

**PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF 1st CYCLE – BASIC EDUCATION
TEACHERS: CONTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPATING IN A CONTINUOUS
PROGRAMME OF MATHEMATICS TRAINING**

Cristina MARTINS

Escola Superior de Educação do Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, PORTUGAL

nicesm@ipb.pt

Foreword

Professional development is a process which takes place throughout life. The teacher needs to update, enhance and deepen knowledge and skills which help him to face the needs of his/hers professional life concerning either Mathematics or the curriculum, didactics, the students and him/herself. Although experience is an essential factor to professional development it is not enough, at times, to find solutions to situations arising from practice. As a main element of his/her development it is up to the teacher to integrate theory and practice, to reflect on his practice with the goal of improving it and selecting the projects and training to undertake. Participation in continuous training programs is one of the possible means of contributing to his/her professional development.

The Program for Continuous Education in Mathematics for 1st Cycle of Basic Education Teachers was launched by the Board of Education and the Board for Science, Technology and Higher Education in the school year 2005/2006 having as a guiding principle the *valorization of the teacher's professional development*. It aims at providing a site for joint experimentation and reflection so that one can ponder on the practices and use them to develop a sustained knowledge, which takes into account the characteristics of the students whom it addresses.

Activities to be developed within this program take the form of:

– joint training sessions (group sessions) taking place every other week for the development of curriculum proposals to be tried in the classroom, increasing the mathematical knowledge needed to their enforcement, and reflecting upon them;

– classroom support sessions (class supervision), corresponding to performing activities which put into practice the plans worked out in the joint sessions and the appropriate discussion.

In the evaluation modality the elaboration of a portfolio to reflect the professional development resulting from the training is proposed.

In this investigation, my aim is to study the professional development of 1st cycle teachers in the context of the aforementioned program. Knowing that, on the one hand, professional development involves a number of dimensions and that, on the other, the context of the performance has particular characteristics, namely the kind of sessions foreseen and the form of evaluation, I have devised the following questions for investigation:

– How does the professional development of the teacher take place through participating in the training program?

○ How does the professional development of the teacher evolve throughout the training?

○ Which changes can be found in his/her teaching practices?

○ How does the reflexive capacity develop?

– Which is the contribution of the portfolio usage in the training program towards the professional development of the teacher?

Investigation Methodology

This study takes place in a natural environment, in which the investigator is also the trainer in a work group of nine teachers among which are the three participants in the study. Selection of participants was undertaken taking into account time served as a teacher, each one's academic training and availability to participate in the study.

I opted for a qualitative methodological approach (Bogdan & Biklen, 1994), performing three case studies (Gall, Borg & Gall, 1996), using for data gathering, semi-structured interviews, participant observation and documental analysis.

Beyond general interviews to assess the track taken and opinion of the participants an interview also takes place after the observation of each supervising session in the classroom. Interviews, group training sessions and classroom supervision are audio-taped and transcribed.

Documental analysis focuses on the records included in the portfolio (planning, material used, students productions and reflections), on the field notes of the supervising sessions and on reflections about group training sessions.

The first phase of this investigation started on October 26th 2006, date of the first group training session.

The second stage of the investigation will take place in the school year 2007/08 in which the track taken by the teachers is followed with the aim of assessing the influence of the training program in their practices in mathematics teaching. Data gathering takes place through classroom observation or a context of mathematics teaching practice, followed by an interview.

Analysis of the information started after the first stage of data gathering was completed, i.e. after the end of the training program. This analysis consists in selecting the most representative data and their organization according to *a posteriori* defined categories, taking into account the problem under study, theoretical presuppositions and the empirical work undertaken.

The structure of the case studies, which is still undergoing preparation, presents the following points:

1. The Teacher
 - a. Presentation
 - b. Professional Curriculum
 - c. Teaching profession
 - d. Teaching and Learning Mathematics
2. Teacher and Training
 - a. Vision on Training
 - b. Professional Knowledge
 - c. Teaching Practice
 - d. Reflection
 - e. Portfolio

Taking into consideration that, up to this moment, I only possess a first analysis, still incomplete, of one of the case studies, it seems at this point premature to elaborate any considerations about it.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bogdan, R. & Biklen, S. (1994). *Investigação qualitativa em educação*. Porto: Porto Editora.

- Borko, H. & Putman, R. (1995). Expanding a teacher's knowledge base: A cognitive psychological perspective on professional development. In T. Guskey & Huberman (Eds.), *Professional development in education: New paradigms and practices* (pp. 35-65). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Day, C. (2001). *Desenvolvimento profissional de professores: Os desafios da aprendizagem permanente*. Porto: Porto Editora.
- Elbaz, F. (1983). *Teacher thinking: A study of practical knowledge*. London: Croom Helm.
- Gall, M. D., Borg, W. R. & Gall, J. P. (1996). *Educational research: An introduction*. New York, NY: Longman.
- Guskey, T. R. (2000). *Evaluating professional development* (1.^a ed.). Thousand Oaks: Corwin Press.
- Hargreaves, A. (1998). Os professores em tempo de mudança: O trabalho e a cultura dos professores na idade pós moderna. Lisboa: Mc Graw-Hill.
- Hiebert, J., Gallimore, R. & Stigler, J. (2002). A knowledge base for the teaching profession. What would it look like and how can we get one?. *Educational Researcher*, 31(5), pp. 3-15
- Klenowski, V. (2000). Portfolios: promoting teaching. *Assessment in Education*, 7(2), 215-236
- Lyons, N. (2002). The Project: Interrogating, Documenting, and representing the scholarship of teaching through a reflective portfolio process. In N. Lyons, A. Hyland & N. Ryan (Eds.), *Advancing the scholarship of teaching and learning through a reflective portfolio process: The University College Cork experience* (pp. 19- 28). Retirado de http://www.ucc.ie/Teaching_and_Learning/Scholar.doc em 08/03/2007
- Ponte, J. P. (1999). Didáticas específicas e construção do conhecimento profissional. In *Investigar e formar em educação: Actas do IV congresso da SPCE* (pp. 59-72). Porto: Sociedade Portuguesa de Ciências da Educação.
- Schön, D. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Aldershot Hants: Avebury.
- Serrazina, L. (1998). *Teacher's professional development in a period of radical change in primary mathematics education in Portugal* (dissertação de doutoramento, Universidade de Londres). Lisboa: APM.
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those Who Understand: Knowledge Growth in Teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15 (2), 4-14.
- Sparks, D. & Loucks-Horsley, S. (1990). Models of staff development. In W. R. Houston (ed.), *Handbook of research on teacher education* (pp. 234-251). New York: McMillan.