of Basilicata, Italy
Enriquez Palma Pedro Alberto	Universidad de la Rioja, Spain
Epicoco Italo	University of Salento, Italy
Esposito Giuseppina	Sapienza University of Rome, Italy
Faginas-Lago M. Noelia	University of Perugia, Italy
Fajardo Jorge	Universidad Politécnica Salesiana (UPS), Ecuador
Falcinelli Stefano	University of Perugia, Italy
Farina Alessandro	University of Pisa, Italy
Fattoruso Grazia	ENEA, Italy
Fernandes Florbela	Escola Superior de Tecnologia e Gestão de Braganca, Portugal
Fernandes Paula	Escola Superior de Tecnologia e Gestão, Portugal
Fernández Ledesma Javier Darío	Universidad Pontificia Bolivariana, Bolivia
Ferreira Ana C.	University of Lisbon, Portugal
Ferrão Maria	Universidade da Beira Interior, Portugal
Figueiredo Manuel Carlos	Universidade do Minho, Portugal
Florez Hector	Universidad Distrital Francisco Jose de Caldas, Colombia
Franzoni Valentina	University of Perugia, Italy
Freitau Adelaide de Fátima Baptista Valente	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Friday Agbo	University of Eastern Finland, Finland
Frunzete Madalin	Polytechnic University of Bucharest, Romania
Fusco Giovanni	Laboratoire ESPACE, CNRS, France
Gabrani Goldie	Bml Munjal University, India
Gankevich Ivan	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Garau Chiara	University of Cagliari, Italy
Garcia Ernesto	University of the Basque Country, Spain
Gavrilova Marina	University of Calgary, Canada
Gervasi Osvaldo	University of Perugia, Italy
Gilner Ewa	Silesian University of Technology, Poland
Gioia Andrea	University of Bari, Italy
Giorgi Giacomo	University of Perugia, Italy
Gonçalves Arminda Manuela	University of Minho, Portugal
Gorbachev Yuriy	Geolink Technologies, Russia
Gotoh Yusuke	Kyoto University, Japan
Goyal Rinkaj	Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, India
Gümgüm Sevin	Izmir Economy University, Turkey

Gülen Kemal Güven	Istanbul Ticaret University, Turkey
Hegedus Peter	University of Szeged, Hungary
Hendrix Eligius M. T.	University of Malaga, Spain
Iacobellis Vito	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Iakushkin Oleg	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Kadry Seifedine	Beirut Arab University, Lebanon
Kim JeongAh	George Fox University, USA
Kim Moonseong	Korean Intellectual Property Office, South Korea
Kolingerova Ivana	University of West Bohemia, Czech Republic
Koo Jahwan	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Korkhov Vladimir	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Kulabukhova Nataliia	St. Peterburg State University, Russia
Ladu Mara	University of Cagliari, Italy
Laganà Antonio	Master-up srl, Italy
Leon Marcelo	Universidad Estatal Peninsula de Santa Elena – UPSE, Ecuador
Lima Rui	University of Minho, Portugal
Lombardi Andrea	University of Perugia, Italy
Longo Savino	University of Bari, Italy
Maciel de Castro Jessica	Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Brazil
Magni Riccardo	Pragma Engineering S.r.L., Italy
Mandanici Emanuele	University of Bologna, Italy
Mangiameli Michele	University of Catania, Italy
Marcellini Moreno	Ecole normale supérieure de Lyon, France
Marghany Maged	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
Marques Jorge	Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal
Martellozzo Federico	University of Florence, Italy
Mengoni Paolo	University of Florence, Italy
Migliore Marco	University of Cassino e del Lazio Meridionale, Italy
Milani Alfredo	University of Perugia, Italy
Milesi Alessandra	Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Italy
Mishra Biswajeeban	University of Szeged, Hungary
Molaei Qelichi Mohamad	University of Tehran, Iran
Monteiro Vitor	University of Minho, Portugal
Moraes João Luís Cardoso	University of Porto, Portugal
Moura Ricardo	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Mourao Maria	Universidade do Minho, Portugal
Murgante Beniamino	University of Basilicata, Italy
Natário Isabel Cristina	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Maciel	
Nedjah Nadia	Rio de Janeiro State University, Brazil
Nocera Silvio	University of Naples Federico II, Italy
Odun-Ayo Isaac	Covenant University, Nigeria
Okewu Emmanuel	University of Lagos, Nigeria
Oliveira Irene	University of Trás-Os-Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal
Oluranti Jonathan	Covenant University, Nigeria

Osho Oluwafemi	Federal University of Technology Minna, Nigeria
Ozturk Savas	The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, Turkey
Panetta J. B.	University of Georgia, USA
Pardede Eric	La Trobe University, Australia
Perchinunno Paola	University of Bari, Italy
Pereira Ana	Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal
Peschechera Giuseppe	University of Bari, Italy
Petri Massimiliano	University of Pisa, Italy
Petrovic Marjana	University of Zagreb, Croatia
Pham Quoc Trung	Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, Vietnam
Pinto Telmo	University of Minho, Portugal
Plekhanov Evgeny	Russian Academy of Economics, Russia
Poggioni Valentina	University of Perugia, Italy
Polidoro Maria João	University of Lisbon, Portugal
Pollino Maurizio	ENEA, Italy
Popoola Segun	Covenant University, Nigeria
Pratelli Antonio	University of Pisa, Italy
Pulimeno Marco	University of Salento, Italy
Rasool Hamid	National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan
Reis Marco	Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal
Respondek Jerzy	Silesian University of Technology, Poland
Riaz Nida	National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan
Rimola Albert	Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain
Rocha Ana Maria	University of Minho, Portugal
Rocha Humberto	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Rosi Marzio	University of Perugia, Italy
Santos Rafael	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Santucci Valentino	University Stranieri of Perugia, Italy
Saponaro Mirko	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Sarafian Haiduke	Pennsylvania State University, USA
Scorza Francesco	University of Basilicata, Italy
Sedova Olya	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Semanjski Ivana	Ghent University, Belgium
Sharma Jeetu	Mody University of Science and Technology, India
Sharma Purnima	University of Lucknow, India
Shchegoleva Nadezhda	Petersburg State Electrotechnical University, Russia
Shen Jie	University of Michigan, USA
Shoaib Muhammad	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Shou Huahao	Zhejiang University of Technology, China
Silva-Fortes Carina	ESTeSL-IPL, Portugal
Silva Ângela Maria	Escola Superior de Ciências Empresariais, Portugal
Singh Upasana	The University of Manchester, UK
Singh V. B.	University of Delhi, India

Skouteris Dimitrios	Master-up, Perugia, Italy
Soares Inês	INESCC and IPATIMUP, Portugal
Soares Michel	Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Brazil
Sosnin Petr	Ulyanovsk State Technical University, Russia
Sousa Ines	University of Minho, Portugal
Stankova Elena	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Stritih Uros	University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Tanaka Kazuaki	Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan
Tarantino Eufemia	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Tasso Sergio	University of Perugia, Italy
Teixeira Senhorinha	University of Minho, Portugal
Tengku Adil	La Trobe University, Australia
Teodoro M. Filomena	Lisbon University, Portugal
Torre Carmelo Maria	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Totaro Vincenzo	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Tripathi Aprna	GLA University, India
Vancsics Béla	University of Szeged, Hungary
Vasyunin Dmitry	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Vig Rekha	The Northcap University, India
Walkowiak Krzysztof	Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Wanderley Fernando	New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Wang Chao	University of Science and Technology of China, China
Westad Frank	CAMO Software AS, USA
Yamazaki Takeshi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Zahra Noore	University of Guilan, India
Zollo Fabiana	University of Venice Ca' Foscari, Italy
Zullo Francesco	University of L'Aquila, Italy
Žemlička Michal	Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic
Živković Ljiljana	Republic Agency for Spatial Planning, Serbia

## Sponsoring Organizations

ICCSA 2019 would not have been possible without tremendous support of many organizations and institutions, for which all organizers and participants of ICCSA 2019 express their sincere gratitude:



Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Germany  
 (<http://www.springer.com>)



St. Petersburg University, Russia  
 (<http://english.spbu.ru/>)



University of Perugia, Italy  
 (<http://www.unipg.it>)



University of Basilicata, Italy  
 (<http://www.unibas.it>)



Monash University, Australia  
 (<http://monash.edu>)



Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan  
 ([www.kyusan-u.ac.jp](http://www.kyusan-u.ac.jp))



Universidade do Minho  
 Escola de Engenharia

Universidade do Minho, Portugal  
 (<http://www.uminho.pt>)

## Contents – Part III

### Computational and Applied Statistics (CAS 2019)

Application of DOE for the Study of a Multiple Jet Impingement System . . .	3
<i>Flávia V. Barbosa, Sérgio D. T. Sousa, Senhorinha F. C. F. Teixeira, and José C. F. Teixeira</i>	
Computing Topics on Multiple Imputation in Big Identifiable Data Using R: An Application to Educational Research . . . . .	12
<i>Maria Eugénia Ferrão and Paula Prata</i>	
Comparing Empirical ROC Curves Using a Java Application: CERCUS . . . .	25
<i>Daniel Moreira and Ana C. Braga</i>	
A Nonlinear Dynamical System Perspective on Team Learning: The Role of Team Culture and Social Cohesion . . . . .	38
<i>Isabel Dórdio Dimas, Teresa Rebelo, Paulo Renato Lourenço, and Humberto Rocha</i>	
Demand Forecasting: A Case Study in the Food Industry. . . . .	50
<i>Juliana C. Silva, Manuel C. Figueiredo, and Ana C. Braga</i>	
Periodic INAR(1) Models with Skellam-Distributed Innovations . . . . .	64
<i>Cláudia Santos, Isabel Pereira, and Manuel Scotto</i>	

### Computational Mathematics, Statistics and Information Management (CMSIM 2019)

A Spatio-Temporal Auto-regressive Model for Generating Savings Calls to a Health Line . . . . .	81
<i>Paula Simões, M. Lucília Carvalho, Sandra Aleixo, Sérgio Gomes, and Isabel Natário</i>	
Knowledge of the Boarded Population About Zika Virus . . . . .	97
<i>João Faria, Rosa Teodósio, and M. Filomena Teodoro</i>	
Study About Pediatric Hypertension in <i>Lisboa and Vale do Tejo</i> . . . . .	111
<i>M. Filomena Teodoro and Carla Simão</i>	
Some Issues About Iberian Energy Prices. . . . .	122
<i>M. Filomena Teodoro and Marina A. P. Andrade</i>	

A Nonlinear Subgrid Stabilization Parameter-Free Method to Solve Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations at High Reynolds Numbers . . . . .	134
<i>Riedson Baptista, Sérgio S. Bento, Leonardo M. Lima, Isaac P. Santos, Andrea M. P. Valli, and Lucia Catabriga</i>	
Local Preconditioning Techniques Coupled with a Variational Multiscale Method to Solve Compressible Steady Flows at Low Mach Numbers . . . . .	149
<i>Sérgio Souza Bento, Leonardo Muniz de Lima, Isaac P. Santos, and Lucia Catabriga</i>	
<b>Computational Optimization and Applications (COA 2019)</b>	
Forecasting Wheat Prices Based on Past Behavior: Comparison of Different Modelling Approaches . . . . .	167
<i>Joana Dias and Humberto Rocha</i>	
Dynamic Facility Location Problem with Stochastic Setup Times . . . . .	183
<i>Maria do Céu Marques and Joana Dias</i>	
An Optimization Approach for Noncoplanar Intensity-Modulated Arc Therapy Trajectories . . . . .	199
<i>Humberto Rocha, Joana Dias, Tiago Ventura, Brígida Ferreira, and Maria do Carmo Lopes</i>	
A Network Flow Based Construction for a GRASP + SA Algorithm to Solve the University Timetabling Problem . . . . .	215
<i>Edmar Hell Kampke, Leonardo Moreli Scheideger, Geraldo Regis Mauri, and Maria Claudia Silva Boeres</i>	
Orthogonal Bandit Learning for Portfolio Selection Under Cardinality Constraint . . . . .	232
<i>Mahdi Moeini</i>	
On Trajectory Optimization of an Electric Vehicle . . . . .	249
<i>Eligius M. T. Hendrix, Ana Maria A. C. Rocha, and Inmaculada García</i>	
A Multi-objective Approach to Solve the Build Orientation Problem in Additive Manufacturing . . . . .	261
<i>Marina A. Matos, Ana Maria A. C. Rocha, Lino A. Costa, and Ana I. Pereira</i>	
Optimizing the Kidney Exchange Problem with a Budget for Simultaneous Crossmatch Tests . . . . .	277
<i>Valeria Romanciuc and Filipe Alvelos</i>	



# A Multi-objective Approach to Solve the Build Orientation Problem in Additive Manufacturing

Marina A. Matos<sup>1</sup>, Ana Maria A. C. Rocha<sup>1</sup> , Lino A. Costa<sup>1</sup> ,  
and Ana I. Pereira<sup>2</sup>  

<sup>1</sup> ALGORITMI Center, University of Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal  
aniram@live.com.pt, {arocha,lac}@dps.uminho.pt

<sup>2</sup> Research Centre in Digitalization and Intelligent Robotics (CeDRI),  
Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal  
apereira@ipb.pt

**Abstract.** Additive manufacturing (AM) has been increasingly used in the creation of three-dimensional objects, layer-by-layer, from three-dimensional (3D) computer-aided design (CAD) models. The problem of determining the 3D model printing orientation can lead to reduced amount of supporting material, build time, costs associated with the deposited material, labor costs, and other factors. This problem has been formulated and studied as a single-objective optimization problem. More recently, due to the existence and relevance of considering multiple criteria, multi-objective approaches have been developed.

In this paper, a multi-objective optimization approach is proposed to solve the part build orientation problem taking into account the support area characteristics and the build time. Therefore, the weighted Tchebycheff scalarization method embedded in the Electromagnetism-like Algorithm will be used to solve the part build orientation bi-objective problem of four 3D CAD models. The preliminary results seem promising when analyzing the Pareto fronts obtained for the 3D CAD models considered. Concluding, the multi-objective approach effectively solved the build orientation problem in AM, finding several compromise solutions.

**Keywords:** Additive manufacturing · 3D printing ·  
Multi-objective optimization · Build orientation

## 1 Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM) processes involve the use of three-dimensional (3D) computer-aided design (CAD) data to create physical models. Typically, AM is characterized by four processing stages: model orientation, creation of supports, slicing, and path planning [17]. AM allows the production of a wide range of shapes, with very complex geometries and not requiring many post-processing

actions. The layered manufacturing processes apply physical or chemical phenomena to construct parts, adding layer-by-layer material. This type of manufacture began in the years 80 by Kruth [10]. Currently, layered manufacturing processes are used in several areas such as medical sciences (e.g. dental restorations and medical implants), jewelry, footwear industry, automotive industry and aircraft industry [16].

Over the years, the adoption of Rapid Prototyping (RP) technologies to fabricate a prototype model from a CAD file has grown and has been implemented in many model manufacturing companies due to its effectiveness in the prototype model development at a reduced time [2]. The performance of an RP depends on the orientation of the parts on the printer platform, that is, each piece must have the correct orientation in order to improve the surface quality, minimize the number of support structures and minimize the manufacturing time [19].

The automatic selection of the best orientation manages to reduce or eliminate errors involved throughout the model construction process [22]. The selection of the best orientation is a very important factor because affects the time and print quality, amount of supporting material, shrinkage, distortion, resin flow, material cost, volume and support area and has a better precision of the model [16,23]. Several approaches have been carried out to determine the orientation of a model based on single-objective optimization. Usually the objective functions used for optimal build orientation were the build height, staircase effect, volumetric error, volume of support structures and part area in contact with support structures, surface quality, surface roughness and build deposition time [2,3,11,14,18,19,22].

Recently, multi-objective approaches have been developed to determine the optimal object building orientation, essentially by reducing the multi-objective problem to a single-objective one using classical scalarization methods such as the weighted sum method. Cheng et al. [4] formulated a multi-objective optimization problem focused on the surface quality and production cost of the parts, obtaining solutions for all types of surfaces, whether with complex geometries or not, or even for curved surfaces. The Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm was used in [12] to solve a multi-objective optimization problem in order to get the desired orientations for the support area, build time and surface roughness. A multi-objective optimization approach considering as objective functions the surface roughness and the build time, for different models, was developed by Padhye and Deb in [15]. They used the NSGA-II (Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm) and MOPSO (Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization) algorithms to obtain the Pareto front. Gurralla and Regalla [7] applied the NSGA-II algorithm to optimize the strength of the model and its volumetric shrinkage as objective functions. They concluded, through the Pareto front, that with the shrinkage of the part its strength increases in the horizontal and vertical directions. A genetic algorithm was used in [1] for solving a multi-objective build orientation problem. They optimized several variables, yield and tensile strength, elongation and vickers hardness, for material properties used, surface roughness, support structure and build time and cost.

In this paper, we propose a multi-objective optimization approach to optimize the support area and the build time in order to get the best orientation of 3D CAD models using the Electromagnetism-like algorithm combined with weighted Tchebycheff scalarization method is proposed. The weighted Tchebycheff method was selected since it can be used to solve problems with nonconvex Pareto fronts and can find non-extreme solutions (trade-offs) in the presence of multiple conflicting criteria. Four models previously used in a single-objective context will be used [19].


This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the build orientation optimization problem and the multi-objective optimization approach used in this study. The description of the models used in the numerical experiments, the Pareto fronts obtained for each model as well as an appropriate discussion for each one is presented in Sect. 3. Finally, Sect. 4 presents the conclusions of this study and the future work.

## 2 Optimization Problem

### 2.1 Part Orientation

The surface finish of an object obtained through additive manufacturing process is highly important. A good surface finish can decrease or even eliminate time spent in subsequent post-processing (finishing). Part orientation can affect the surface finish due to the slicing process and the support material usage in the build of the part. Rotating a part to a different orientation can decrease the support usage and build time of a part.

One of the problems affecting the surface finish of the part is the staircase effect. The layer thickness have an impact on the staircase effect, since the smaller the thickness of the model layer the staircase effect will also be smaller, resulting in a better surface finish. This effect is related to the cusp height (CH) that is based on the maximum CH distance between the part surface and the model surface [13] (see Fig. 1). The CH is given by  $CH = t \cos(\theta)$ , where  $t$  is the layer thickness and  $\theta$  is the angle between the part surface and the CH.



**Fig. 1.** Cusp height

In addition, the CAD model area is also very important for the construction of the part. For some functions, a direction vector  $d$  is required and is calculated by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ , where the variables  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are given by (1):

$$d = \begin{cases} x = \sin \beta \times \cos \alpha \\ y = \sin \beta \times \sin \alpha \\ z = \cos \beta \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Figure 2 shows the unit direction vector  $d$  with the variables represented.




Fig. 2. Unit direction vector of build orientation [9].

## 2.2 Objective Functions

There are different measures that can be considered to determine the best build orientation for an improvement of the surface finish. Some of them take into account factors as the part accuracy, building time, structure support and part stability. The build orientation of a model can improve the accuracy of the part and reduce the number of generated supports, and consequently decrease the final building costs. At the same time, the construction/build time should be reduced in order to decrease the final building costs too. Thus, in this study a multi-objective optimization to determine the build orientation of a 3D CAD model according to two factors: the support area and the build time will be used.

The support area is defined as the total area of the downward-facing facets, that is, the quantity of supports to be used in the construction of the part, measured through the total contact area of the external supports with the object. In fact, the support area mostly affects post-processing and superficial finish [9,19].

The support area (SA) can be defined by

$$SA = \sum_i A_i |d^T n_i| \delta \quad (2)$$

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d^T n_i < 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } d^T n_i > 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $A_i$  is the area of the triangular face  $i$ ,  $d$  is the unit vector of the direction of construction of the triangular face  $i$ ,  $n_i$  is the normal unit vector of the triangular

face  $i$  and  $\delta$  is the initial function [9]. In this study, it was considered the vector  $d = (0, 0, 1)^T$  to be the direction of slicing after a rotation along the angles  $(x, y)$ , taking into account that each angle is between 0 and 180°.

The build time includes the scanning time of the solid, the scanning time of the solid contours and the scanning time of the support needed for the part, where the scanning times of the solid and its contours are independent of the construction direction, and the scanning time of the support depends on your volume.

The preparation time of the piece encompasses the precise time for the platform to move downwards during the construction of each layer, the scraping time of this and other times of preparation of the part. This time depends on the total number of slices of the solid, the number of slices dependent on the height of the construction direction of a particular part of the piece. Therefore, minimizing this height and the number of layers, can decrease the construction time of the part [9, 19].

The build time (BT) is given by (3):

$$BT = \max(d^T v_1, d^T v_2, d^T v_3) - \min(d^T v_1, d^T v_2, d^T v_3) \quad (3)$$

where  $d$  is the direction vector and  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are the vertex triangle facets.

### 2.3 Multi-objective Approach

Based on the part build orientation problem, the multi-objective optimization intends to simultaneously minimize the support area and the build time, defined in Eqs. (2) and (3). The general multi-objective optimization problem is formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \min f(\theta_x, \theta_y) &= \{f_1(\theta_x, \theta_y), f_2(\theta_x, \theta_y)\} \\ \text{s.t. } 0 &\leq \theta_x \leq 180 \\ &0 \leq \theta_y \leq 180 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the objective functions  $f_1(\theta_x, \theta_y)$  and  $f_2(\theta_x, \theta_y)$  are, respectively, the support area, SA in (2), and the part building time, BT in (3). In this problem, the  $\theta_x$  and  $\theta_y$  are the rotation along the  $x$ -axis and the  $y$ -axis, respectively.

Approximating the Pareto optimal set is the main goal of a multi-objective optimization algorithm. A first attempt to solve this problem is to reformulate the multi-objective optimization problem to a single-objective one using a scalarization method in order to obtain different trade-offs between the objectives [8]. In scalarization methods, weights and/or goals are introduced. The simplicity is the main advantage of the weighted sum method based on the linear combination of the objectives. However, in the case of problems with nonconvex fronts, it is not possible to find non-supported solutions since there is no weights yielding these elements of the Pareto set.

In this paper, the weighted Tchebycheff scalarization method will be applied since it is suitable to tackle nonconvex problems. In this method, introduced by Steuer and Choo [21], the  $L_\infty$  norm is minimized, i.e., the maximum distance to a reference point (or aspiration levels) is minimized. This method can be

used as an a posteriori approach in which the decision making process takes place after the search. In this case, the reference point is defined as the *ideal vector* and the weights are uniformly varied to obtain different trade-offs. The ideal vector can be computed by determining the optimum of each objective. In this manner, after the search, a set of Pareto optimal solutions is presented as alternatives and the decision maker can identify the compromises and choose according to his/her preferences. A disadvantage of the weighted Tchebycheff method is, however, that in addition to the non-dominated points also weakly non-dominated points can be found [5].

The weighted Tchebycheff method is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \max_{i=1,\dots,k} [w_i(f_i(x) - z_i^*)] \\ \text{s.t. } x \in \chi, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $k$  is the number of objective functions,  $w_i$  are the components of the weights vector,  $f_i$  is the  $i$ -th objective function,  $z_i^*$  are the components of the ideal vector and  $\chi$  is the feasible set of the decision vectors.

Finally, the single-objective optimization problem to be solved, that resulted from a transformation of the multi-objective problem (4) through the weighted Tchebycheff scalarization method, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \min \max \{w_1(f_1(x) - z_1^*), w_2(f_2(x) - z_2^*)\} \\ \text{s.t. } 0 \leq \theta_x \leq 180 \\ 0 \leq \theta_y \leq 180. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In this study, we are interested in the Electromagnetism-like (EM) algorithm, proposed in [6] and specifically designed for solving bound constrained optimization problems, to solve the problem (6). The EM algorithm is a population-based stochastic search method for global optimization that mimics the behavior of electrically charged particles. EM algorithm simulates the electromagnetism theory of physics by considering each point in the population as an electrical charge. The EM uses an attraction-repulsion mechanism to move a population of points towards optimality. The steps of the EM algorithm for bound constrained optimization are described in Algorithm 1 as shown below.

```

Randomly generate the population
Evaluate the population and select the best point
while maximum number of function evaluations is not reached do
    | Compute the charges
    | Compute the total forces
    | Move the points except the best point
    | Evaluate the new population and select the best point
end

```

**Algorithm 1.** EM algorithm

The EM algorithm starts with a population of randomly generated points from the feasible region. All points are evaluated (the corresponding objec-

tive function values are computed) and compared in order to identify the best point. Analogous to electromagnetism, each point in the space is considered as a charged particle. The charge of each point is related to the objective function value and determines the magnitude of attraction or repulsion of the point over the others in the population. Points with lower objective function values attract others while those with higher function values repel. The charges are used to find the total force exerted on each point as well as a direction for each point to move the points in the subsequent iterations. The total force vector exerted on each point by the other points is the sum of individual component forces, each depending on the charges. According to the electromagnetism theory, each individual force is inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the two points and directly proportional to the product of their charges. Then, the normalized total force vector exerted on the point is used to move the point in the direction of the force by a random step size. The best point is not moved and is carried out to the subsequent iteration. This process is repeated at least for a maximum number of objective function evaluations and the best point is identified as the output of the algorithm. A fully description of the EM algorithm can be found in [20].

### 3 Numerical Experiments


#### 3.1 Models Description

In this section, we present the 3D CAD models that will be used. First, the CAD models should be converted into an STL (STereoLithography) format that is the standard file type used by most common 3D printing file formats. The STL files describe only the surface geometry of a 3D object, not presenting color, texture, or other common attributes of the CAD model. This represents a 3D solid object using triangular faces. The more complex the models are, the greater their number of triangular faces.

Figure 3 shows the STL files of the models that will be used in the present study, already used in a single-objective optimization study in [19]: Air Duct, Rear Panel Fixed, Rocket Shot and 45 Degree Short. Table 1 presents the data of the models studied in this work, namely the Size ( $width \times height \times depth$ ), the volume ( $Vol.$ ), the number of triangles ( $Triangles$ ) and the number of slices ( $Slices$ ) of each model. A slicing along the  $z$ -axis of 0.2 mm height was considered.

**Table 1.** Data of the models.

	<i>Size (mm)</i>	<i>Vol. (cm<sup>3</sup>)</i>	<i>Triangles</i>	<i>Slices</i>
Air Duct	$52 \times 109.9 \times 102.5$	30.6	6024	529
Rear Panel Fixed	$142.5 \times 142.5 \times 113$	46.2	3008	676
Rocket Shot	$61.4 \times 66.9 \times 61.37$	20.8	10616	324
45 Degree Short	$157.5 \times 125 \times 157.5$	80.8	66888	625



**Fig. 3.** STL representation of the models.

The numerical experiments were carried out on a PC Intel(R) Core(TM)i7-7500U CPU with 2.9 GHz and 12.0 GB of memory RAM. The EM algorithm was coded in MATLAB Version 9.2 (R2017a) as well as all the optimization code developed to solve the problem (6).

### 3.2 Results

In order to compute the solutions of the problem (6), we used the objective functions SA in (2) and BT in (3), that were normalized using the ideal and nadir vectors. The ideal vector  $z^*$  is constructed with the individual optimal objective values, corresponding to the lower bound of each objective in the entire feasible space. The nadir vector  $z^{\text{nad}}$  represents the upper bound of each objective in the entire Pareto optimal set. Normalized values of the  $i$ -th objective function can be computed by

$$f_i^{\text{norm}}(x) = \frac{f_i(x) - z_i^*}{z_i^{\text{nad}} - z_i^*}.$$

The weights were uniformly varied, i.e.,  $(w_1, w_2) \in \{(0, 1), (0.1, 0.9), \dots, (1, 0)\}$ . A population size of 20 and a maximum number of function evaluations of 2000 were considered for the EM algorithm. For each combination of weights, 30 independent runs were performed. In addition, the software *Simplify 3D* was used, which is a 3D model printing simulator, to show the solutions found for each model.

The Pareto fronts for the different models will be displayed. In all graphs, the solutions obtained are plotted with a blue dot and the non-dominated ones are marked with a red circle. Representative solutions will be selected to discuss the trade-offs between the objectives and identify the features associated to these solutions.

Figure 4 plots the solutions obtained in the objective space for the Rear Panel Fixed model. The table next to the Pareto front chart presents the angles and objective function values for the four representative non-dominated solutions of the Pareto front (solutions A to D). The Pareto front is nonconvex for this problem. Solutions A and D are the optimal solutions in terms of SA and BT, respectively. Solutions B and C are different trade-offs between the objectives. It is observed that solution B is little more advantageous in terms of BT in relation




Fig. 4. Pareto front and representative solutions for the Rear Panel Fixed model.





Fig. 5. Representation of the solutions A, B, C and D of Rear Panel Fixed model.

to solution A, but it is quite worse in terms of SA. When comparing solution C with solution D, a large decrease in the BT value and a small degradation in the SA value can be observed.

The 3D representations of solutions A to D can be seen in Fig. 5. Solution A, with the best value of SA and the worst value of BT, corresponds to the angles (90.0, 180.0). Conversely, solution D in the other extreme of the Pareto front


has the angles (180.0, 134.9). There are other solutions that represent different compromises between the two objectives. Solution B with angles (124.7, 149.9) does not require many supports, but in terms of BT spends more time. Solution C with angles (139.2, 142.7) has a lower height (better in terms of BT), but requires many supports, as can be viewed in Fig. 5.

Figure 6 shows the Pareto front obtained for the Air Duct model and the table indicates the angles and objective function values for the six representative non-dominated solutions selected (solutions A to F). In this problem, the Pareto front is also nonconvex. Solutions A and B have similar values of BT, but solution B is significantly worse than solution A with respect to SA. This stresses the importance of identifying the compromises between the objectives. The gain in BT achieved by solution B when compared to solution A is negligible and the loss in terms of SA is large. Therefore, solution A is clearly preferable than solution B. When comparing the other compromise solutions between C and F, it is observed an improvement in terms of BT, but a degradation in the value of SA.




**Fig. 6.** Pareto front and representative solutions for the Air Duct model.

The 3D representations of solutions A to F for the Air Duct model are presented in Fig. 7. The best solution in terms of SA is the solution A with orientation (180.0, 180.0). The minimum value of BT is obtained with orientation (126.2, 90.0) corresponding to solution F. The remaining solutions are compromise solutions between the two objectives. In particular, solutions D and E have a similar  $\theta_x$  value and a different  $\theta_y$  value, where a rotation in  $y$ -axis is observed. This means that an improvement was obtained in terms of BT, since the orientation of the object to be printed led to a smaller height, but at the expense of an increase in the number of supports as can be seen in Fig. 7. The variation of  $\theta_y$  allows to reduce BT from solution D to solution E (but SA increases).




**Fig. 7.** Representation of the solutions A, B, C, D, E and F of Air Duct model.

Figure 8 shows the Pareto front obtained for the Rocket Shot model and the table indicates the angles and objective function values for five representative solutions (solutions A to E). Again, a nonconvex Pareto front was obtained. From the figure and the table, it turns out that solutions A and B have the same BT value. Therefore, solution A is preferable to solution B since has a better value of SA. Looking at solutions from C to E, it can be observed that, along the Pareto front, they constitute improvements in terms of BT, but worsening the values of SA. The 3D representations of solutions A to E are presented in Fig. 9. Solution A with orientation  $(180.0, 180.0)$  is the best in terms of SA and has fewer brackets. Conversely, the solution E with the angles  $(90.1, 135.0)$  minimizes BT but has the worst SA value. The remaining solutions are trade-offs between the two objectives. It should be noted that solution B with orientation  $(180.0, 0.0)$  is similar to solution A in terms of BT, but it is worse in terms of SA. This is due to the fact that rotating the solution A by  $180^\circ$  in the  $y$ -axis corresponds to a solution with the same height. The solution D with orientation  $(104.6, 135.0)$  when compared to solution C with orientation  $(97.9, 90.0)$  improves significantly in terms of BT with a small degradation of the value of SA, as it can be seen in Fig. 9.




**Fig. 8.** Pareto front and representative solutions for the Rocket Shot model.




**Fig. 9.** Representation of the solutions A, B, C, D and E of Rocket Shot model.

In Fig. 10, it is presented the Pareto front obtained for the 45 Degree Short model as well as the corresponding angles and objective function values for the four representative non-dominated solutions (solutions A to D). As it can be seen, the Pareto front has some nonconvex regions. Solution A is the solution with the best SA value. Solution B represents a large improvement of the value of BT when compared to solution A. However, this implies some degradation of the SA value. Between solutions B and D there is a significant increase in SA and a slight reduction in the value of BT. Thus, solution B seems to be a good compromise between SA and BT. Solution D is the solution with the best BT value but with a large value of SA.



**Fig. 10.** Pareto front and representative solutions for the 45 Degree Short model.

The 3D representations of solutions A to D are presented in Fig. 11. Solution A with the angles (89.9, 135.3) has the best SA value, but the worst BT value. On the other extreme of the Pareto front, solution D with orientation (0.0, 0.0) has the best value of BT, since its orientation reduces the height of the model. However, this solution has the worst SA value since many supports are required. Solutions B and C with the angles (175.8, 90.0) and (91.6, 45.0), respectively, are compromise solutions between BT and SA. Solution C is better in BT and worse in SA when compared to solution B.



**Fig. 11.** Representation of the solutions A, B, C and D of 45 Degree Short model.

## 4 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, it is proposed a multi-objective approach to the build orientation optimization problem. The support area and the build time of 3D models are optimized simultaneously. Four building 3D CAD models are studied.

The weighted Tchebycheff scalarization method was used to transform the multi-objective problem into a single-objective one and embedded in the Electromagnetism-like algorithm to solve this multi-objective optimization problem. It is observed that the convexity of Pareto fronts depends on the 3D CAD models being optimized. All Pareto fronts obtained for the 3D models considered have nonconvex regions. This highlights the importance of using a scalarization method that can achieve nonsupported solutions. These results allow us to perceive the relationship between the objectives for each of the models. Moreover, it is possible to identify the trade-offs between the objectives and select the most appropriate solution. Therefore, it is clear the advantage of using a multi-objective approach that considers different criteria to find the best orientation of building 3D CAD models.

For future work, it is intended to apply this approach to multi-objective orientation problems with other criteria and with other more complex models.

**Acknowledgments.** This work has been supported and developed under the FIBR3D project - Hybrid processes based on additive manufacturing of composites with long or short fibers reinforced thermoplastic matrix (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-016414), supported by the Lisbon Regional Operational Programme 2020, under the PORTUGAL 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). This work was also supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia within the Project Scope: UID/CEC/00319/2019.

## References

1. Brika, S.E., Zhao, Y.F., Brochu, M., Mezzetta, J.: Multi-objective build orientation optimization for powder bed fusion by laser. *J. Manufact. Sci. Eng.* **139**(11), 111011 (2017)
2. Canellidis, V., Dedoussis, V., Mantzouratos, N., Sofianopoulou, S.: Pre-processing methodology for optimizing stereolithography apparatus build performance. *Comput. Ind.* **57**(5), 424–436 (2006)
3. Canellidis, V., Giannatsis, J., Dedoussis, V.: Genetic-algorithm-based multi-objective optimization of the build orientation in stereolithography. *Int. J. Adv. Manufact. Technol.* **45**(7–8), 714–730 (2009)
4. Cheng, W., Fuh, J., Nee, A., Wong, Y., Loh, H., Miyazawa, T.: Multi-objective optimization of part-building orientation in stereolithography. *Rapid Prototyping J.* **1**(4), 12–23 (1995)
5. Dächert, K., Gorski, J., Klamroth, K.: An augmented weighted tchebycheff method with adaptively chosen parameters for discrete bicriteria optimization problems. *Comput. Oper. Res.* **39**(12), 2929–2943 (2012)
6. Birbil, S.I., Fang, S.-C.: An electromagnetism-like mechanism for global optimization. *J. Glob. Optim.* **25**, 263–282 (2003)
7. Gurralla, P.K., Regalla, S.P.: Multi-objective optimisation of strength and volumetric shrinkage of fdm parts: a multi-objective optimization scheme is used to optimize the strength and volumetric shrinkage of FDM parts considering different process parameters. *Virtual Phys. Prototyping* **9**(2), 127–138 (2014)
8. Jaimes, A.L., Martinez, S.Z., Coello, C.A.C.: An introduction to multiobjective optimization techniques. *Optim. Polym. Process.* 29–57 (2009)
9. Jibin, Z.: Determination of optimal build orientation based on satisfactory degree theory for RPT. In: Ninth International Conference on Computer Aided Design and Computer Graphics, pp. 6–pp. IEEE (2005)
10. Kruth, J.P.: Material in-process manufacturing by rapid prototyping techniques. *CIRP Ann.-Manufact. Technol.* **40**(2), 603–614 (1991)
11. Lan, P.T., Chou, S.Y., Chen, L.L., Gemmill, D.: Determining fabrication orientations for rapid prototyping with stereolithography apparatus. *Comput.-Aided Des.* **29**(1), 53–62 (1997)
12. Li, A., Zhang, Z., Wang, D., Yang, J.: Optimization method to fabrication orientation of parts in fused deposition modeling rapid prototyping. In: 2010 International Conference on Mechanic Automation and Control Engineering, pp. 416–419. IEEE (2010)

13. Livesu, M., Ellero, S., Martínez, J., Lefebvre, S., Attene, M.: From 3D models to 3D prints: an overview of the processing pipeline. In: *Computer Graphics Forum*, vol. 36, pp. 537–564. Wiley Online Library (2017)
14. Masood, S., Rattanawong, W., Iovenitti, P.: A generic algorithm for a best part orientation system for complex parts in rapid prototyping. *J. Mat. Process. Technol.* **139**(1–3), 110–116 (2003)
15. Padhye, N., Deb, K.: Multi-objective optimisation and multi-criteria decision making in SLS using evolutionary approaches. *Rapid Prototyping J.* **17**(6), 458–478 (2011)
16. Pandey, P., Reddy, N.V., Dhande, S.: Part deposition orientation studies in layered manufacturing. *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* **185**(1–3), 125–131 (2007)
17. Pereira, S., Vaz, A., Vicente, L.: On the optimal object orientation in additive manufacturing. *Int. J. Adv. Manufact. Technol.* **98**, 1–10 (2018)
18. Phatak, A.M., Pande, S.: Optimum part orientation in rapid prototyping using genetic algorithm. *J. Manufact. Syst.* **31**(4), 395–402 (2012)
19. Rocha, A.M.A.C., Pereira, A.I., Vaz, A.I.F.: Build orientation optimization problem in additive manufacturing. In: Gervasi, O., et al. (eds.) *ICCSA 2018. LNCS*, vol. 10961, pp. 669–682. Springer, Cham (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95165-2\\_47](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95165-2_47)
20. Rocha, A.M.A.C., Silva, A., Rocha, J.G.: A new competitive implementation of the electromagnetism-like algorithm for global optimization. In: Gervasi, O., et al. (eds.) *ICCSA 2015. LNCS*, vol. 9156, pp. 506–521. Springer, Cham (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-21407-8\\_36](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-21407-8_36)
21. Steuer, R.E., Choo, E.U.: An interactive weighted tchebycheff procedure for multiple objective programming. *Math. Program.* **26**(3), 326–344 (1983)
22. Thrimurthulu, K., Pandey, P.M., Reddy, N.V.: Optimum part deposition orientation in fused deposition modeling. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* **44**(6), 585–594 (2004)
23. Wang, W.M., Zanni, C., Kobbelt, L.: Improved surface quality in 3D printing by optimizing the printing direction. In: *Computer Graphics Forum*, vol. 35, pp. 59–70. Wiley Online Library (2016)