

LNCS 10961

Oswaldo Gervasi · Beniamino Murgante
Sanjay Misra · Elena Stankova
Carmelo M. Torre · Ana Maria A. C. Rocha
David Taniar · Bernady O. Apduhan
Eufemia Tarantino · Yeonseung Ryu (Eds.)

Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2018

18th International Conference
Melbourne, VIC, Australia, July 2–5, 2018
Proceedings, Part II

2
Part II



 Springer

Commenced Publication in 1973

Founding and Former Series Editors:

Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, and Jan van Leeuwen

Editorial Board

David Hutchison

Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Takeo Kanade

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Josef Kittler

University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

Jon M. Kleinberg

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Friedemann Mattern

ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

John C. Mitchell

Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Moni Naor

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

C. Pandu Rangan

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India

Bernhard Steffen

TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany

Demetri Terzopoulos

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Doug Tygar

University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Gerhard Weikum


Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarbrücken, Germany


Oswaldo Gervasi · Beniamino Murgante
Sanjay Misra · Elena Stankova
Carmelo M. Torre · Ana Maria A. C. Rocha
David Taniar · Bernady O. Apduhan
Eufemia Tarantino · Yeonseung Ryu (Eds.)

Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2018


18th International Conference
Melbourne, VIC, Australia, July 2–5, 2018
Proceedings, Part II

Editors


Oswaldo Gervasi 
University of Perugia
Perugia
Italy


Beniamino Murgante 
University of Basilicata
Potenza
Italy

Sanjay Misra 
Covenant University
Ota
Nigeria


Elena Stankova 
Saint Petersburg State University
Saint Petersburg
Russia


Carmelo M. Torre 
Polytechnic University of Bari
Bari
Italy

Ana Maria A. C. Rocha 
University of Minho
Braga
Portugal

David Taniar 
Monash University
Clayton, VIC
Australia

Bernady O. Apduhan
Kyushu Sangyo University
Fukuoka shi, Fukuoka
Japan

Eufemia Tarantino 
Politecnico di Bari
Bari
Italy

Yeonseung Ryu 
Myongji University
Yongin
Korea (Republic of)

ISSN 0302-9743

ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)

Lecture Notes in Computer Science

ISBN 978-3-319-95164-5

ISBN 978-3-319-95165-2 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95165-2>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018947453

LNCS Sublibrary: SL1 – Theoretical Computer Science and General Issues

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

These multiple volumes (LNCS volumes 10960–10964) consist of the peer-reviewed papers presented at the 2018 International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA 2018) held in Melbourne, Australia, during July 2–5, 2018.

ICCSA 2018 was a successful event in the International Conferences on Computational Science and Its Applications (ICCSA) conference series, previously held in Trieste, Italy (2017), Beijing, China (2016), Banff, Canada (2015), Guimaraes, Portugal (2014), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (2013), Salvador, Brazil (2012), Santander, Spain (2011), Fukuoka, Japan (2010), Suwon, South Korea (2009), Perugia, Italy (2008), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (2007), Glasgow, UK (2006), Singapore (2005), Assisi, Italy (2004), Montreal, Canada (2003), and (as ICCS) Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2002) and San Francisco, USA (2001).

Computational science is a main pillar of most current research and industrial and commercial activities and it plays a unique role in exploiting ICT innovative technologies. The ICCSA conference series has been providing a venue to researchers and industry practitioners to discuss new ideas, to share complex problems and their solutions, and to shape new trends in computational science.

Apart from the general tracks, ICCSA 2018 also included 33 international workshops, in various areas of computational sciences, ranging from computational science technologies, to specific areas of computational sciences, such as computer graphics and virtual reality. The program also featured three keynote speeches.

The success of the ICCSA conference series, in general, and ICCSA 2018, in particular, is due to the support of many people: authors, presenters, participants, keynote speakers, session chairs, Organizing Committee members, student volunteers, Program Committee members, International Advisory Committee members, International Liaison chairs, and people in other various roles. We would like to thank them all.

We would also like to thank Springer for their continuous support in publishing the ICCSA conference proceedings and for sponsoring some of the paper awards.

July 2018

David Taniar
Bernady O. Apduhan
Osvaldo Gervasi
Beniamino Murgante
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha

Welcome to Melbourne

Welcome to “The Most Liveable City”¹, Melbourne, Australia. ICCSA 2018 was held at Monash University, Caulfield Campus, during July 2–5, 2018.

Melbourne is the state capital of Victoria, and is currently the second most populous city in Australia, behind Sydney. There are lots of things to do and experience while in Melbourne. Here is an incomplete list:

- Visit and experience Melbourne’s best coffee shops
- Discover Melbourne’s hidden laneways and rooftops
- Walk along the Yarra River
- Eat your favourite food (Chinese, Vietnamese, Malaysian, Italian, Greek, anything, ... you name it)
- Buy souvenirs at the Queen Victoria Market
- Go up to the Eureka, the tallest building in Melbourne
- Visit Melbourne’s museums
- Walk and enjoy Melbourne’s gardens and parks
- Visit the heart-shape lake, Albert Park Lake, the home of the F1 Grand Prix
- Simply walk in the city to enjoy Melbourne experience
- Try Melbourne’s gelato ice cream

Basically, it is easy to live in and to explore Melbourne, and I do hope that you will have time to explore the city of Melbourne.

The venue of ICCSA 2018 was in Monash University. Monash University is a member of Go8, which is considered the top eight universities in Australia. Monash University has a number of campuses and centers. The two main campuses in Melbourne are Clayton and Caulfield. ICCSA 2018 was held on Caulfield Campus, which is only 12 minutes away from Melbourne CBD by train.

The Faculty of Information Technology is one of the ten faculties at Monash University. The faculty has more than 100 full-time academic staff (equivalent to the rank of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, and Professor).

I do hope that you will enjoy not only the conference, but also Melbourne.

David Taniar

¹ The Global Liveability Report 2017, <https://www.cnbc.com/2017/08/17/the-worlds-top-10-most-livable-cities.html>

Organization

ICCSA 2018 was organized by Monash University (Australia), University of Perugia (Italy), Kyushu Sangyo University (Japan), University of Basilicata (Italy), and University of Minho, (Portugal).

Honorary General Chairs

Antonio Laganà	University of Perugia, Italy
Norio Shiratori	Tohoku University, Japan
Kenneth C. J. Tan	Sardina Systems, Estonia

General Chairs

David Taniar	Monash University, Australia
Bernady O. Apduhan	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan

Program Committee Chairs

Oswaldo Gervasi	University of Perugia, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Ana Maria A. C. Rocha	University of Minho, Portugal

International Advisory Committee

Jemal Abawajy	Deakin University, Australia
Dharma P. Agrawal	University of Cincinnati, USA
Marina L. Gavrilova	University of Calgary, Canada
Claudia Bauzer Medeiros	University of Campinas, Brazil
Manfred M. Fisher	Vienna University of Economics and Business, Austria
Yee Leung	Chinese University of Hong Kong, SAR China

International Liaison Chairs

Ana Carla P. Bitencourt	Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia, Brazil
Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Alfredo Cuzzocrea	University of Trieste, Italy
Maria Irene Falcão	University of Minho, Portugal
Robert C. H. Hsu	Chung Hua University, Taiwan
Tai-Hoon Kim	Hannam University, South Korea
Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
Takashi Naka	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan

X Organization

Rafael D. C. Santos National Institute for Space Research, Brazil

Maribel Yasmina Santos University of Minho, Portugal

Workshop and Session Organizing Chairs

Beniamino Murgante University of Basilicata, Italy

Sanjay Misra Covenant University, Nigeria

Jorge Gustavo Rocha University of Minho, Portugal

Award Chair

Wenny Rahayu La Trobe University, Australia

Web Chair

A. S. M. Kayes La Trobe University, Australia

Publicity Committee Chairs

Elmer Dadios De La Salle University, Philippines

Hong Quang Nguyen International University (VNU-HCM), Vietnam

Daisuke Takahashi Tsukuba University, Japan

Shangwang Wang Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications,
China

Workshop Organizers

Advanced Methods in Fractals and Data Mining for Applications (AMFDMA 2018)

Yeliz Karaca IEEE

Carlo Cattani Tuscia University, Italy

Majaz Moonis University of Massachusetts Medical School, USA

Advances in Information Systems and Technologies for Emergency Management, Risk Assessment and Mitigation Based on Resilience Concepts (ASTER 2018)

Maurizio Pollino ENEA, Italy

Marco Vona University of Basilicata, Italy

Beniamino Murgante University of Basilicata, Italy

Grazia Fattoruso ENEA, Italy

Advances in Web-Based Learning (AWBL 2018)

Mustafa Murat Inceoglu Ege University, Turkey

Birol Ciloglugil Ege University, Turkey

Bio- and Neuro-inspired Computing and Applications (BIONCA 2018)

Nadia Nedjah State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 Luiza de Macedo Mourell State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Computer-Aided Modeling, Simulation, and Analysis (CAMSA 2018)

Jie Shen University of Michigan, USA
 Hao Chen Shanghai University of Engineering Science, China
 Youguo He Jiangsu University, China

Computational and Applied Statistics (CAS 2018)

Ana Cristina Braga University of Minho, Portugal

Computational Geometry and Security Applications (CGSA 2018)

Marina L. Gavrilova University of Calgary, Canada

Computational Movement Analysis (CMA 2018)

Farid Karimipour University of Tehran, Iran

Computational Mathematics, Statistics and Information Management (CMSIM 2018)

M. Filomena Teodoro Lisbon University and Portuguese Naval Academy,
 Portugal

Computational Optimization and Applications (COA 2018)

Ana Maria Rocha University of Minho, Portugal
 Humberto Rocha University of Coimbra, Portugal

Computational Astrochemistry (CompAstro 2018)

Marzio Rosi University of Perugia, Italy
 Dimitrios Skouteris Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Italy
 Albert Rimola Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain

Cities, Technologies, and Planning (CTP 2018)

Giuseppe Borruso University of Trieste, Italy
 Beniamino Murgante University of Basilicata, Italy

Defense Technology and Security (DTS 2018)

Yeonseung Ryu Myongji University, South Korea

Econometrics and Multidimensional Evaluation in the Urban Environment (EMEUE 2018)

Carmelo M. Torre	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Maria Cerreta	University of Naples Federico II, Italy
Pierluigi Morano	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Paola Perchinunno	University of Bari, Italy

Future Computing Systems, Technologies, and Applications (FISTA 2018)

Bernady O. Apduhan	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Rafael Santos	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Shangguang Wang	Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China
Kazuaki Tanaka	Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan

Geographical Analysis, Urban Modeling, Spatial Statistics (GEO-AND-MOD 2018)

Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Hartmut Asche	University of Potsdam, Germany

Geomatics for Resource Monitoring and Control (GRMC 2018)

Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Umberto Fratino	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Benedetto Figorito	ARPA Puglia, Italy
Antonio Novelli	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Rosa Lasaponara	Italian Research Council, IMAA-CNR, Italy

International Symposium on Software Quality (ISSQ 2018)

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
--------------	------------------------------

Web-Based Collective Evolutionary Systems: Models, Measures, Applications (IWCES 2018)

Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Clement Leung	United International College, Zhouhai, China
Valentina Franzoni	University of Rome La Sapienza, Italy
Valentina Poggioni	University of Perugia, Italy

Large-Scale Computational Physics (LSCP 2018)

Elise de Doncker	Western Michigan University, USA
Fukuko Yuasa	High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, KEK, Japan
Hideo Matsufuru	High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, KEK, Japan

Land Use Monitoring for Soil Consumption Reduction (LUMS 2018)

Carmelo M. Torre	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Alessandro Bonifazi	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Pasquale Balena	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata , Italy
Eufemia Tarantino	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy

Mobile Communications (MC 2018)

Hyunseung Choo	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
----------------	--------------------------------------

Scientific Computing Infrastructure (SCI 2018)

Elena Stankova	Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia
Vladimir Korkhov	Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia

International Symposium on Software Engineering Processes and Applications (SEPA 2018)

Sanjay Misra	Covenant University, Nigeria
--------------	------------------------------

Smart Factory Convergence (SFC 2018)

Jongpil Jeong	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
---------------	--------------------------------------

Is a Smart City Really Smart? Models, Solutions, Proposals for an Effective Urban and Social Development (Smart_Cities 2018)

Giuseppe Borruso	University of Trieste, Italy
Chiara Garau	University of Cagliari, Italy
Ginevra Balletto	University of Cagliari, Italy
Beniamino Murgante	University of Basilicata, Italy
Paola Zamberlin	University of Florence, Italy

Sustainability Performance Assessment: Models, Approaches and Applications Toward Interdisciplinary and Integrated Solutions (SPA 2018)

Francesco Scorza	University of Basilicata, Italy
Valentin Grecu	Lucia Blaga University on Sibiu, Romania
Jolanta Dvarioniene	Kaunas University, Lithuania
Sabrina Lai	Cagliari University, Italy

Advances in Spatio-Temporal Analytics (ST-Analytics 2018)

Rafael Santos	Brazilian Space Research Agency, Brazil
Karine Reis Ferreira	Brazilian Space Research Agency, Brazil
Joao Moura Pires	New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Maribel Yasmina Santos	University of Minho, Portugal

Theoretical and Computational Chemistry and Its Applications (TCCA 2018)

M. Noelia Faginas Lago University of Perugia, Italy
Andrea Lombardi University of Perugia, Italy

Tools and Techniques in Software Development Processes (TTSDP 2018)

Sanjay Misra Covenant University, Nigeria

Challenges, Trends and Innovations in VGI (VGI 2018)

Beniamino Murgante University of Basilicata, Italy
Rodrigo Tapia-McClung Centro de Investigación en Geografía y Geomática Ing
Jorge L. Tamay, Mexico
Claudia Ceppi Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Jorge Gustavo Rocha University of Minho, Portugal

Virtual Reality and Applications (VRA 2018)

Osvaldo Gervasi University of Perugia, Italy
Sergio Tasso University of Perugia, Italy

International Workshop on Parallel and Distributed Data Mining (WPDM 2018)

Massimo Cafaro University of Salento, Italy
Italo Epicoco University of Salento, Italy
Marco Pulimeno University of Salento, Italy
Giovanni Aloisio University of Salento, Italy

Program Committee

Kenny Adamson University of Ulster, UK
Vera Afreixo University of Aveiro, Portugal
Filipe Alvelos University of Minho, Portugal
Hartmut Asche University of Potsdam, Germany
Michela Bertolotto University College Dublin, Ireland
Sandro Bimonte CEMAGREF, TSCF, France
Rod Blais University of Calgary, Canada
Ivan Blečić University of Sassari, Italy
Giuseppe Borruso University of Trieste, Italy
Ana Cristina Braga University of Minho, Portugal
Yves Caniou Lyon University, France
José A. Cardoso e Cunha Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Rui Cardoso University of Beira Interior, Portugal
Leocadio G. Casado University of Almeria, Spain
Carlo Cattani University of Salerno, Italy
Metec Celik Erciyes University, Turkey
Alexander Chemeris National Technical University of Ukraine KPI, Ukraine
Min Young Chung Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea

Florabela Maria da Cruz Domingues Correia	Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Gilberto Corso Pereira	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil
Carla Dal Sasso Freitas	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
Pradesh Debba	The Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), South Africa
Hendrik Decker	Instituto Tecnológico de Informática, Spain
Frank Devai	London South Bank University, UK
Rodolphe Devillers	Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada
Joana Matos Dias	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Paolino Di Felice	University of L'Aquila, Italy
Prabu Dorairaj	NetApp, India/USA
M. Irene Falcao	University of Minho, Portugal
Cherry Liu Fang	U.S. DOE Ames Laboratory, USA
Florabela P. Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Jose-Jesus Fernandez	National Centre for Biotechnology, CSIS, Spain
Paula Odete Fernandes	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Adelaide de Fátima Baptista Valente Freitas	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Manuel Carlos Figueiredo	University of Minho, Portugal
Maria Antonia Forjaz	University of Minho, Portugal
Maria Celia Furtado Rocha	PRODEB-PósCultura/UFBA, Brazil
Paulino Jose Garcia Nieto	University of Oviedo, Spain
Jerome Gensel	LSR-IMAG, France
Maria Giaoutzi	National Technical University, Athens, Greece
Arminda Manuela Andrade Pereira Gonçalves	University of Minho, Portugal
Andrzej M. Goscinski	Deakin University, Australia
Sevin Gm̄gm̄	Izmir University of Economics, Turkey
Alex Hagen-Zanker	University of Cambridge, UK
Malgorzata Hanzl	Technical University of Lodz, Poland
Shanmugasundaram Hariharan	B.S. Abdur Rahman University, India
Eligius M. T. Hendrix	University of Malaga/Wageningen University, Spain/The Netherlands
Tutut Herawan	Universitas Teknologi Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Hisamoto Hiyoshi	Gunma University, Japan
Fermin Huarte	University of Barcelona, Spain
Mustafa Inceoglu	EGE University, Turkey
Peter Jimack	University of Leeds, UK
Qun Jin	Waseda University, Japan
A. S. M. Kayes	La Trobe University, Australia
Farid Karimipour	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Baris Kazar	Oracle Corp., USA
Maulana Adhinugraha Kiki	Telkom University, Indonesia
DongSeong Kim	University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Taihoon Kim	Hannam University, South Korea
Ivana Kolingerova	University of West Bohemia, Czech Republic
Rosa Lasaponara	National Research Council, Italy
Maurizio Lazzari	National Research Council, Italy
Cheng Siong Lee	Monash University, Australia
Sangyoun Lee	Yonsei University, South Korea
Jongchan Lee	Kunsan National University, South Korea
Clement Leung	Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, SAR China
Chendong Li	University of Connecticut, USA
Gang Li	Deakin University, Australia
Ming Li	East China Normal University, China
Fang Liu	AMES Laboratories, USA
Xin Liu	University of Calgary, Canada
Savino Longo	University of Bari, Italy
Tinghuai Ma	NanJing University of Information Science and Technology, China
Luca Mancinelli	Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
Ernesto Marcheggiani	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Antonino Marvuglia	Research Centre Henri Tudor, Luxembourg
Nicola Masini	National Research Council, Italy
Eric Medvet	University of Trieste, Italy
Nirvana Meratnia	University of Twente, The Netherlands
Alfredo Milani	University of Perugia, Italy
Giuseppe Modica	University of Reggio Calabria, Italy
Josè Luis Montaña	University of Cantabria, Spain
Maria Filipa Mourão	IP from Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Laszlo Neumann	University of Girona, Spain
Kok-Leong Ong	Deakin University, Australia
Belen Palop	Universidad de Valladolid, Spain
Marcin Paprzycki	Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
Eric Pardede	La Trobe University, Australia
Kwangjin Park	Wonkwang University, South Korea
Ana Isabel Pereira	Polytechnic Institute of Bragança, Portugal
Maurizio Pollino	Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Italy
Alenka Poplin	University of Hamburg, Germany
Vidyasagar Potdar	Curtin University of Technology, Australia
David C. Prospero	Florida Atlantic University, USA
Wenny Rahayu	La Trobe University, Australia
Jerzy Respondek	Silesian University of Technology, Poland
Humberto Rocha	INESC-Coimbra, Portugal
Alexey Rodionov	Institute of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Geophysics, Russia

Jon Rokne	University of Calgary, Canada
Octavio Roncero	CSIC, Spain
Maytham Safar	Kuwait University, Kuwait
Chiara Saracino	A.O. Ospedale Niguarda Ca' Granda - Milano, Italy
Haiduke Sarafian	The Pennsylvania State University, USA
Marco Paulo Seabra dos Reis	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Jie Shen	University of Michigan, USA
Qi Shi	Liverpool John Moores University, UK
Dale Shires	U.S. Army Research Laboratory, USA
Inês Soares	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Takuo Suganuma	Tohoku University, Japan
Sergio Tasso	University of Perugia, Italy
Ana Paula Teixeira	University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, Portugal
Senhorinha Teixeira	University of Minho, Portugal
Parimala Thulasiraman	University of Manitoba, Canada
Carmelo Torre	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Javier Martinez Torres	Centro Universitario de la Defensa Zaragoza, Spain
Giuseppe A. Trunfio	University of Sassari, Italy
Toshihiro Uchibayashi	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Pablo Vanegas	University of Cuenca, Ecuador
Marco Vizzari	University of Perugia, Italy
Varun Vohra	Merck Inc., USA
Koichi Wada	University of Tsukuba, Japan
Krzysztof Walkowiak	Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Zequn Wang	Intelligent Automation Inc., USA
Robert Weibel	University of Zurich, Switzerland
Frank Westad	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway
Roland Wismüller	Universität Siegen, Germany
Mudasser Wyne	SOET National University, USA
Chung-Huang Yang	National Kaohsiung Normal University, Taiwan
Xin-She Yang	National Physical Laboratory, UK
Salim Zabir	France Telecom Japan Co., Japan
Haifeng Zhao	University of California, Davis, USA
Kewen Zhao	University of Qiongzhou, China
Fabiana Zollo	University of Venice Cà Foscari, Italy
Albert Y. Zomaya	University of Sydney, Australia

Reviewers

Afreixo Vera	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Ahmad Rashid	Microwave and Antenna Lab, School of Engineering, Korea
Aguilar José Alfonso	Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Mexico
Albanese Valentina	Università di Bologna, Italy
Alvelos Filipe	University of Minho, Portugal
Amato Federico	University of Basilicata, Italy
Andrianov Serge	Institute for Informatics of Tatarstan Academy of Sciences, Russia
Antunes Marília	University Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Apduhan Bernady	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Aquilanti Vincenzo	University of Perugia, Italy
Asche Hartmut	Potsdam University, Germany
Aslan Zafer	Istanbul Aydin University, Turkey
Aytaç Vecdi	Ege University, Turkey
Azevedo Ana	Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto, Portugal
Azzari Margherita	Università degli Studi di Firenze, Italy
Bae Ihn-Han	Catholic University of Daegu, South Korea
Balci Birim	Celal Bayar Üniversitesi, Turkey
Balena Pasquale	Politecnico di Bari, Italy
Balucani Nadia	University of Perugia, Italy
Barroca Filho Itamir	Instituto Metr�pole Digital da UFRN (IMD-UFRN), Brazil
Bayrak Şengül	Haliç University, Turkey
Behera Ranjan Kumar	Indian Institute of Technology Patna, India
Bimonte Sandro	IRSTEA, France
Bogdanov Alexander	Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia
Bonifazi Alessandro	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Borruso Giuseppe	University of Trieste, Italy
Braga Ana Cristina	University of Minho, Portugal
Cafaro Massimo	University of Salento, Italy
Canora Filomena	University of Basilicata, Italy
Cao Yuanlong	University of Saskatchewan, Canada
Caradonna Grazia	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy
Cardoso Rui	Institute of Telecommunications, Portugal
Carolina Tripp Barba	Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Mexico
Caroti Gabriella	University of Pisa, Italy
Ceccarello Matteo	University of Padova, Italy
Cefalo Raffaella	University of Trieste, Italy
Cerreta Maria	University Federico II of Naples, Italy
Challa Rajesh	Sungkyunkwan University, Korea
Chamundeswari Arumugam	SSN College of Engineering, India
Chaturvedi Krishna Kumar	Patil Group of Industries, India
Cho Chulhee	Seoul Guarantee Insurance Company Ltd., Korea

Choi Jae-Young	Sungkyunkwan University, Korea
Choi Kwangnam	Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Korea
Choi Seonho	Seoul National University, Korea
Chung Min Young	Sungkyunkwan University, Korea
Ciloglugil Birol	Ege University, Turkey
Coletti Cecilia	University of Chieti, Italy
Congiu Tanja	Università degli Studi di Sassari, Italy
Correia Anacleto	Base Naval de Lisboa, Portugal
Correia Elisete	University of Trás-Os-Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal
Correia Florbela Maria da Cruz Domingues	Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Portugal
Costa e Silva Eliana	Polytechnic of Porto, Portugal
Cugurullo Federico	Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
Damas Bruno	LARSyS, Instituto Superior Técnico, Univ. Lisboa, Portugal
Dang Thien Binh	Sungkyunkwan University, Korea
Daniele Bartoli	University of Perugia, Italy
de Doncker Elise	Western Michigan University, USA
Degtyarev Alexander	Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia
Demyanov Vasily	Heriot-Watt University, UK
Devai Frank	London South Bank University, UK
Di Fatta Giuseppe	University of Reading, UK
Dias Joana	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Dilo Arta	University of Twente, The Netherlands
El-Zawawy Mohamed A.	Cairo University, Egypt
Epicoco Italo	Università del Salento, Italy
Escalona Maria-Jose	University of Seville, Spain
Falcinelli Stefano	University of Perugia, Italy
Faginas-Lago M. Noelia	University of Perugia, Italy
Falcão M. Irene	University of Minho, Portugal
Famiano Michael	Western Michigan University, USA
Fattoruso Grazia	ENEA, Italy
Fernandes Florbela	Escola Superior de Tecnologia e Gestão de Braganca, Portugal
Fernandes Paula	Escola Superior de Tecnologia e Gestão, Portugal
Ferraro Petrillo Umberto	University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Italy
Ferreira Fernanda	Escola Superior de Estudos Industriais e de Gestão, Portugal
Ferrão Maria	Universidade da Beira Interior, Portugal
Figueiredo Manuel Carlos	Universidade do Minho, Portugal
Fiorini Lorena	Università degli Studi dell’Aquila, Italy
Florez Hector	Universidad Distrital Francisco Jose de Caldas, Colombia
Franzoni Valentina	University of Perugia, Italy

Freitau Adelaide de Fátima Baptista Valente	University of Aveiro, Portugal
Gabrani Goldie	Bml Munjal University, India
Garau Chiara	University of Cagliari, Italy
Garcia Ernesto	University of the Basque Country, Spain
Gavrilova Marina	University of Calgary, Canada
Gervasi Osvaldo	University of Perugia, Italy
Gioia Andrea	University of Bari, Italy
Giorgi Giacomo	University of Perugia, Italy
Giuliani Felice	Università degli Studi di Parma, Italy
Goel Rajat	University of Southern California, USA
Gonçalves Arminda Manuela	University of Minho, Portugal
Gorbachev Yuriy	Geolink Technologies, Russia
Gordon-Ross Ann	University of Florida, USA
Goyal Rinkaj	Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, India
Grilli Luca	University of Perugia, Italy
Goyal Rinkaj	GGs Indraprastha University, India
Guerra Eduardo	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Gumgum Sevin	İzmir Ekonomi Üniversitesi, Turkey
Gülen Kemal Güven	Istanbul Ticaret University, Turkey
Hacızade Ulviye	Haliç Üniversitesi Uluslararası, Turkey
Han Longzhe	Nanchang Institute of Technology, Korea
Hanzl Malgorzata	University of Lodz, Poland
Hayashi Masaki	University of Calgary, Canada
He Youguo	Jiangsu University, China
Hegedus Peter	University of Szeged, Hungary
Herawan Tutut	Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia
Ignaccolo Matteo	University of Catania, Italy
Imakura Akira	University of Tsukuba, Japan
Inceoglu Mustafa	Ege University, Turkey
Jagwani Priti	Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India
Jang Jeongsook	Brown University, Korea
Jeong Jongpil	Sungkyunkwan University, Korea
Jin Hyunwook	Konkuk University, Korea
Jorge Ana Maria, Kapenga John	Western Michigan University, USA
Kawana Kojiro	University of Tokio, Japan
Kayes Abu S. M.	La Trobe University, Australia
Kim JeongAh	George Fox University, USA
Korkhov Vladimir	St. Petersburg State University, Russia
Kulabukhova Nataliia	Saint-Peterburg State University, Russia
Kumar Pawan	Expert Software Consultants Ltd., India
Laccetti Giuliano	Università degli Studi di Napoli, Italy
Laganà Antonio	Master-up srl, Italy
Lai Sabrina	University of Cagliari, Italy

Laricchiuta Annarita	CNR-IMIP, Italy
Lazzari Maurizio	CNR IBAM, Italy
Lee Soojin	Cyber Security Lab, Korea
Leon Marcelo	Universidad Estatal Península de Santa Elena – UPSE, Ecuador
Lim Ilkyun	Sungkyunkwan University, Korea
Lourenço Vanda Marisa	University Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Mancinelli Luca	University of Dublin, Ireland
Mangiameli Michele	University of Catania, Italy
Markov Krassimiri	Institute for Information Theories and Applications, Bulgaria
Marques Jorge	Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal
Marvuglia Antonino	Public Research Centre Henri Tudor, Luxembourg
Mateos Cristian	Universidad Nacional del Centro, Argentina
Matsufuru Hideo	High Energy Accelerator Research, Japan
Maurizio Crispini	Politecnico di Milano, Italy
Medvet Eric	University of Trieste, Italy
Mengoni Paolo	Università degli Studi di Firenze, Italy
Mesiti Marco	Università degli studi di Milano, Italy
Millham Richard	Durban University of Technology, South Africa
Misra Sanjay	Covenant University, Nigeria
Mishra Anurag	Helmholtz Zentrum München, Germany
Mishra Biswajeeban	University of Szeged, Hungary
Moscato Pablo	University of Newcastle, Australia
Moura Pires Joao	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Moura Ricardo	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Mourao Maria	Universidade do Minho, Portugal
Mukhopadhyay Asish	University of Windsor, Canada
Murgante Beniamino	University of Basilicata, Italy
Nakasato Naohito	University of Aizu, Japan
Nguyen Tien Dzung	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Nicolosi Vittorio	University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy
Ogihara Mitsunori	University of Miami, USA
Oh Sangyoon	Ajou University, Korea
Oliveira Irene	University of Trás-Os-Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal
Oluranti Jonathan	Covenant University, Nigeria
Ozturk Savas	The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, Turkey
P. Costa M. Fernanda	University of Minho, Portugal
Paek Yunheung	Seoul National University, Korea
Pancham Jay	Durban University of Technology, South Africa
Pantazis Dimos	Technological Educational Institute of Athens, Greek
Paolucci Michela	Università degli Studi di Firenze, Italy
Pardede Eric	La Trobe University, Australia
Park Hyun Kyoo	Petabi Corp, Korea
Passaro Tommaso	University of Bari, Italy

Pereira Ana	Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal
Peschechera Giuseppe	University of Bari, Italy
Petri Massimiliano	Università di Pisa, Italy
Pham Quoc Trung	Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, Vietnam
Piemonte Andrea	Università di Pisa, Italy
Pinna Francesco	Università degli Studi di Cagliari, Italy
Pinto Telmo	University of Minho, Portugal
Pollino Maurizio	ENEA, Italy
Pulimeno Marco	University of Salento, Italy
Rahayu Wenny	La Trobe University, Australia
Rao S. V.	Duke Clinical Research, USA
Raza Syed Muhammad	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Reis Ferreira Gomes Karine	National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
Reis Marco	Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal
Rimola Albert	Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain
Rocha Ana Maria	University of Minho, Portugal
Rocha Humberto	University of Coimbra, Portugal
Rodriguez Daniel	The University of Queensland, Australia
Ryu Yeonseung	Myongji University, South Korea
Sahni Himantikka	CRISIL Global Research and Analytics, India
Sahoo Kshira Sagar	C. V. Raman College of Engineering, India
Santos Maribel Yasmina	University of Minho, Portugal
Santos Rafael	KU Leuven, Belgium
Saponaro Mirko	Politecnico di Bari, Italy
Scorza Francesco	Università della Basilicata, Italy
Sdao Francesco	Università della Basilicata, Italy
Shen Jie	University of Southampton, UK
Shintani Takahiko	University of Electro-Communications, Japan
Shoaib Muhammad	Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Silva-Fortes Carina	ESTeSL-IPL, Portugal
Singh V. B.	University of Delhi, India
Skouteris Dimitrios	SNS, Italy
Soares Inês	INESCC and IPATIMUP, Portugal
Sosnin Petr	Ulyanovsk State Technical University, Russia
Souza Erica	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Stankova Elena	Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia
Sumida Yasuaki	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Tanaka Kazuaki	Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan
Tapia-McClung Rodrigo	CentroGeo, Mexico
Tarantino Eufemia	Politecnico di Bari, Italy
Tasso Sergio	University of Perugia, Italy
Teixeira Ana Paula	Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Portugal
Tengku Adil	La Trobe University, Australia
Teodoro M. Filomena	Lisbon University, Portugal
Tiwari Sunita	King George's Medical University, India
Torre Carmelo Maria	Polytechnic of Bari, Italy

Torrise Vincenza	University of Catania, Italy
Totaro Vincenzo	Politecnico di Bari, Italy
Tran Manh Hung	Institute for Research and Executive Education, Vietnam
Tripathi Aprna	GLA University, India
Trunfio Giuseppe A.	University of Sassari, Italy
Tóth Zoltán	Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary
Uchibayashi Toshihiro	Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
Ugliengo Piero	University of Torino, Italy
Ullman Holly	University of Delaware, USA
Vallverdu Jordi	Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain
Valuev Ilya	Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia
Vasyunin Dmitry	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Vohra Varun	University of Electro-Communications, Japan
Voit Nikolay	Ulyanovsk State Technical University, Russia
Wale Azeez Nurayhn	University of Lagos, Nigeria
Walkowiak Krzysztof	Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland
Wallace Richard J.	Univeristy of Texas, USA
Waluyo Agustinus Borgy	Monash University, Australia
Westad Frank	CAMO Software AS, USA
Wole Adewumi	Covenant University, Nigeria
Xie Y. H.	Bell Laboratories, USA
Yamauchi Toshihiro	Okayama University, Japan
Yamazaki Takeshi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Yao Fenghui	Tennessee State University, USA
Yoki Karl	Catholic University of Daegu, South Korea
Yoshiura Noriaki	Saitama University, Japan
Yuasa Fukuko	High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Korea
Zamperlin Paola	University of Florence, Italy
Zollo Fabiana	University of Venice “Cà Foscari”, Italy
Zullo Francesco	University of L’Aquila, Italy
Zivkovic Ljiljana	Republic Agency for Spatial Planning, Belgrade

Sponsoring Organizations

ICCSA 2018 would not have been possible without the tremendous support of many organizations and institutions, for which all organizers and participants of ICCSA 2018 express their sincere gratitude:



Springer International Publishing AG, Germany
(<http://www.springer.com>)



Monash University, Australia
(<http://monash.edu>)



University of Perugia, Italy
(<http://www.unipg.it>)



University of Basilicata, Italy
(<http://www.unibas.it>)



Kyushu Sangyo University, Japan
(www.kyusan-u.ac.jp)



Universidade do Minho
Escola de Engenharia

Universidade do Minho, Portugal
(<http://www.uminho.pt>)

Kalman Filtering Applied to Low-Cost Navigation Systems: A Preliminary Approach	509
<i>José Vieira Duque, Victor Plácido da Conceição, and M. Filomena Teodoro</i>	
A Two-Phase Method to Periodic Vehicle Routing Problem with Variable Service Frequency.	525
<i>Eduyn López-Santana, Carlos Franco, and Germán Méndez Giraldo</i>	
Study of Some Complex Systems by Using Numerical Methods	539
<i>Dan Alexandru Iordache and Paul Enache Sterian</i>	
Modeling the Nerve Conduction in a Myelinated Axon: A Brief Review	560
<i>M. Filomena Teodoro</i>	
Workshop Computational Optimization and Applications (COA 2018)	
Optimization of Electro-Optical Performance and Material Parameters for a Tandem Metal Oxide Solar Cell	573
<i>Constantin Dumitru, Vlad Muscurel, Ørnulf Nordseth, Laurentiu Fara, and Paul Sterian</i>	
The Huff Versus the Pareto-Huff Customer Choice Rules in a Discrete Competitive Location Model	583
<i>Pascual Fernández, Blas Pelegrín, Algirdas Lančinskas, and Julius Žilinskas</i>	
Comparison of Combinatorial and Continuous Frameworks for the Beam Angle Optimization Problem in IMRT.	593
<i>Humberto Rocha, Joana Dias, Tiago Ventura, Brígida Ferreira, and Maria do Carmo Lopes</i>	
Approximation Algorithms for Packing Directed Acyclic Graphs into Two-Size Blocks	607
<i>Yuichi Asahiro, Eiji Miyano, and Tsuyoshi Yagita</i>	
Parameter Estimation of the Kinetic α -Pinene Isomerization Model Using the MCSFilter Algorithm	624
<i>Andreia Amador, Florbela P. Fernandes, Lino O. Santos, Andrey Romanenko, and Ana Maria A. C. Rocha</i>	
Mixed Integer Programming Models for Fire Fighting	637
<i>Filipe Alvelos</i>	
On Parallelizing Benson's Algorithm: Limits and Opportunities	653
<i>H. Martin Bücker, Andreas Löhne, Benjamin Weißing, and Gerhard Zumbusch</i>	



Parameter Estimation of the Kinetic α -Pinene Isomerization Model Using the MCSFilter Algorithm

Andreia Amador¹, Florbela P. Fernandes²(✉) , Lino O. Santos¹ ,
Andrey Romanenko^{1,3} , and Ana Maria A. C. Rocha⁴ 

¹ CIEPQPF, Department of Chemical Engineering,
Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Coimbra,
3030-790 Coimbra, Portugal

`uc2010149251@student.uc.pt`, `lino@eq.uc.pt`

² Research Centre in Digitalization and Intelligent Robotics (CeDRI),
Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal

`fflor@ipb.pt`

³ Ciengis, SA, Rua Pedro Nunes, Ed.E, Coimbra, Portugal

`andrey.romanenko@ciengis.com`

⁴ Algoritmi Research Centre, University of Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal
`arocha@dps.uminho.pt`

Abstract. This paper aims to illustrate the application of a derivative-free multistart algorithm with coordinate search filter, designated as the MCSFilter algorithm. The problem used in this study is the parameter estimation problem of the kinetic α -pinene isomerization model. This is a well known nonlinear optimization problem (NLP) that has been investigated as a case study for performance testing of most derivative based methods proposed in the literature. Since the MCSFilter algorithm features a stochastic component, it was run ten times to solve the NLP problem. The optimization problem was successfully solved in all the runs and the optimal solution demonstrates that the MCSFilter provides a good quality solution.

Keywords: MCSFilter · α -pinene isomerization model · Multistart
Derivative-free optimization

1 Introduction

The parameter estimation problem of the α -pinene isomerization model is one of the optimization problems that has been widely used as a benchmark problem to assess the performance of optimization algorithms. This is because of the challenges posed by the multivariable, complex and nonlinear nature of the kinetic model that describes the α -pinene isomerization phenomena. This system is described by the reaction scheme represented in Fig. 1. It comprises five




Fig. 1. α -pinene isomerization reaction scheme: A - α -pinene; B - dipentene; C - allo-ocimene; D - α and β -pironene; E - dimer.

reactions, one of them is reversible, and five chemical species: α -pinene (A), dipentene (B), allo-ocimene (C), α and β -pironene (D), and the dimer (E).

One of the earliest kinetic α -pinene isomerization models was proposed by Box and Drapper [1], and it was used to estimate the five kinetic rate constants of this reaction system, using the experimental data obtained by Fuguitt and Hawkins (see [1] and references therein). A later study [2] has demonstrated that there could exist linear dependencies between the experimental data on the concentration of the chemical species involved in the α -pinene isomerization. This was the cause of numerical difficulties to estimate the parameters and the consequent error estimates with respect to the experimental observations. For instance, the concentration of β -pironene was erroneously estimated by 3% of the total conversion of α -pinene [1]. Besides, these linear dependencies might be the root cause of some of the difficulties experienced by optimization methods in getting to the optimal value.

In the work of Ames [3] it is asserted that there are three linear relationships in the experimental chemical species concentration data. The first linear relationship concerns the mass balance to the mixture of the reacting system. The second one is due to the fact that the isomerization is an irreversible phenomenon. Finally, the third linear relationship arises from the fact that the sum of molar fractions of the chemical species in the reacting mixture has to be equal to one. Box et al. [2] solved this parameter estimation problem using a least square criterion. The objective function is defined as the sum of the squared deviation between the chemical species concentrations predicted by the model and the measurements obtained over a given time horizon. Tjoa and Biegler [4] have addressed this estimation problem by solving a constrained nonlinear optimization problem where a quadratic objective function is determined by invoking the numerical integration of the dynamic ODE model of the chemical reaction system. Their results are very similar to those obtained by [2]. In both works, the starting point to solve the optimization problem is very close to the optimal solution, which makes the optimizer convergence easier.

In a followup study based on the earlier works of [4,5], Dolan et al. [6] applies a search filter based method that lead to results similar to the ones obtained previously with the derivative based optimization methods. Egea-Larrosa [7,8]

has applied the Scatter Search Method (SSm). The SSm is a hybrid population method that features a stochastic component made of a metaheuristic scatter search associated with a component that uses the derivative function information. It constitutes a global optimization method with a random local search strategy. A previous formulation of this hybrid method by [9], where it is assumed that the problem is unimodal, was as well as implemented by Egea et al. [7]. The two implementations of the method differ in the local search strategy. The method in [9] uses a local search based on quasi-Newton procedure, whereas in [7] a direct search method is implemented based on a stochastic approach.

A recent work [10], in which the α -pinene system was also used for testing purposes, details the development and implementation of the Firefly Algorithm. This is a stochastic method for global optimization problems that mimics the behavior of fireflies considering that the fireflies are unisex and usually attracted to the brightest light. When applied to the optimization method, the “light intensity” is measured according to the function value: the lower the value, the brightest the light. This means that the points generated by the stochastic part of the method will converge to lower values of the function. For further details on this optimization strategy see [10].

The method used in this work to solve the α -pinene isomerization parameter estimation problem is the Multistart Coordinate Search Filter Method (MCS-Filter). It is a derivative-free method based on a multistart strategy coupled with a local coordinate search filter procedure to find the global minimum. Further details on the underlying algorithm are given in Sect. 2. We demonstrate the application of the MCSFilter to solve the α -pinene isomerization parameter estimation problem. Also, a comparison of the performance of the MCSFilter with other optimization strategies is presented regarding the quality of the optimal solution, as well as the influence of two parameters on the performance of the algorithm.

The paper is organized as follows. The derivative-free multistart strategy with coordinate search filter method (MCSFilter) is described in Sect. 2. The kinetic model and the parameter estimation problem are presented in Sect. 3. The numerical results and its discussion are presented in Sect. 4. Finally, some remarks are given in Sect. 5.

2 The Multistart Coordinate Search Filter Method

The MCSFilter algorithm was initially developed by [11] to find multiple solutions of a nonconvex and nonlinear constrained optimization problems of the following type:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min f(x) \\ & \text{subject to } g_j(x) \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \\ & \quad \quad \quad l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where, f is the objective function, $g_j(x)$ $j = 1, \dots, m$ are the constraint functions and, at least, one of the functions $f, g_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonlinear; l and u are the bounds and $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \leq 0, l \leq x \leq u\}$ is the feasible region.

This method does not use any derivative information and incorporate two major different parts: the multistart strategy related with the exploration feature of the method and a derivative-free local search related with the exploitation of promising regions.

The multistart strategy is a stochastic algorithm that repeatedly applies a local search to sampled points aiming to converge to all the solutions of a multimodal problem. When the direct search is repeatedly applied some minimizers can be found more than once. To avoid a previously computed minimizer, a clustering technique based on computing the regions of attraction of previously identified minimizers is used. In this way, if the sampled point belongs to the region of attraction of an already known minimizer the direct search procedure will not be performed since it would converge to this known minimizer.

Figure 2 illustrates the influence of the regions of attraction. The red/magenta lines between the initial approximation and the minimizer represents a local search that has been performed (red line is used to represent the first local search which converged to each minimum). The white dashed line between the two points represents a local search that was discarded, using the regions of attraction. A set of benchmark problems [11] as well as a small dimensional real problem [12] were used to test the algorithm and the results were very promising.




Fig. 2. Illustration of the Multistart strategy with regions of attraction.

The direct search used is a derivative-free local search that consists of a coordinate search combined with a filter methodology to generate a sequence of approximate solutions that improve either the constraint violation or the objective function relative to the previous approximation, called Coordinate Search Filter algorithm (CSFilter) [11].

A rough outline of the CSFilter algorithm is as follows and (Algorithm 1 displays the steps of the algorithm). At the beginning, the problem (1) is rewritten as a bi-objective optimization problem aiming to minimize both the objective function $f(x)$ and a nonnegative continuous aggregate constraint violation function $\theta(x)$ defined by

$$\theta(x) = \|g(x)_+\|^2 + \|(l - x)_+\|^2 + \|(x - u)_+\|^2 \quad (2)$$

where $v_+ = \max\{0, v\}$. The filter is initialized to $\mathcal{F} = \{(\theta, f) : \theta \geq \theta_{\max}\}$, where $\theta_{\max} > 0$ is an upper bound on the acceptable constraint violation. The \mathcal{D}_\oplus denotes the set of $2n$ coordinate directions, defined as the positive and negative unit coordinate vectors, $\mathcal{D}_\oplus = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, -e_1, -e_2, \dots, -e_n\}$.

Algorithm 1. CSFilter algorithm

Require: x and parameter values, α_{\min} ; set $\tilde{x} = x$, $x_{\mathcal{F}}^{inf} = x$, $z = \tilde{x}$;
1: Initialize the filter; Set $\alpha = \min\{1, 0.05 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i - l_i}{n}\}$;
2: **repeat**
3: Compute the trial approximations $z_a^i = \tilde{x} + \alpha e_i$, for all $e_i \in \mathcal{D}_\oplus$;
4: **repeat**
5: Check acceptability of trial points z_a^i ;
6: **if** there are some z_a^i acceptable by the filter **then**
7: Update the filter;
8: Choose z_a^{best} ; set $z = \tilde{x}$, $\tilde{x} = z_a^{best}$; update $x_{\mathcal{F}}^{inf}$ if appropriate;
9: **else**
10: Compute the trial approximations $z_a^i = x_{\mathcal{F}}^{inf} + \alpha e_i$, for all $e_i \in \mathcal{D}_\oplus$;
11: Check acceptability of trial points z_a^i ;
12: **if** there are some z_a^i acceptable by the filter **then**
13: Update the filter;
14: Choose z_a^{best} ; Set $z = \tilde{x}$, $\tilde{x} = z_a^{best}$; update $x_{\mathcal{F}}^{inf}$ if appropriate;
15: **else**
16: Set $\alpha = \alpha/2$;
17: **end if**
18: **end if**
19: **until** new trial z_a^{best} is acceptable
20: **until** $\alpha < \alpha_{\min}$

The search begins with a central point (the current approximation \tilde{x}), and $2n$ trial approximations $z_a^i = \tilde{x} + \alpha e_i$, for $e_i \in \mathcal{D}_\oplus$, where $\alpha > 0$ is a step size. The constraint violation value and the objective function value of all $2n$ points are computed. If some trial approximations improve over \tilde{x} , reducing θ or f and are acceptable by the filter, then the best of these non-dominated trial approximations, z_a^{best} , is selected, and the filter is updated (adding the corresponding entries to the filter and removing the dominated entries). This best approximation becomes the new central point in the next iteration, $\tilde{x} \leftarrow z_a^{best}$. On the other hand, if all trial approximations z_a^i are dominated by the current filter, then all z_a^i are rejected, and a restoration phase is invoked.

When it is not possible to find a non-dominated best trial approximation (before declaring the iteration unsuccessful) a restoration phase is invoked. In this phase, the most nearly feasible point in the filter, $x_{\mathcal{F}}^{inf}$, is recovered and the search along the $2n$ coordinate directions is carried out from it. If a non-dominated best trial approximation is found, this point becomes the new central point and the iteration is successful. Otherwise, the iteration is unsuccessful, the search returns back to the current \tilde{x} , the step size is reduced, $\alpha = \alpha/2$, and new $2n$ trial approximations z_a^i are generated from it. If a best non-dominated trial approximation is still not found, the step size is again reduced since another unsuccessful iteration has occurred. The search stops when α falls below α_{\min} , a small positive tolerance. Further details about the multistart strategy and the CSFilter algorithm can be found in [11].

The MCSFilter algorithm was initially coded in MATLAB and, in this work, it was coded in Java language. Algorithm 2 shows the main steps of the MCSFilter algorithm for finding a global solution to problem (1).

Algorithm 2. MCSFilter algorithm

Require: Parameter values; set $M^* = \emptyset$, $k = 1$, $t = 1$;
 1: Randomly generate $x \in [l, u]$; compute $B_{\min} = \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \{u_i - l_i\}$;
 2: Compute $m_1 = \mathbf{CSFilter}(x)$, $R_1 = \|x - m_1\|$; set $r_1 = 1$, $M^* = M^* \cup m_1$;
 3: **repeat**
 4: Randomly generate $x \in [l, u]$;
 5: Set $o = \arg \min_{j=1, \dots, k} d_j \equiv \|x - m_j\|$;
 6: **if** $d_o < R_o$ **then**
 7: **if** the direction from x to y_o is ascent **then**
 8: Set $prob = 1$;
 9: **else**
 10: Compute $prob = \varrho \phi(\frac{d_o}{R_o}, r_o)$;
 11: **end if**
 12: **else**
 13: Set $prob = 1$;
 14: **end if**
 15: **if** $\zeta^\dagger < prob$ **then**
 16: Compute $m = \mathbf{CSFilter}(x)$; set $t = t + 1$;
 17: **if** $\|m - m_j\| > \gamma^* B_{\min}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$ **then**
 18: Set $k = k + 1$, $m_k = m$, $r_k = 1$, $M^* = M^* \cup m_k$; compute $R_k = \|x - m_k\|$;
 19: **else**
 20: Set $R_l = \max\{R_l, \|x - m_l\|\}$; $r_l = r_l + 1$;
 21: **end if**
 22: **else**
 23: Set $R_o = \max\{R_o, \|x - m_o\|\}$; $r_o = r_o + 1$;
 24: **end if**
 25: **until** the stopping rule is satisfied

In this algorithm, M^* is the set containing the computed minimizers and ζ is a uniformly distributed number in $(0, 1)$. Moreover, line 17 means that $m \notin M^*$

and in lines 2 and 16, a call is made of the direct search coupled with the filter methodology, the CSFilter algorithm.

The stopping rule that is used in the MCSFilter algorithm is related to the number of initial points used in the multistart strategy. In this way, the algorithm stops when a maximum number of initial points is reached, $k \leq k_{\max}$.

3 Parameter Estimation Problem

As it is illustrated in Fig. 1, the isomerization of the α -pinene is characterized by the formation of dipentene and allo-ocimene, which in turn originates α and β -pironene through an irreversible process reaction, and a dimer through a reversible reaction. Let y_i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$, denote the molar concentration of each component i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$, in the mixture, respectively α -pinene, dipentene, allo-ocimene, α and β -pironene, and dimer. The partial mass balance to the mixture leads to the following ODE model:

$$\frac{dy_1}{dt} = -(p_1 + p_2) y_1, \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{dy_2}{dt} = p_1 y_1, \quad (3b)$$

$$\frac{dy_3}{dt} = p_2 y_1 - (p_3 + p_4) y_3 + p_5 y_5, \quad (3c)$$

$$\frac{dy_4}{dt} = p_3 y_3, \quad (3d)$$

$$\frac{dy_5}{dt} = p_4 y_3 - p_5 y_5, \quad (3e)$$

with $t \in [0; 36420]$ and the following initial conditions: $y_1(0) = 100$; $y_2(0) = 0$; $y_3(0) = 0$; $y_4(0) = 0$; $y_5(0) = 0$. p_j represents the specific reaction rate of reaction j , $j = 1, \dots, 5$. This is the set of kinetic parameters to be determined in order to fit the model to the experimental data (Table 1).

As mentioned before, because of its complex, nonlinear nature, this model has been used in several works as a benchmark problem to assess the performance of optimization algorithms (e.g., [4, 6, 8–10, 13–15]).

The parameter estimation problem can be formulated as an optimization problem that applies the least squares method with simple bounds [4]. Since (3) is a dynamic model, the calculation of the value of the objective function requires its numerical integration over the time horizon of interest (see Table 1). The kinetic model parameters, p , are the decision variables of the optimization problem which can be posed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_p \quad & J(p) = \sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^9 (y_{\text{exp } i,k} - y_{i,k})^2 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & p_L \leq p \leq p_U, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Table 1. Experimental data (e.g.,[7, 10]).

k	t	α -pinene	dipentene	allo-ocimene	pyronene	dimer
		y_1	y_2	y_3	y_4	y_5
1	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	1230	88.35	7.3	2.3	0.4	1.75
3	3060	76.4	15.6	4.5	0.7	2.8
4	4920	65.1	23.1	5.3	1.1	5.8
5	7800	50.4	32.9	6.0	1.5	9.3
6	10680	37.5	42.7	6.0	1.9	12.0
7	15030	25.9	49.1	5.9	2.2	17.0
8	22620	14.0	57.4	5.1	2.6	21.0
9	36420	4.5	63.1	3.8	2.9	25.7

where $y_{\text{exp } i,k}$ is the experimental concentration value and $y_{i,k}$ the concentration predicted by the model (3) for the chemical specie i at the time instant k . The subscripts U and L on p denote upper and lower limits, respectively.

The best known optimum value of the objective function for this problem is $J(p^*) = 19.872$ achieved at $p_1^* = 5.9256 \times 10^{-5}$, $p_2^* = 2.9632 \times 10^{-5}$, $p_3^* = 2.0450 \times 10^{-5}$, $p_4^* = 2.7473 \times 10^{-4}$, $p_5^* = 4.0073 \times 10^{-5}$ [7].

4 Numerical Results

In this section, the practical performance of the MCSFilter algorithm when solving problem (4) is analyzed. The computational tests were performed on a 2.6 GHz Core i7, with 8 GB of RAM and an operating system MacOs El Capitan laptop. The computational framework to solve the optimization problem (4) was implemented using Java programming language and a Java version of the MCSFilter. During the optimization process, the dynamic model (3) is solved with the explicit Adams-Bashforth integrator for ODEs in Java, from the Apache Commons Math 3.0 Library.

In the simulations done in this study, the absolute and relative tolerances for the integration were set to 1×10^{-8} . The upper and lower bounds on the parameter values were set to:

$$p_U = [1 \times 10^{-4} \ 1 \times 10^{-4} \ 1 \times 10^{-4} \ 1 \times 10^{-3} \ 1 \times 10^{-4}]^T ,$$

$$p_L = [1 \times 10^{-6} \ 1 \times 10^{-6} \ 1 \times 10^{-6} \ 1 \times 10^{-5} \ 1 \times 10^{-6}]^T .$$

In order to evaluate the influence of the parameter values (α_{min} and k_{max}) on the quality of the solution, an experimental study was conducted to tune the stopping criteria parameters of the CSFilter and MCSFilter algorithms, in the context of the α -pinene problem. Nine combinations of α_{min} and k_{max} , using the values $\alpha_{\text{min}} = \{10^{-5}, 10^{-6}\}$ and $k_{\text{max}} = \{10, 15, 20, 50, 100\}$, were tested.

Table 2 presents the best (J_{best}), average (J_{avg}) and worst (J_{worst}) results produced by MCSFilter algorithm based on 10 executions, as well as the number of function evaluations for the best run, $n_{\text{FE,best}}$.

Table 2. Results obtained by MCSFilter, for different combinations of α_{min} and k_{max} .

α_{min}	k_{max}	J_{best}	J_{avg}	J_{worst}	$n_{\text{FE,best}}$
10^{-5}	10	29.5107	39.8377	75.8033	2933
10^{-5}	15	26.3374	39.6668	83.9623	4601
10^{-5}	20	23.6566	33.6128	52.1003	2729
10^{-5}	50	22.9355	30.6804	44.8241	14026
10^{-6}	10	20.0165	20.3306	20.9549	5304
10^{-6}	15	19.9517	20.1647	20.6374	5249
10^{-6}	20	19.9122	20.1013	20.3227	8486
10^{-6}	50	19.9157	20.0173	20.1143	20737
10^{-6}	100	19.9074	19.9798	20.1241	34241

Table 2 shows that MCSFilter algorithm converges to the known global minimum. The objective of this experimental study was to evaluate the performance of the MCSFilter algorithm for different values of α_{min} in order to obtain accurate solutions, but with a low number of function evaluations. However, despite the reduced number of evaluations of the function for $\alpha_{\text{min}} = 10^{-5}$ the obtained solutions are not good with a relative error of 16% or more of the global optimum known solution. It follows that an increase in the value of the parameter α_{min} leads to worst solutions since the global solution was never reached. In addition, we can also conclude that as k_{max} increases the number of evaluations of the function also increases. This is obvious, because if the number of points generated is greater, the number of evaluations of the function is also greater.

The best value of $J(p) = 19.9074$ is obtained for $\alpha_{\text{min}} = 10^{-6}$ and $k_{\text{max}} = 100$ with a relative error of +0.17% when compared with the best known solution in [7]. From Table 2 one may conclude that for smaller values of α_{min} the solution is better. Thus, increasing the value of α_{min} leads to a worse solution than reducing the number of initial points.

Finally, a last experiment with one execution of the MCSFilter algorithm was performed using $\alpha_{\text{min}} = 10^{-6}$, $k_{\text{max}} = 100$ and the following first initial point (in the multistart part):

$$p = [6.05 \times 10^{-5} \quad 3.60 \times 10^{-5} \quad 4.18 \times 10^{-5} \quad 4.79 \times 10^{-4} \quad 9.86 \times 10^{-5}]^{\top}.$$

The optimal objective function value found was $J(p) = 19.8828$. Since this result is very close to the best known optimum by Egea et al. [7], one can assert that an execution with a local search starting close to the optimum allows to obtain a very good solution, in the fastest way as stated by [5]. This means that, in

all the experiments, the points sampled in the multistart stage are farther away from the known minimizer than the above initial point; in spite of that, and for $\alpha_{\min} = 10^{-6}$, the MCSFilter algorithm always obtained high quality solutions.

The results obtained with the MCSFilter were compared with those obtained with other methods [7, 8, 10]. Table 3 aggregates the published best found solution, J_{best} , the average solution (when existent), J_{avg} , and the average number of function evaluations, $n_{\text{FE,avg}}$, obtained for some methods in the literature. This table also presents the values for MCSFilter algorithm related with the 9 executions starting from a random initial point plus the execution with the first initial point close to the optimum, as described above. The average values presented for DE, SRES, SSm, FA, and MCSFilter are over 10 executions.

Table 3. α -pinene isomerization parameter estimation problem results reported in the literature.

Solver	J_{best}	J_{avg}	$n_{\text{FE,avg}}$
MCSFilter	19.8828	19.9703	40494
Global [7]	31638	35225	1277
DE [8]	34.856	22515	10000
SRES [8]	31251	32651	10000
DIRECT [8]	36218	-	9996
OQNLP [8]	31252	-	10000
SSm [8]	19.872	19.872	9518
SSm [7]	19.872	24.747	1163
FA [10]	19.8772	25.6777	5860 ^a
fmincon [10]	19.929	-	217

^a Number of function evaluations of the best solution.

The methods Global, DE, SRES, DIRECT and OQNLP fail to converge to the optimum value because of the limit (10000) on the maximum number of function evaluations [8] and, therefore, cannot be compared to the value obtained by the other methods. Besides that it is remarked that with $\alpha_{\min} = 10^{-6}$ and a smaller number of function evaluations (less than 10000 — see Table 2) the MCSFilter algorithm achieved a very good results.

Regarding the number of function evaluations, it can be observed that, in spite of the good quality of the solution and the MCSFilter consistency, the algorithm requires more function evaluations than the other methods listed in Table 3. Nevertheless, the MCSFilter presents better average values of the objective function than SSm [7] and FA [10], and with a relative error of +0.54%. This demonstrates that the MCSFilter has converged more often to the best solution than some other methods.

We remark that the best MCSFilter solution is close to its average solution (see Table 2), which are close to the known best minimum. These results assert the good quality of the solution presented by the MCSFilter and its consistency.

Table 4 shows the best and worst minimizers found by MCSFilter for $\alpha_{\min} = 10^{-6}$ and $k_{\max} = 100$ that corresponds to the J_{best} and J_{worst} solutions, respectively, presented in Table 2.

Table 4. Parameter values obtained by MCSFilter for $\alpha_{\min} = 10^{-6}$ and $k_{\max} = 100$.

Parameter	Best	Worst
p_1^*	5.936×10^{-5}	5.904×10^{-5}
p_2^*	2.965×10^{-5}	2.969×10^{-5}
p_3^*	2.067×10^{-5}	1.978×10^{-5}
p_4^*	2.776×10^{-4}	2.835×10^{-4}
p_5^*	4.090×10^{-5}	4.448×10^{-5}

Figure 3 illustrates the profiles obtained by solving the ODE model (3) using the parameter set, p^* , found by the MCSFilter algorithm, for the best solution in Table 4.




Fig. 3. Experimental data points and the profiles obtained from (3) with the kinetic model parameters determined by the MCSFilter.

As it can be observed, the obtained kinetic parameters provide a good fitting of the model to the experimental data.

5 Conclusions

The MSCFilter algorithm – a multistart strategy coupled with a coordinate search filter methodology – was implemented in the Java programming language and has successfully estimated the α -pinene isomerization optimal kinetic parameters.

Some preliminary experiments were carried out in order to tune the parameters of the stopping criteria of the CSFilter and MCSFilter algorithms. It is shown that this issue is crucial to the effective convergence of the algorithm when solving the α -pinene problem.

In comparison with the results reported in the literature, it is noticeable that the MCSFilter presents a much higher effort when 100 initial points are used. This is due to the higher number of function evaluations required by the MCSFilter method, namely by the CSFilter algorithm based on the set \mathcal{D}_{\oplus} with $2n = 10$ coordinate directions when it is using 100 initial points. One may conclude that increasing α_{\min} has a big impact: CSFilter stops before reaching the best known solution. Despite this, the MCSFilter algorithm shows good performance by converging to the known solution of the problem — the experiments that were carried out show that (when $\alpha_{\min} = 10^{-6}$ is used) the best, worst and the average values of the objective function obtained by MCSFilter algorithm are closer to the best known in the literature.

The fitting of the model profiles to the experimental data demonstrate that the obtained solution is of very good quality.

As future work, a strategy of parallelization inside the MCSFilter algorithm, namely in the multistart strategy stage, should be implemented. The MCSFilter algorithm will also be applied in the context of dynamic systems where its ability to handle inequality constraints is of importance.

References

1. Box, G.E.P., Draper, N.R.: The Bayesian estimation of common parameters from several responses. *Biometrika* **52**(3–4), 355–365 (1965)
2. Box, G.E.P., Hunter, W.G., MacGregor, J.F., Erjavec, J.: Some problems associated with the analysis of multiresponse data. *Technometrics* **15**(1), 33–51 (1973)
3. Ames, W.F.: Canonical forms for non-linear kinetic differential equations. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* **1**(3), 214–218 (1962)
4. Tjoa, I.-B., Biegler, L.T.: Simultaneous solution and optimization strategies for parameter estimation of differential-algebraic equation systems. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **30**, 376–385 (1991)
5. Averick, B.M., Carter, R.G., Moré, J.J., Xue, G.: The minpack-2 test problem collection. Technical report, Mathematics and Computer Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory (1992)
6. Dolan, E.D., Moré, J.J., Munson, T.S.: Benchmarking optimization software with cops 3.0. Technical report, Argonne National Laboratory (2004)
7. Egea, J.A., Rodriguez-Fernandez, M., Banga, J.R., Martí, R.: Scatter search for chemical and bio-process optimization. *J. Global Optim.* **37**(3), 481–503 (2007)

8. Larrosa, J.A.E.: New Heuristics for Global Optimization of Complex Bioprocesses. Ph.D. thesis, University of Vigo (2008)
9. Csendes, T.: Non-linear parameter estimation by global optimization - efficiency and reliability. *Acta Cybern.* **8**(4), 361–370 (1988)
10. Rocha, A.M.A.C., Martins, M.C., Costa, M.F.P., Fernandes, E.M.G.P.: Direct sequential based firefly algorithm for the α -pinene isomerization problem. In: Gervasi, O., Murgante, B., Misra, S., Rocha, A.M.A.C., Torre, C., Taniar, D., Apduhan, B.O., Stankova, E., Wang, S. (eds.) ICCSA 2016. LNCS, vol. 9786, pp. 386–401. Springer, Cham (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42085-1_30
11. Fernandes, F.P., Costa, M.F.P., Fernandes, E.M.G.P.: Multilocal programming: a derivative-free filter multistart algorithm. In: Murgante, B., Misra, S., Carlini, M., Torre, C.M., Nguyen, H.-Q., Taniar, D., Apduhan, B.O., Gervasi, O. (eds.) ICCSA 2013. LNCS, vol. 7971, pp. 333–346. Springer, Heidelberg (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39637-3_27
12. Amador, A., Fernandes, F.P., Santos, L.O., Romanenko, A.: Application of MCS-Filter to estimate stiction control valve parameters. In: International Conference of Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics, AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 1863, p. 270005 (2017)
13. Storn, R., Price, K.: Differential evolution — a simple and efficient heuristic for global optimization over continuous spaces. *J. Global Optim.* **11**, 341–359 (1997)
14. Runarsson, T.P., Yao, X.: Stochastic ranking for constrained evolutionary optimization. *Inst. Electr. Electron. Eng. Trans. Evol. Comput.* **4**(3), 284–294 (2000)
15. Jones, D.R.: Direct global optimization algorithm. In: Floudas, C.A., Pardalos, P.M. (eds.) *Encyclopedia of Optimization*, pp. 431–440. Springer, Boston (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48332-7>