

# PORTUGAL SB13

CONTRIBUTION OF SUSTAINABLE BUILDING TO MEET EU 20-20-20 TARGETS

30 Oct > 1 Nov | 2013 | Guimarães | PORTUGAL



## Editors

Luís Bragança  
Manuel Pinheiro  
Ricardo Mateus



TÉCNICO  
LISBOA



# PORTUGAL SB13

## CONTRIBUTION OF SUSTAINABLE BUILDING TO MEET EU 20-20-20 TARGETS

Organized by



Universidade do Minho



Instituto Superior Técnico

Partners



**PORTUGAL SB13**

**CONTRIBUTION OF SUSTAINABLE  
BUILDING TO MEET EU 20-20-20 TARGETS**

**Editors**

Luís Bragança

Manuel Pinheiro

Ricardo Mateus

© 2013 The authors

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any mean, without prior written permission from the Publisher.

ISBN 978-989-96543-7-2

Printed by Multicomp

1<sup>st</sup> edition, October 2013

Legal Dep. 365726/13

#### LEGAL NOTICE

The Publisher is not responsible for the use which might be made of the following information.

## Foreword

The international conference Portugal SB13 is organized by the University of Minho, the Technical University of Lisbon and the Portuguese Chapter of the International Initiative for a Sustainable Built Environment in Guimarães, Portugal, from the 30<sup>th</sup> of October till the 1<sup>st</sup> of November 2013.

This conference is included in the Sustainable Building Conference Series 2013-2014 (SB13-14) that are being organized all over the world. The event is supported by high prestige partners, such as the International Council for Research and Innovation in Building and Construction (CIB), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the International Federation of Consulting Engineers (FIDIC) and the International Initiative for a Sustainable Built Environment (iiSBE).

Portugal SB13 is focused on the theme “Sustainable Building Contribution to Achieve the European Union 20-20-20 Targets”. These targets, known as the “EU 20-20-20” targets, set three key objectives for 2020:

- A 20% reduction in EU greenhouse gas emissions from 1990 levels;
- Raising the share of EU energy consumption produced from renewable resources to 20%;
- A 20% improvement in the EU's energy efficiency.

Building sector uses about 40% of global energy, 25% of global water, 40% of global resources and emit approximately 1/3 of the global greenhouse gas emissions (the largest contributor). Residential and commercial buildings consume approximately 60% of the world's electricity. Existing buildings represent significant energy saving opportunities because their performance level is frequently far below the current efficiency potentials. Energy consumption in buildings can be reduced by 30 to 80% using proven and commercially available technologies. Investment in building energy efficiency is accompanied by significant direct and indirect savings, which help offset incremental costs, providing a short return on investment period. Therefore, buildings offer the greatest potential for achieving significant greenhouse gas emission reductions, at least cost, in developed and developing countries.

On the other hand, there are many more issues related to the sustainability of the built environment than energy. The building sector is responsible for creating, modifying and improving the living environment of the humanity. Construction and buildings have considerable environmental impacts, consuming a significant proportion of limited resources of the planet including raw material, water, land and, of course, energy. The building sector is estimated to be worth 10% of global GDP (5.5 trillion EUR) and employs 111 million people. In developing countries, new sustainable construction opens enormous opportunities because of the population growth and the increasing prosperity, which stimulate the urbanization and the construction activities representing up to 40% of GDP. Therefore, building sustainably will result in healthier and more productive environments.

The sustainability of the built environment, the construction industry and the related activities are a pressing issue facing all stakeholders in order to promote the Sustainable Development.

The Portugal SB13 conference topics cover a wide range of up-to-date issues and the contributions received from the delegates reflect critical research and the best available practices in the Sustainable Building field. The issues presented include:

- Nearly Zero Energy Buildings
- Policies for Sustainable Construction
- High Performance Sustainable Building Solutions
- Design and Technologies for Energy Efficiency

- Innovative Construction Systems
- Building Sustainability Assessment Tools
- Renovation and Retrofitting
- Eco-Efficient Materials and Technologies
- Urban Regeneration
- Design for Life Cycle and Reuse
- LCA of sustainable materials and technologies

All the articles selected for presentation at the conference and published in these Proceedings, went through a refereed review process and were evaluated by, at least, two reviewers.

The Organizers want to thank all the authors who have contributed with papers for publication in the proceedings and to all reviewers, whose efforts and hard work secured the high quality of all contributions to this conference.

A special gratitude is also addressed to Eng. José Amarílio Barbosa and to Eng. Catarina Araújo that coordinated the Secretariat of the Conference.

Finally, Portugal SB13 wants to address a special thank to CIB, UNEP, FIDIC and iiSBE for their support and wish great success for all the other SB13 events that are taking place all over the world.

The Organizers

Luis Bragança – University of Minho

Manuel Pinheiro – IST - Técnico of Lisbon University

Ricardo Mateus – iiSBE Portugal

## Scientific Committee

**Albert Cuchí**

Universidad Politécnica Cataluña, Spain

**Aleksander Panek**

University of Warsaw, Poland

**Alexander Passer**

Graz University of Technology Austria

**Andreas Rietz**

Fed. Inst. for Research on Building, Germany

**António Tadeu**

Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal

**Appu Haapio**

VTT, Finland

**Charles Kibert**

University of Florida, USA

**Christian Wetzel**

Calcon, Germany

**Dimitrios Bikas**

University of Thessaloniki, Greece

**Dorota Chwieduk**

Institut Techniki Cieplnej, Poland

**Eduardo Maldonado**

Universidade do Porto, Portugal

**Emilio Mitre**

GBC España, Spain

**Fátima Farinha**

Universidade do Algarve, Portugal

**Fernando Branco**

Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal

**Frank Schultmann**

University of Karlsruhe, Germany

**Gerd Hauser**

Technical University of Munich, Germany

**Helena Gervásio**

Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal

**Hipólito de Sousa**

Universidade do Porto, Portugal

**Jaume Avellaneda**

Universidad Politécnica Cataluña, Spain

**Jorge de Brito**

Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal

**Luís Bragança**

Universidade do Minho, Portugal

**Luís Simões da Silva**

Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal

**Manuel Correia Guedes**

Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal

**Manuel Duarte Pinheiro**

Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal

**Manuela Almeida**

Universidade do Minho, Portugal

**Maria Teresa Barbosa**

Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Brasil

**Marina Fumo**

University of Naples, Italy

**Maristela Gomes da Silva**

University of Espirito Santo, Brasil

**Mat Santamouris**

University of Athens, Greece

**Miguel Amado**

Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

**Natalie EBig**

Hochschule München, Germany

**Nicolas Kerz**

Fed. Inst. for Research on Building, Germany

**Nils Larsson**

iiSBE, Canada

**Pekka Huovila**

VTT, Finland

**Petr Hajék**

University of Prague, Czech Republic

**Raymond Cole**

University of British Columbia, Canada

**Ricardo Mateus**

Universidade do Minho, Portugal

**Rogério Amoêda**

Green Lines Institute, Portugal

**Ronal Rovers**

Zuyd University, The Netherlands

**Said Jalali**

Universidade do Minho, Portugal

**Sungwoo Shin**

Hanyang University, Korea

**Tarja Häkkinen**

VTT, Finland

**Teresa Ponce Leão**

LNEG, Portugal

**Tomas Luetzkendorf**

University of Karlsruhe, Germany

**Tom Woolley**

University of Central Lancashire, UK

**Vanessa Gomes**

Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brasil

**Vasco Peixoto Freitas**

Universidade do Porto, Portugal

**Vítor Ferreira**

Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal

# Contents

## Foreword

*Luís Bragança, Manuel Pinheiro, Ricardo Mateus*

## Chapter 1: Nearly Zero Energy Buildings

Cost optimal building renovation with a net zero energy target for the Portuguese single-family building stock built before 1960 <i>Manuela Almeida, Marco Ferreira, Micael Pereira</i>	3
Zero-Energy-Buildings and their arrangement in Zero-Energy-Urban-Quarters in different climates: Derivation of design strategies based on climatic parameters, examples for building and urban quarter typologies and comparison with the existing ones <i>Udo Dietrich, Franz Kiehl, Liana Stoica</i>	11
The first phase of a zero emission concept for an office building in Norway <i>Torhildur Kristjansdottir, Sofie Mellegård, Tor Helge Dokka, Berit Time, Matthias Haase, Jens Tønnesen</i>	19
Assessing design practices towards nearly zero energy buildings <i>Patrícia Morais, Ana Tomé</i>	27
Cost optimality and nZEB target in the renovation of Portuguese building stock. Rainha Dona Leonor neighborhood case study <i>Manuela Almeida, Ana Rodrigues, Marco Ferreira</i>	35
Energy Performance of a Galician Hostel <i>Ruth Dominguez Sanchez, César Bedoya Frutos</i>	43
Monitoring of Indoor Climate of a Net Zero Energy Office in Flanders <i>Griet Verbeeck, Elke Meex</i>	51
The qualifications and professional competencies of architects on the energy efficiency of buildings. Are they prepared to embrace the 2020 targets? <i>Sílvia Fernandes, Rui Oliveira, Maria Isabel Abreu</i>	59

## Chapter 2: Policies for Sustainable Construction

Including sustainability into portfolio decisions: The example of the University of Vienna <i>Sigrid Niemeier, Harald Peterka</i>	69
--	----

# The qualifications and professional competencies of architects on the energy efficiency of buildings. Are they prepared to embrace the 2020 targets ?

Sílvia Fernandes

*Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Department of Construction and Planning, Bragança, Portugal  
silvia@ipb.pt*

Rui Oliveira

*Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Department of Construction and Planning, Bragança, Portugal  
roliveira@ipb.pt*

Maria Isabel Abreu

*Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Department of Construction and Planning, Bragança, Portugal  
isabreu@ipb.pt*

**ABSTRACT:** The recast Energy Performance of Buildings Directive requires that from 2020 onwards, all new buildings are nearly zero-energy buildings. This new concept requires a strong implementation of sustainable construction methods and techniques as well as the integration of renewable energy technologies in building architecture. Among the stakeholders, architects intervene at an early stage of any building construction process. A survey and expert interviews were conducted, and a review of literature was carried out, followed by a comparative analysis of the structures and degree syllabuses of Portuguese architecture degree courses. The most common problems reported were the lack of dissemination of the EPBD requirements and the need to improve access to regulations, tools and methods, as well as best practices. The conclusion drawn from these results is that it is essential to raise architects awareness towards the important role that they can play in the implementation of these new requirements.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The new concept of buildings for the future involves a range of building materials and systems that place major emphasis on the issue of sustainability and energy efficiency. This requires for a deeper approach to these issues in order to help professionals to focus on the implementation of real solutions for the buildings.

Bearing in mind that an integrated design process has become the norm and that energy efficiency has become one of the priorities of a building project, design project teams must start looking at energy and performance issues early on and throughout the whole design and operation process. Architects belong to the group of professionals who will most likely make up the first design concept together with the client and other investors and consultants. As a building is designed, all the aspects of sustainability, bioclimatic solutions and buildings physics can be considered from the initial step, which will help engineers to achieve higher levels of optimization of the building energy performance. Additionally, the recast Energy Performance of Buildings Directive - EPBD - (European Parliament and Council of the EU 2010) requires that from 2020 onwards, all new buildings are nearly zero-energy buildings and, therefore, architects need to acquire, in a short/medium term, the sufficient knowledge to deal with these recent requirements. Thus, it is important to analyze whether sustainability and energy efficiency has been sufficiently discussed through the architecture degree courses and how prepared these professionals currently are, as well as how they are putting these subjects into practice in their design projects. The architects' role is undoubtedly important in the initial design phase of a building because they are the main responsible for defining many of the sustainable construction methods and techniques.

## 2 SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The focus of this paper is to give an evidence-based image of how deeply the subjects related to sustainability, energy efficiency and bioclimatic solutions are being learned by architecture degree courses undergraduates as well as of how these subjects are being put into practice in the design projects. As well as this, it reports on the barriers architects feel that they are facing nowadays and on their expectations about these matters for the near future.

In order to reach the aim of this study, a literature review was carried out, followed by a comparative analysis of different structures and degree syllabuses of architecture degree courses in Portugal. The analysis focused on the scientific areas and degree syllabuses of the course units in order to find out to what extent the subjects are part of the undergraduate learning outcomes. The statutes and regulations of Professional Orders and other Associations were also reviewed so as to understand the actual requirements and framework related to professional qualifications. In addition to this, a survey and expert interviews to architects were conducted. Based on the questionnaire structure and feedback, some additional interviews were held.

The inquiry and the interviews involved a total of 40 architects. The respondents work mainly in design project in Northern Portugal and their ages range from 26 to 55 years old. About 22 of the respondents have a Master's degree, 17 have a license degree and one has a license degree and a post-graduation. They all obtained their qualifications between 1986 and 2012, respectively. The majority of the respondents (70%) attended private higher education institutions and the other 30% attended state higher education institutions. About 50 architects were invited to answer the questionnaire, from recent graduate architects to more professional experienced architects.

The inquiry consisted of 30 questions, the majority being close answer questions. Some of the respondents recorded their answers directly on the questionnaire form whereas others were interviewed, in which cases the respondent followed the questionnaire as well as the normal procedures recommended for these cases by Yin (2002).

## 3 GOALS AND CHALLENGES OF THE RECAST EPBD

According to INE (2011), energy consumption in buildings in Portugal represents about 30% of the total consumption (17.7% in the domestic sector and 12% in services). Furthermore, the energies used in buildings tend to be depleted, and Portugal, as well as the other European Union countries, greatly depend on energy when compared with other countries (79% of imported energy in 2011) (Conselho de Ministros 2013).

As far as climate change and energy are concerned, the European Parliament proposed a plan of action, the "Climate and Energy Package: Triple 20' targets until 2020", whose goals are as follows: a 20% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from 1990 levels; raising the share of energy consumption produced from renewable sources to 20% and a 20% improvement in energy efficiency until 2020.

According to Commission of the European Communities (2008) energy efficiency represents one of the goals of the climate and energy package, being one of the main means to reduce CO<sub>2</sub>. The buildings sector represents one of the fields of action. The directive 2010/31/EU, of May 19 2010 (Energy Performance of Building Directive - EPBD) recast the directive 2002/91/CE, and consolidated that goal.

The biggest novelty about the recast EPBD (European Parliament and Council of the EU 2010) is that it makes it mandatory for buildings to be nearly zero-energy buildings after 2021 (NZEB "Nearly Zero Emissions Building"). Besides this, it also points towards the importance that retrofitting existing buildings assumes as an opportunity to take sustainable measures and improve their energy performance. Energy certification remains mandatory and the demands concerning energy efficiency will tend to increase. According to EPBD, a building with nearly zero energy needs is a building with a very high energy performance. The nearly zero or very low energy needs must be covered by energy from renewable sources produced locally or nearby. According to Aelenei (2012), the main issue is the annual energy balance between consump-

tion needs and production.

The International Energy Agency (IEA 2013) and through the Solar Heating & Cooling Programme, Task 40 and ECBCS Annex 52, is working on a definition of the net zero-energy buildings (nZEB) in order for the various member states to standardize the main guidelines to be followed based on experimental projects which relate different countries' realities as far as construction and building use are concerned.

According to Aelenei et al. (2011), zero energy balance buildings (NZEB) can be considered as an evolution of Low Energy Consumption Buildings or Passive Houses. Passive approaches play a crucial role in addressing NZEB design as they directly affect the heating, cooling, ventilation and lighting loads put on the buildings mechanical and electrical systems, and indirectly, the strive for renewable energy generation, in Aelenei et al. (2012). Thus, the optimization of architectural design is pointed out by several experts as one of the key measures to reduce energy needs.

In a recent European study elaborated by the Architects Council of Europe (2012) about the practice of architecture, the respondents agreed that energy efficiency is a key driver in the design of buildings today. The results show that 50% "strongly agree" and 40% "slightly agree", approximately 10% disagree, among which 2% "strongly disagree". The same study assessed how architects feel towards the competence to design a NZEB (Nearly Zero Energy Building). The percentage of architects who feel "very competent" and "competent" depends on the country, but it varies from 32% in Sweden to 80% in Austria. Portuguese architects' answers reveal a percentage of 60%. The study shows that there is no improvement from 2010 until now concerning how competent architects feel to design a Nearly Zero Energy Building in Europe. On the other hand, the answers to the question about how often respondents are currently asked by clients to design with NZEB standards or through regulation showed that a little more than half of the respondents are asked less than 10% of the time, and only 13% of the respondents are asked more than 50% of the time. These and other studies lead to the conclusion that architects are relatively aware of the advantages of these matters but the implementation in buildings is still far from being a current practice.

## 4 THE ARCHITECTURE PROJECT DESIGN AND ARCHITECTS' QUALIFICATIONS

### 4.1 *The evolution of the architecture requirements and its contribution to sustainability*

The adaptation of construction to climate as well as the use of local and natural resources gave rise to vernacular architecture. The industrial revolution marked the appearance and spread of new materials and technologies, thus marking the rupture with the traditional solutions. As a result of the dazzle of technological development, together with the low cost of fossil fuels, the balance between climate, culture and local materials was disturbed. The answer consisted of applying artificial solutions which consume energy in order to meet comfort needs. The oil crisis as well as the environmental disturbances and the great energy dependency on non-renewable resources are all concerns resulting from the society's contemporary lifestyle. In Portugal, these concerns have been felt and expressed in various ways. Besides the international and European energy and environment policies which result in national directives and requirements increasingly more specific, some buildings assessment and certification methodologies have also appeared. Some of these methodologies, such as energy certification, are mandatory, but others are voluntary, such as sustainability assessment methods. Meanwhile, new concepts have been appearing and architecture has been constantly described as "Green", "Eco-friendly", "Bioclimatic", "Passive", "Sustainable", etc. Moura (2007) disagrees with these adjectives used to describe architecture, claiming that "There is no eco-friendly, intelligent or sustainable architecture. There is only good architecture." The same author refers that "*architecture does not have to be sustainable. In order to be good, architecture is implicitly sustainable.*" Unfortunately, this is not always the case, and Simon & Graham (2006) said "*debates about sustainable architecture are shaped by different social interests, based on different interpretations of the problem, and characterized by quite different pathways towards a range of sustainable futures*". Anyway, these tools and new concepts promote sustainability.

The design of a new building involves the drafting of a range of projects, namely the architecture project and partial specialties projects. Portuguese Law n. 31/2009 of July 3 describes the design project as “the coordinated set of written and drafted documents, integrating the main project and the other projects, which define and characterize the functional, aesthetic and constructive conception of a construction work (...)” The same law describes the main project as “the one which defines the features imposed by the function of the construction work and represents the matrix for the other projects which condition it and are conditioned by it.” Therefore, the architecture project of a building represents the main project, which means that the other projects must respect it as a matrix.

In Portugal, the transposition of the first EPBD (European Parliament and Council of the EU 2002) gave rise to a new regulation for buildings thermal behavior – RCCTE (2006), whose application is mandatory in a new building design project, as well as it is the building energy certification. The RCCTE changed significantly the calculation methodology and introduced new requirements regarding the buildings surrounding environment as well as the mandatory installation of solar collectors. It is possible to say that the demands regarding the quality of the thermal project increased significantly with the introduction of the Buildings Energy Certification System (SCE) as a consequence of the project’s validation by a qualified expert and the issuing of the respective certificate. These new requirements and demands also condition the architecture project in various respects, namely: the increase of the thermal insulation and walls thickness; the treatment of thermal bridges and the integration of solar collectors.

These were some of the novelties resulting from the current legal requirements which implied a change in the buildings architectural design. These new requirements gave rise to the development of new constructive solutions, such as: ventilated façades, prefabricated insulation systems, heat pumps, and photovoltaic systems, among others.

The recast EPBD brought about an even bigger challenge as it is necessary to implement practices which are allied to the current technology and which are sustainable throughout the building life cycle. It is important to highlight that the new national law which transposes this directive was passed by the Council of Ministers in June 2013, in which a review of the existing national law was carried out and several scattered laws were assembled into a single law.

#### 4.2 *The architect’s role in the context of sustainability and EPBD*

The recast EPBD refers that “*Member States should enable and encourage architects and planners to properly consider the optimal combination of improvements in energy efficiency, use of energy from renewable sources and use of district heating and cooling when planning, designing, building and renovating industrial or residential areas*”. The recognition of the importance of the architects’ role in the implementation of this directive is unanimous.

In the Directive n. 2008/C319/05 of December 13 on the Council’s Conclusions regarding Architecture, it is assumed that “*architecture, a subject of cultural creation and innovation, namely technological, represents a notable illustration of what culture can give to sustainable development due to its impact on the cultural dimension of cities as well as on the economy, on the social cohesion and on the environment.*”

In turn, in the 12th Congress of Architects promoted by the Portuguese Order of Architects (2009), the need for the creation and implementation of an Architecture public policy was expressed, in the light of what happens in most countries in the European Union. Such policy should imply, among other goals, the promotion of sustainable construction best practices, energy efficiency and the fight against climate change with regard to buildings, cities and landscapes. In addition to this, such policy should also value culture and citizenship, as well as architects’ professional practice.

#### 4.3 *Qualifications for the practice of architecture*

According to Portuguese Law 31/2009 of July 3, from October 31 2014 onwards, architecture design projects will be drafted and signed by architects who are members of the Order of Architects only. The duties of the design project’s authors include the compliance with the norms and law in force and the application and justification of the solutions which comply with the required demands; the guaranty of aesthetic, functional and feasibility levels of the project and

construction work; the guaranty of a joint work with the project's coordinator in the harmonization of the written and the designed elements in order to ensure integrity and coherence. In the construction phase, designers must provide technical assistance.

The design of a building involves the drafting of the architecture project (matrix project) by an architect or by a team of architects, as well as the drafting of specialties projects by engineers of various specialties. Ideally, this group of technicians would work in the same physical space and as a team. According to The Architects Council of Europe (2012), technicians' work is often done in an individual and isolated way, without any team spirit. However, one of the technicians involved in the project has to take the role of the project coordinator. In the construction of buildings, the architect or one of the architects in the team often takes that role. The project coordinator has to ensure the articulation among the project team with regard to the construction work features, the compatibility between the various projects and the compliance with law and regulations concerning each specialty, so that the interpretation of the project by the various stakeholders of the construction execution is unequivocal. Therefore, the project coordinator must have technical knowledge in several areas.

According to the same Law n. 31/2009 of July 3, architects can also play the role of construction manager and of "engineer". These figure must ensure the execution of the construction work and the compliance with the execution project, and the "engineer" must check the execution of the construction work. Both must ensure the compliance with law and regulations. However, in order to be able to play these roles, architects, as well as other technicians, are subject to a set of minimum requirements and exceptions related to work experience and others.

Architects assume a crucial role in the constructive process, either as designers or as coordinators, project managers, engineers or construction managers. Also, architects are usually the ones who establish communication with the project client. Therefore, they must be able to identify the client's comfort and habitability needs, and they must have the knowledge required to ensure that those needs are met, implementing optimized solutions as far as energy performance and environmental and economic sustainability are concerned, and giving priority to passive solutions.

## 5 COMPETENCIES ACQUIRED IN ARCHITECTURE DEGREE COURSES

According to Portuguese Law 74/2006 of March 24, the Architecture curricular training confers the Master's degree with 300 ECTS (European Credit Transfer System). The degree course is proposed by the higher education institution and is then assessed and accredited by the Portuguese Higher Education Evaluation and Accreditation Agency by Regulation n.º 504/2009 of December 18. The first 180 ECTS confer the license degree. The Order of Architects confers the title of architect to the graduates who "*are in possession of certificates stating the academic qualifications foreseen by the Application Regulation, n.1 article 2, (license degree in Architecture in a course certified before March 24 2006, or integrated master's degree in Architecture with a course syllabus certified after March 24 2006)*", or alternatively, who are in possession of a training certificate in architecture registered in the European Directive 2005/36/CE of September 7 (European Commission 2005). The candidates to the title of Architect must also attend a traineeship and are subject to mandatory training sessions as well as to passing an exam of "Knowledge Verification in Status and Deontology."

According to the research done on the structure of the Architecture degree courses taught in Portugal, the weight of the subjects related to energy efficiency and energy technologies represents between 5 and 6 ECTS among the total 300 ECTS for each cycle of studies, and such subjects can also be approached in the Project curricular units, although only as autodidactic research in most cases. In the face of the importance of the topic, the low incidence of these subjects throughout the courses seems insufficient to the adequate preparation of the future graduates. According to Byrne (2013), some areas, such as resource management and energy efficiency, have been absent from architecture degree courses and retrofitting has always been a disregarded area in architecture.

However, the market has increasingly been providing an offer of extracurricular courses and training sessions. Currently, there is an offer of courses addressing energy efficiency and sustainable construction, namely some master's degrees and post-graduations as well as more spe-

cific courses such as the Passive House Norm. Also, there are seminars, conferences, and workshops promoted by various entities. Most, if not all the training offer is held on the coastal area and at times which make it difficult for professionals from the inland area to take part. The time to implement and put the new requirements of the recast EPBD into practice is short. It is important that the architects' curricular training addresses buildings energy efficiency and renewable energies.

## 6 CURRENT POSITION OF ARCHITECTS TOWARDS SUSTAINABILITY AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY

### 6.1 *State of knowledge, barriers and drivers*

The results of the study enable us to make some considerations on the state of knowledge, the barriers, and the drivers of architects regarding this issue. With regard to the architects' motivations and positive considerations, we highlight the ones in Table 1. With regard to the barriers felt by architects, we highlight the ones in Table 2.

Table 1: Main positive considerations and drivers

1	Working in team and in the same physical space makes decision making easier and increases the Project quality, as problems and doubts are sorted out more easily.
2	SCE brought more transparency into the information to provide to the client, increased the Project quality as well as the stakeholders' accountability.
3	There is an environmental awareness and architects are open to the adoption of sustainable solutions
4	Professionals are already adopting strategies such as: solar exposure of façades and insulated fenestration; shading; natural lighting; insulation equipment incorporation; and thermal bridges treatment.

Table 2 – Main barriers

1	Law regarding the buildings energy certification system (SCE) is considered quite specific and bureaucratic, thus difficult to implement, especially in retrofitting cases. (The law in force demanded changes in the construction habits, namely: the integration of solar collectors; the increase of insulation thickness; the increase in number and quality of constructive details; and the increase of the project drafting time.)
2	SCE increased the costs to the client.
3	Architects consider the adoption of some sustainable and passive solutions and technologies difficult to put into practice, especially due to: lack of knowledge, even regarding energy benefits; lack of the client's awareness; low expression in the market; and increase of costs.
4	There is still a very low implementation in buildings of passive bioclimatic strategies, such as: Trombe walls; annex greenhouse; green roofs and walls; evaporative cooling;
5	The idea prevails that the implementation of active solutions such as acclimatization systems, hot water preparation, and renewable energy incorporation leads easily to the energy class increase and, therefore, the implementation of other passive solutions is neglected.
6	Some architects consider there is still a lack of expert engineers to support architects in the design of these building sustainable solutions.
7	There is the perception that the Portuguese Order of Architects does not provide an accessible and sufficient promotion of knowledge updating.

With regard to the knowledge concerning bioclimatic/low energy consumption projects/solutions, 62.5% of the respondents find it insufficient. In turn, most of the knowledge they have was acquired through training sessions and self-initiative research. About 36 of the 40 respondents intend to attend courses in this area in a short time. Some specialists believe that training for engineers and architects in this area should be differentiated and that architects should be informed and advised about the best solutions by specialized engineers.

Over 60% of the respondents have knowledge regarding passive/bioclimatic strategies such as: Trombe walls; green roofs; geothermal acclimatization; annex greenhouse and evaporative cooling.

Little more than half of the respondents take the design project economic feasibility into account when adopting passive strategies. However, only 37.5% of the respondents get clients' feedback on economic savings and comfort levels through the solutions adopted.

About 75% of the respondents do not take the law in force into account in the architectural design of low energy consumption buildings. As well as this, 87.5% of the sample does not have

knowledge regarding 2010 EPBD. Also, 70% do not have knowledge of the requirements after 2020 regarding nearly zero-energy consumption buildings (NZEB), or consider the regulation on energy efficiency well-adapted to the building retrofitting specificities.

In general terms, the implementation of an architecture policy is inexistent, and so are methodologies which favor the implementation of bioclimatic solutions and the quantification of their economic and environmental benefits. The inexistence of practical examples adapted to the different regions of the country is also transversal and causes contempt towards the principles which support the solutions implemented in vernacular architecture, which is also visible as far as the law in force is concerned. This contributes to the conditioning of wider retrofitting practices.

## 6.2 Recommendations and improvement actions

Based on the results of this study, it is possible to put forward some recommendations and improvement actions, presented as follows:

- The existence of an architecture policy focused on sustainable development and energy efficiency, with a more practical implementation feasibility and containing examples;
- The adaptation of the learning outcomes and syllabuses of the architecture degree courses curricular units, placing emphasis on subjects regarding sustainable building, energy efficiency, renewable energy systems and energy retrofitting.
- The promotion of courses/training sessions focusing on NZEB, bioclimatic solutions, energy efficiency, renewable energy and sustainable construction;
- A higher focus of the law in force on building retrofitting;
- The provision of technical Thematic Guides/Catalogues containing successful building practices and examples adapted to each region, among other elements;
- A higher level of freedom of action regarding building retrofitting;
- The promotion of research on methodologies adequate to the incorporation of various bioclimatic solutions and mechanisms to accelerate their integration process in the SCE. Town Councils can promote their implementation by reducing the Property Taxes on NZEB.

## 7 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to understand the level of preparation and knowledge that Portuguese architecture experts have regarding sustainability and energy efficiency issues.

The new directive brings about more changes regarding construction requirements and methods. The conclusion drawn from the study was that architects play a crucial role as decision-makers, coordinators and communicators with the client, since not only do they integrate the project from the very beginning, but they are also given the qualification to perform these tasks. However, the study shows that most of the architects inquired recognize that their degree course did not provide them with sufficient skills or tools to implement sustainable and bioclimatic solutions in buildings. As well as this, the degree course syllabuses register a low number of ECTS, and consequently few learning outcomes concerning these issues throughout the learning process.

The respondents generally agree that the SCE contributes to improve the project and the construction work quality as well as the stakeholders' accountability. However, it also requires for a higher level of knowledge concerning the implementation of constructive and system solutions. Thus, team work improves the quality of the work, but is hardly put into practice. The results also show that the respondents consider that legislation, bureaucracy and costs related to SCE influence many of the technical options, especially as far as older buildings retrofitting is concerned. Many architects have been demanding more training and more tools for decision support, as well as further clarification of the regulations. Thus, it is necessary to develop and publish clear and specific information on the implementation of practical examples of passive and energy efficiency solutions adapted to each region.

In conclusion, the achievement of NZEB in the end of 2020 attending sustainability does not appear to be an easy process, as it requires the effort and cooperation of various stakeholders as well as the mobilization of various resources. Furthermore, it seems clear that there is still some

way to go towards raising the architecture professionals' awareness of the important role they play in this domain. Thus, it is necessary to accelerate the creation of mechanisms which help them feel and actually be better prepared to embrace the challenges set by the new regulation and the goals for 2020.

## REFERENCES

- Aelenei L. & Aelenei & D. Gonçalves, H. 2011. Net Zero-Energy Buildings – An overall look at the main underlying concepts. *Sustentabilidade na Reabilitação Urbana: O novo paradigma do mercado da construção (Conferência Nacional)*. Portugal, Guimarães: iisbe.
- Aelenei, L.& Aelenei, D.& Gonçalves, H. 2012. Design issues for net zero-energy buildings. *ZEMCH 2012 - International Conference, Glasgow, 20-22 August 2012*, UK.
- Byrne, G. 2013. A arquitetura ficou sozinha no seu castelo. *Diário Imobiliário*. 21 de Maio. Carnaxide: Diário Imobiliário.
- Architects Council of Europe & Mirza and Nacey Research. 2012. The Architectural Profession in Europe 2012. Architects – the Individual. *A Sector Study Commissioned by the Architects Council of Europe*: Chapter 4. UK: Architects Council of Europe.
- Commission of the European Communities. 2008. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament. 2008. The Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions 20 20 by 2020. Europe's Climate Change Opportunity. *COM(2008) 30*. Brussels.
- Conselho de Ministros. 2013. Resolução do Conselho de Ministros n.º 20/2013 de 10 de Abril. *Diário da República*. 1.ª série - N.º 70.
- Conselho de Ministros. 2013. *Comunicado do Conselho de Ministros de 13 de Junho de 2013*. (available in <http://www.portugal.gov.pt/pt/os-ministerios/ministro-da-presidencia-e-dos-assuntos-parlamentares/documentos-oficiais/20130613-cm-comunicado.aspx>).
- Decreto-lei n.º 80/2006 de 4 de Abril de 2006. Regulamento da Características do Comportamento Térmico de Edifícios – RCCTE. *Diário da República 1ª série A n.º 67*. Imprensa Nacional Casa da Moeda.
- European Parliament and Council of the EU. 2002. Energy Performance Building Directive - EPBD. 2002. Directive 2002/91/CE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2002. The energy performance of buildings. *Official Journal of the European Union*. Brussels.
- European Parliament and Council of the EU. 2010. Energy Performance Building Directive - EPBD. 2010. Directive 2010/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 2010. *Official Journal of the European Union*. Brussels.
- European Commission. 2005. Directive n.º 2005/36/CE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 September 2005. The recognition of professional qualifications. *Official Journal of the European Union*. Brussels.
- International Energy Agency. 2013. *EBC Annual Report*. Energy in Buildings and Communities Programme. AECOM Ltd on behalf of the International Energy Agency. (available in <http://www.ecbcs.org/>).
- INE. 2011. *Inquérito ao Consumo de Energia no Sector Doméstico 2010*, Edição 201. Lisboa: DGEG.
- Lei n.º 74/2006 de 24 de Março de 2006. Regime jurídico dos graus e diplomas do ensino superior. *Diário da República*, 1.ª série - N.º 60. Imprensa Nacional Casa da Moeda.
- Lei nº 31/2009 de 3 de Julho. Aprova o regime jurídico que estabelece a qualificação profissional exigível aos técnicos (...). *Diário da República*, 1.ª série - N.º 127. Imprensa Nacional Casa da Moeda.
- Moura, E.S. 2007. Entrevista. *Jornal El Pais de 30 de Junho 2007*. Madrid: Jornal El Pais.
- Ordem dos Arquitectos. 2006. *Boletim n.º 165*, de Outubro de 2006. Lisboa: Ordem dos Arquitectos.
- Ordem dos Arquitectos. 2009. Moção de orientação global, Arquitetura para Todos: uma Política Pública de Arquitetura para Portugal. *12º Congresso dos Arquitectos, 10-12 December 2009*. V.N.Famalicão.
- Regulamento nº 504/2009 de 18 de Dezembro. Regime dos Procedimentos de Avaliação e Acreditação das Instituições de Ensino Superior e dos seus Ciclos de Estudos. A3ES. *Diário da República*. Imprensa Nacional Casa da Moeda.
- Simon, G. & Graham, F. 2006. Reinterpreting Sustainable Architecture: The Place of Technology. *Journal of Architectural Education* 54: Issue 3.
- Yin, R. K. 2002. Case Study Research, *Design and Methods*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.

# Author Index


Abreu, M. I.	59, 547	Cenalmor, M. D. M.	137
Aelenei, D.	879	Cerroni, F.	565
Aelenei, L.	879	Cinca, M.	597
Afonso, A. S.	191	Civiero, P.	371, 565
Almeida, M.	3, 35, 153, 319, 505, 615	Clemente, C.	565
Altan, H.	167	Coimbra, J.	153
Amado, M.	697, 825	Collet, J. P.	489
Amaral, S.	75	Condessa, M. B.	727
Amoêda, R.	763	Correia, J.	871
Andrade, J.	427	Correia, R.	639
Andrade, P.	403	Corsetti, M.	565
Andreev, A.	303	Couto, J. P.	243
Ansuini, R.	339, 347	Cvetkovska, M.	303
Araújo, C.	505	Cruz, P.	763
Arbizzani, E.	371	Dan, D.	161
Avellaneda, J.	581	De Temmerman, N.	775, 783
Bancea, O.	597	Debacker, W.	775
Barbosa, J. A.	751	Dias, C. M.	647
Barbosa, M. T.	365, 387	Dietrich, U.	11, 107, 623
Barreira, L.	887	Dimitriadou, E.	175
Barucco, M.	121	Dokka, T. H.	19
Bernaldo, M. O.	137	Dressel, R.	451
Bikas, D.	91, 279	Duarte, M. L.	515
Boeri, A.	605	Duff, J.	287
Borlin, G.	727	Eide, S.	817
Bragança, L.	269, 319, 327, 413, 427, 435, 505, 751	Eires, R.	183
Branco, J.	379	Elias, R.	631
Brito, J.	837	Escaleira, C.	763
Brunoro, S.	555	Esteban, J.	137
Burgos, A. C.	489	Estévez, D.	137
Cabrera, M. E. A.	581	Faria, P.	709
Caldeira, L. F.	481	Fernandes, J.	259, 269
Calixto, L.	515	Fernandes, S.	59, 639
Camões A.	183	Fernandez-Sanchez, G.	137
Cardoso, C.	183	Ferreira, D.	639
Carvalho, J.	855	Ferreira, M.	3, 35
Castanheira, G.	435	Ferreira, T.	259
Castañon, J.	365	Freitas, J.	697
Castillejo, A.	137	Frutos, C. B.	43
Castro, M. F.	413	Galle, W.	783
		Gano, A.	871
		Gaspari, J.	605

Gervásio, H.	403	Maia, M.	365
Giannini, L.	565	Mallory-Hill, S.	443, 497
Giarma, C.	457	Mansour, E.	631
Girardi, A.	199	Manzanero, A. M.	137
Giretti A.	339, 347	Marfil, L. C.	237
Gonçalves, H.	865, 879	Martinho, S.	145
Gonçalves, J.	259	Martins, C.	395
González, A. R.	335	Martins, M.	871
Haase, M.	19	Mateus, I.	589
Hawkins, D.	311	Mateus, R.	145, 259, 269, 413, 435, 589, 751
Heitor, T.	295	Matos, M.	855
Henriques, D.	75	McManus, C.	129
Henriques, P.	799, 847	Meex, E.	51, 465
Hilliaho, K.	809	Mellegård, S.	19
Hippert, M. A.	481	Mencagli, P.	565
Holcroft, N.	221	Mohelnikova, J.	167
Issa, M.	443, 497	Molina, J. F.	237
Jalali, S.	207	Monteiro, S.	403
Jelínek, P.	355, 531	Morais, A.	207
Johansson, J.	251	Morais, P.	27
Keenaghan, G.	129	Moreira, L.	893
Kelly, K.	287	Moreno, C.	639
Kiehl, F.	11	Mumovic, D.	311
Knezevic, M.	303	Murphy, M.	129
Köliö, A.	83	Mustaparta, O.	229
Kotzinos, D.	457	Narciso, L.	515
Kristjansdottir, T.	19, 817	Nascimento, J.	327
Kron, M.	817	Niemeier, S.	69
Lahdensivu, J.	83, 809	Nunes, D. M.	539, 719
Larghetti, R.	339	Nunes, J.	897
Laskos, K.	91	Oliveira, R.	59, 547
Lauret, B.	237	Oloke, D.	99
Leitão, D.	229	Ostrý, M.	355
Leite, H.	887, 893	Ouf, M.	443
Lemma, M.	339, 347	Pacheco, F.	473
Leoncini, L.	113	Paduart, A.	775
Linhares de Siqueira, G.	107	Pakkala, T.	83
Lirola, J. M.	237	Panão, M. O.	865
Longo, D.	605	Pereira, A.	847
Lopes, J.	547	Pereira, M.	3
Lourenço, P.	295	Peterka, H.	69
Lourenço, P. B.	379	Petri, M.	573
Loxton, C.	631	Piermattei, P.	523, 565
Ludwig, Z.	365	Pinheiro, M. D.	295, 539, 719, 727, 837
Luso, E.	639		

Author Index

Pinto, M.	799	Thomson, A.	791
Poggi, F.	697	Time, B.	19
Radwan, A.	497	Ting, L.	887
Refaee, M.	167	Tomé, A.	27, 539, 719
Ramalhete, I.	825	Tommis, M.	735
Rasmussen, M.	573	Tønnesen, J.	19
Rigueiro, C.	145	Trpevski, S.	303
Rodrigues, A.	35	Tsikaloudaki, K.	91, 279
Rodrigues, C.	191	Tsirigoti, D.	279
Rodrigues, P.	697	Vacarezza, G. O.	237
Rodriguez-Largacha, M. J.	137	Valente, A.	743
Roh, S.	421	Vandenbroucke, M.	775
Sabou, C.	161	Vargas, V. Z.	237
Sacht, H.	319, 327	Vaz, J.	639
Salat, S.	659	Veljkovic, M.	403
Sanchez, R. D.	43	Verbeeck, G.	51, 465
Santos, A.	213	Walker, P.	791
Santos, P.	395	Wetzel, C.	451
Santos, W.	387	Wyckmans, A.	473
Sazedj, S.	207		
Schelbach, S.	623		
Sedlák, J.	531		
Sepponen, M.	735		
Sequeira, P.	855		
Serra, S.	647		
Shea, A.	175, 221		
Shin, S.	421, 677		
Silva, C.	379		
Silva, F.	243		
Silva, H.	871		
Silva, L.	855		
Silva, L. S.	395		
Silva, N.	893		
Silva, S. M.	229, 589, 615		
Silvestre, J. D.	837		
Soares, L.	855		
Šteffek, L.	355		
Stoian, V.	161		
Stoica, L.	11		
Stránská, Z.	531		
Struhala, K.	531		
Świątek, L.	771		
Tae, S.	421		
Tanasa, C.	161		
Tellnes, L. G.	817		





## WHAT IS INNOMATNET?

InnoMatNet is a 30 month project launched in April 2012, funded under the NMP (Nanosciences, nanotechnologies, materials and new production technologies) theme of the European Union 7th Framework Programme.

InnoMatNet aims to advance the goal of an innovation society by developing a flexible approach that establishes teams of innovators which link materials labs with industry (particularly the creative industry), as well as other innovation actors, providing support to help them bring new products and processes to market.

## MAIN ACTIVITIES

**IDENTIFY KEY STAKEHOLDERS** and **MAP THEIR NEEDS** of initiatives and tools that support innovation



**IDENTIFY BEST PRACTICE CASE STUDIES** of collaboration between materials researchers and industrial entrepreneurs

**WEBSITE** as the focal information and networking platform

**DATABASE** of key stakeholders in Europe

**10 NETWORKING AND TRAINING EVENTS** in various European countries, to bring together the right actors for new alliances

**2 SUMMER SCHOOLS** to train young researchers



[www.innomatnet.eu](http://www.innomatnet.eu)

## STAKEHOLDERS

### Designers

- Product designers
- Engineering designers
- Architects
- Design houses
- Artists
- Industrial designers

### Technologists

- Research Institutions
- Technology/knowledge Transfer Organisations
- Research Technology Organisations/Materials labs

### COMPANIES

- Manufacturers of materials
- Manufacturers of end products

### Other value chain stakeholders

- Building contractors
- Material suppliers
- Retailers
- End-of-life/Recycling

### Consumer/Society

- Consumer's associations
- Environmental oriented associations



Do you want to be part of a network of innovation in materials and design? Visit us at: [www.innomatnet.eu](http://www.innomatnet.eu)



InnoMatNet is supported by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n.° 290583. This publication reflects only the author's views. The European Union is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.







Universidade do Minho



ISBN 978-989-96543-7-2

design: m<sup>a</sup> fátima castro