



fundación de investigación

ISSN: 1665-5346

Volumen 7
Número 2
Julio-Diciembre 2012

EDITOR

Gerardo Dubcovsky

EDITORES ADJUNTOS

Myron Scholes

James Heckman

John C. Hull

Tom Copeland

Edward I. Altman

Marco Avellaneda

Aloisio Araujo

Anthony Thirlwall

James R. Barth

Fausto Hernández Trillo

José C. Ramírez Sánchez

Edgar Ortiz

Francisco López-Herrera

Francisco Venegas-Martínez

Carlos Guerrero de Lizardi

COMITÉ EJECUTIVO

José A. Quesada Palacios

Sergio F. Ruiz Olloqui Vargas

Manuel Osuna y Fernández

Emilio Illanes Díaz Rivera

Claudia E. Castillo Ramírez

Beatriz Romero Sánchez

Patrocinado por:



REVISTA MEXICANA DE ECONOMÍA Y FINANZAS

Nueva Época

REMEF

**(THE MEXICAN JOURNAL OF
ECONOMICS AND FINANCE)**

CONTENIDO:

Reacción del Mercado de Valores Mexicano ante los escándalos financieros: Evidencia empírica.

MARCELA JARAMILLO JARAMILLO Y MARÍA ANTONIA GARCÍA BENAÚ

Sincronización de fase en los mercados internacionales de capitales, evidencia de integración.

SALVADOR CRUZ AKÉ, FERNANDO RAMÍREZ ALATRISTE Y REYNA SUSANA GARCÍA RUIZ

An Empirical Analysis of the Dimensions of Corporate Social Responsibility in Portugal.

SANDRA AFONSO, PAULA ODETE FERNANDES AND ANA PAULA MONTE

El modelo SABR y su relación con la geometría diferencial: Valuación de opciones de compra de dólares del Banco de México.

GUILLERMO SIERRA JUÁREZ

Optimización de portafolios con capital en riesgo acotado.

HUGO EDUARDO RAMÍREZ J. Y LILIANA BLANCO CASTAÑEDA

REVISTA MEXICANA DE ECONOMÍA Y FINANZAS

NUEVA ÉPOCA REMEF

(THE MEXICAN JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS AND FINANCE)

VOLUMEN 7

NÚMERO 2

JULIO 2012

CONTENIDO

EDITORIAL IMEF

C.P.C. José A. Quesada Palacios (Presidente Nacional del IMEF)

REACCIÓN DEL MERCADO DE VALORES MEXICANO ANTE LOS ESCÁNDALOS FINANCIEROS: EVIDENCIA EMPÍRICA.

Marcela Jaramillo Jaramillo (Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México), María Antonia
García Benau (Universidad de Valencia)(Ganador del 2do Lugar, Premio de Investigación
Financiera IMEF-2010) 129

SINCRONIZACIÓN DE FASE EN LOS MERCADOS INTERNACIONALES DE CAPITALES, EVIDENCIA DE INTEGRACIÓN.

Salvador Cruz Aké (Instituto Politécnico Nacional), Reyna Susana García
Ruiz (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de la Ciudad de México), Fernando Ramírez Alatríste
(Universidad Nacional Autónoma de la Ciudad de México).....155

AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE DIMENSIONS OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN PORTUGAL

Sandra Afonso (Instituto Politécnico de Bragança), Paula Odete Fernandes (Instituto
Politécnico de Bragança), Ana Paula Monte (Instituto Politécnico de Bragança).....175

EL MODELO SABR Y SU RELACIÓN CON LA GEOMETRÍA DIFERENCIAL: VALUACIÓN DE OPCIONES DE COMPRA DE DÓLARES DEL BANCO DE MÉXICO

Guillermo Sierra Juárez (Universidad de Guadalajara).....185

OPTIMIZACIÓN DE PORTAFOLIOS CON CAPITAL EN RIESGO ACOTADO

Hugo Eduardo Ramírez J. (Politécnico Grancolombiano Institución Universitaria), Liliana
Blanco Castañeda (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Colombia, Bogota.)..... 211

EDITORIAL IMEF

Dentro de todo gran proyecto, el reto siguiente a la inauguración o estreno público es continuar. La nueva época de la Revista Mexicana de Economía y Finanzas fortalece su consolidación en este segundo número, cuya difusión busca posicionar el alto nivel del contenido como una referencia, entre investigadores y profesionales, en el ámbito financiero, económico y empresarial.

La información, conforme se comparte, genera conocimiento y riqueza intelectual en diversos niveles de la sociedad. México, en vías de desarrollo e incremento de su competitividad, busca potencializar las variables cuyo impacto generen soluciones a las diversas problemáticas de índole nacional. Es por ello que La Fundación de Investigación del Instituto Mexicano de Ejecutivos de Finanzas, A.C. (IMEF), en alianza con PwC, aportan, en excelente medida, valor al país a través del impulso y conexión a los principales actores, mismos que nos encaminan hacia una sociedad del conocimiento.

Asimismo, la aportación de capital intelectual representa la continua evolución del acervo, dado que las publicaciones son artículos inéditos que buscan innovar, tanto teoría como práctica, a través del gran talento de sus editores e investigadores. Además, debido al bajo número de publicaciones mexicanas que se presentan en comparación con los países desarrollados, la Fundación de Investigación se suma a la intención de mejorar el nivel y transmitir la riqueza de la información generada hacia los mexicanos.

Por otro lado, en atención a las nuevas tendencias tecnológicas, la REMEF ahora podrá estar disponible a través de una plataforma digital, misma que nos acercará aún más al objetivo de posicionar el contenido con mejor dirección, es decir, extender la divulgación de publicaciones mexicanas hacia contextos globales al romper las barreras de libro impreso.

Progresar es posible gracias a todos aquellos que creen en el proyecto y continúan aportando elementos clave y de calidad; sin duda el talento es y seguirá siendo la fuente esencial de esta Revista Especializada.

C.P.C. José A. Quesada Palacios
Presidente Nacional del IMEF

AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE DIMENSIONS OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN PORTUGAL

Sandra Afonso *

Instituto Politécnico de Bragança

Paula Odete Fernandes

Instituto Politécnico de Bragança; NECE (UBI)

Ana Paula Monte

Instituto Politécnico de Bragança; NECE (UBI).

(Received 6 june 2012, accepted 16 july 2012)

Abstract

This paper investigates factors that can explain the motivations of Portuguese companies to a social performance. The study was based on a sample of nineteen large Portuguese companies, listed on the PSI 20 index, for a period of five year, since 2005 to 2009. A Factorial Analysis was applied to identify the dimensions of Portuguese Corporate Social Responsibility using variables reference on the Green Book of European Community Commission (ECC, 2001). The results produced two dimensions that may explain Portuguese corporate motivation social performance: (1) Standards and Legal Requirements and (2) Ethic and social conduct. Further research may be done to find correlations with these dimensions and financial and economic corporate performance.

Resumen

En este trabajo se investigan los factores que pueden inventivar a las empresas portuguesas a un desempeño social. El estudio se basó en una muestra de diecinueve grandes empresas portuguesas, que figuran en el índice PSI 20, por un período de cinco años, desde 2005 a 2009. Se realiza un análisis factorial que se aplicó para identificar las dimensiones de la Responsabilidad Social Corporativa portuguesa utilizando variables de referencia en el Libro Verde de la Comisión de la Comunidad Europea (CEC, 2001). Los resultados obtenidos pueden en dos dimensiones que explique la motivación del rendimiento social de la empresa portuguesa: (1) Normas y requisitos legales y (2) la conducta ética y social. Futuras líneas de investigación se puede hacer para encontrar la coorrelación con estas dimensiones y el rendimiento empresarial financiero y económico.

JEL Classification: M14, J24, H70.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility, Multivariate Analysis, Portugal.

* Instituto Politécnico de Bragança (IPB) Campus de Sta. Apolónia, Apartado 1134 Tel. 5301-857 Bragança, Portugal. E-mail: pof@ipb.pt;

1. Introduction

Globalization has increased the economic and financial interdependence of markets and countries, raising awareness about the consequences of a less responsible conduct undertaken by organizations. Society has increased its awareness about the impact of organizations activities, and hopes that they respond to their social demands, if they want to maintain long-term profits. Organizations will be better equipped to develop their business in community, if they contribute positively to that community (Davis, 1973). In this context, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) emerge as a way to organizations assume their responsibilities, and is assuming an increasingly importance globally. In the European context, the European Commission has developed several initiatives to promote CSR, but more than that, to put Europe on the path of excellence on CSR.

There are several reasons for this change, for example, corruption cases involving fraudulent accounting, the growing gap between the salaries of top managers and their employees, abusive practices, marketing of products harmful to public health, violation of human rights and environmental standards (Lama & Muyzenberg, 2008).

Other reasons cited are the shift of organizations towards social responsibility relates to the organizational changes and market driven by globalization and technological development (Zadek, 1999), namely “the transparency of business activities brought by the media and by modern information and communication” (ECC, 2001, p.4). Joining this with social responsibility it can become increasingly important, and “the business which vacillates or choose not to enter the arena of social responsibility, may find that it gradually sink into customer and public disfavor” (Davis, 1973, p. 321).

Heal (2004) argues that CSR has a role to play when the market fails through private-social cost differentials, particularly in two situations: (1) when the private economic costs are not aligned with social costs, for example, what is more profitable for the companies is not the best for the welfare of society; (2) when there are strong disagreements to what is perceived as fair by the companies and society. In both situations, the CSR can be used to produce the social welfare. Otherwise, if economic private costs and social cost are in line, CSR has, according to the author, little to add.

In the current context, characterized by social asymmetries, CSR has an important role in bringing the balance that should support a sustainable development. In this research we try to identify the dimensions that may influence the social performance of Portuguese companies, finding what moves companies into CSR.

2. Theoretical Framework

Despite the evidence of social concerns by companies much before their written conceptualization, CSR emerges as formal written concept, in the United States, in the fifties, with the publication by Howard R. Bowen, entitled “Social responsibilities of the businessman”, marking the beginning of modern literature on CSR (Carroll, 1999). In its initial definition, Bowen (1953, quoted in Carroll, 1999) “refers to the obligations of businessmen to pursue policies,

to make decisions, or to follow lines of action which are desirable in terms of the objectives and values of our society” (p. 270). According to the author businessmen were responsible for their actions not only with regard to economic performance, but in a broader context as well (Bowen, 1953, cited by Carroll, 1999).

Many definitions and conceptualizations have followed, suggested by an extensive literature, outlining a confusing framework, without unanimity on a universally accepted definition. The World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD), an organization that combines several international companies, that share commitment to a sustainable development, published in their Social Responsibility Report on 2000, the following definition: “Corporate social responsibility is the commitment of business to contribute to sustainable economic development, working with employees, their families, the local community and society at large to improve their quality of life” (WBCSD, 2000, p.10).

In the European context the first great impetus to the promotion of social responsibility, arise in 1993, through the “call made by President Jacques Delors to these companies to participate in the fight against social exclusion” (ECC, 2001, p.3), which resulted in a large membership. Later, in March 2000, on the Lisbon European Council, the European Union called again to the sense of corporate social responsibility as “regarding best practices on lifelong learning, work organization, equal opportunities, inclusion social and sustainable development” (ECC, 2001, p.3).

In the Green Paper, named “Promoting a European framework for corporate social responsibility”, ECC has defined CSR as “a concept whereby companies decide voluntarily to contribute to a fair society and a cleaner environment (...). This responsibility is expressed towards employees and more generally in relation to all stakeholders affected by business and which in turn can influence their results” (ECC, 2001, p.4). ECC (2001), considered the corporate social responsibility in two dimensions, the internal and external dimension, which include several aspects: (1) The internal dimension includes the “Human Resources Management”, “Health and Safety at Work” “Adapting to change,” and “Management of environmental impacts and natural resources”; (2) The external dimension of CSR includes “local communities”, “Business partners, suppliers and consumers,” and “Human rights and global environmental concerns” (pp.8-16).

The conceptualization of CSR is yet to achieve a consensus, but for organization to perform in a social way, we must answer the question “How can and do corporations contribute to constructing ‘the good society’? This question itself must be answered in the context of a discussion on corporate social performance -the outcomes of corporate behavior” (Wood, 1991, p. 6). Corporate Social Performance (CSP) uses CSR “as the starting point for corporate social involvement” (Wartick & Cochran, 1985, p.758). To Waddock and Graves (1997) many of the measures used, or are one-dimensional (such as disclosure and social investments in pollution control), and do not reflect all aspects of CSP, or are difficult to apply in a consistent manner to the diversity of industries and companies. They point to the problem of measuring CSP as a major reason for the uncertainty of the results obtained in several studies

that examined the relationship between social performance and economic and financial performance.

Different authors (*e.g.*, Griffin & Mahon, 1997; McWilliams & Siegel, 2000; Waddock & Graves, 1997), used indexes for the measurement of social performance, namely the index developed by the rating agency Kinder, Lydenberg, Domini (KLD). Other indexes often used are those based on reputation developed by Fortune and Moskowitz (*e.g.*, Griffin & Mahon, 1997; Orlitzky, Schmidt & Rynes, 2003, Stanwick and Stanwick, 1998; Wood & Jones, 1997).

In Europe, and for German companies, Szekeley and Knirsch (2005) reported that different methods were used by companies to evaluate performance, and that many have adopted the guidelines of the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), differing, however, on aspects who chose to measure and report. In Portugal, where the practice of CSR in a systematic way is relatively recent, with some lag to most of the other European countries (CECOA, 2004). A recent study refers the difficulties in quantifying and measuring socially responsible practices and advised the need of building measurement models that serve, not only for Portuguese companies to quantify their projects, but also to be able to select the best practices in accordance with sustainability and stakeholder expectations (Leite & Rebelo, 2010).

In this study we defined several variables to assess the social performance, taking into account the multiplicity of aspects that are covered by CSP, and based on the variables defined in the Green Book by ECC (2001), considering as well literature on the theme and the guidelines of the GRI, used by several Portuguese companies that report their social performance. Also we consider the fact that most of the Portuguese companies set their CSR goals according to the three dimensions of the sustainable development (usually call by “*Triple bottom line*”): Economic, Environmental and Social.

3. Data Analysis

3.1 Variables to Measure the Corporate Social Performance

The purpose of this research is to identify and define the dimensions of Portuguese corporate social responsibility that may influence it social performance. To achieve the goal we chose a sample of Portuguese companies quoted in the Euronext Lisbon stock exchange, that belong to PSI 20 Index, for a period of five years, from 2005 to 2009. The choice was due to the fact that companies listed on Euronext Lisbon, were obliged since 2005 to report their accounts according to International Standards of Accounting and International Financial Reporting Standards (IAS-IFRS standard), therefore those companies could be more predisposed to adopt CSR practices. It was chosen a five years period, that can provide more information about companies commitment with CSR. Like Zadek (2004) argue “companies don’t become model citizens overnight” (p. 2), and the effects of a social performance may not be expected in the short term, so a longer period of study is considered to be important. Also the chosen period allows an evolution analysis in the adoption of social responsibilities practices and social performance. The sample under research was composed by 19 Portuguese companies (n=19), that were studied during 5 years (2005 - 2009), performing a total of 95 observations (n =95).

The variables chosen to measure the multiple dimensions of CSP were based on the aspects mention by ECC (2001) in the Green Book and considering several literatures on the issues, and the GRI guidelines (Table 1).

Table 1. Variables to Measure the Corporate Social Performance.

Internal Dimension Variables	External Dimension Variables	Other variables
• Responsible management	• Local communities	
• Human resources management	• Stakeholders	
• Health and safety at work	• Human rights	• CSR
• Environmental and natural resources management	• Environmental and philanthropic global concerns	instruments
• Business ethics		

The analysis and measure of social performance, was done through information's gathered from sustainability and financial reports, available on companies' official websites.

A factor analysis was applied in order to find the dimensions, not directly observable, that may explain what motivates Portuguese companies to a social performance. In this sense the factor analysis aims to analyze the possible correlations between items that constitute the index study of social responsibility in order to determine the factors that explain these correlations, *i.e.* the factors not directly observable and that will influence the performance socially responsible organizations. In first step it was need to produce the Bartlett's Test of Sphericity in order to verify the hypothesis that the variables are uncorrelated in the population. To measure the appropriateness of factor analysis, measure of sampling adequacy (MSA), an index is used the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure (KMO), as a rule of thumb, KMO should be 0.60 or higher in order to proceed with a factor analysis, Kaiser suggests 0.50 as a cut-off value, and a desirable value of 0.8 or higher (Kaiser, 1970). In this index compares the simple correlation with the observed partial correlations between variables and is given by eq. 1.

$$KMO = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^p r_{x_i x_j}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^p r_{x_i x_j}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^p r_{x_i x_j \setminus x_k}^2} \quad (1)$$

Where $r_{x_i x_j}^2$ represents the square of the Pearson correlation between the variables x_i and x_j e represents the square of the partial correlation between the variables x_i and x_j discounting the effect of the variables X_k ($k \neq i \neq j = 1 \dots, p$).

To extract the dimensions it was used the Initial Eigenvalues and Principal Component Analysis. An Eigenvalue indicates how much of the total variance of all variables is covered by the factor and the Kaiser (1970) rule is to drop all components with Eigenvalues less than 1.0.

To identify the contribution of variable to each dimension it was used the Varimax rotation method with Kaiser Normalization, to find an orthogonal matrix (T) and is given by the follows equations:

$$L^* = LT \quad (2)$$

and

$$V = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^m \left[p \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{l_{ij}^{*4}}{h_i^{*4}} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{l_{ij}^{*2}}{h_i^{*2}} \right)^2 \right] \quad (3)$$

(i.e. the variance of squared weights for each factorial variable) are maximum under the constraint that the communalities remain unchanged.

4. Empirical Results

Applying the factor analysis it was verified the performance of the sampling adequacy using KMO obtained a 0,852 value, which according the parameterization it can be considered a Good Factor Analysis and these data can be applied to factor analysis effectively; and also show that variables under analysis are statistic and significantly correlated according to the values obtained by the Bartlett's Test of Sphericity ($\chi^2 = 929,486$; p-value ; 0,001).

Table 2. KMO Value and Bartlett Test of Sphericity.

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy		0,852
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	929,486
	df	45
	Sig.	< 0,001

According with the results produced by Factor Analysis, reported in Table 3, it was possible to extract two dimensions that can explain the Portuguese corporate motivation for a social performance. The factor structure after Varimax rotation is constituted by two factors contributing with 75,953% to the observed total variance.

Table 3. Total Variance Explained.

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	6,412	64,116	64,116	3,997	39,972	39,972
2	1,184	11,838	75,953	3,598	35,982	75,953
3	,934	9,341	85,295			
4	,443	4,429	89,723			
5	,316	3,161	92,884			
6	,267	2,666	95,550			
7	,162	1,616	97,166			
8	,132	1,318	98,484			
9	,083	,829	99,312			
10	,069	,688	100,000			

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

According to the values presented in the tables 3 and 4, the Dimension 1, which explains 64.116% of the variance, is defined by the variables Environmental and natural resources management, Health and Safety at Work, CSR instruments and Human Resources Management, which seem to have in common standards and compliance with legal requirements, in particular in which refers to the environment, health and safety, and human resources, and we named **Standards and Legal Requirements**. The variables, Environmental and natural resources management, health and safety at work, present the greatest contributions. This dimension seems to be more relevant to internal policies of organization in order to comply with regulations and in particular to obtain certifications.

The second dimension (Tables 3 and 4), which explains 11.838% of the variance, is defined by the variables Business Ethics, Stakeholders, Local Communities, Responsible Management, Human Rights, and Environmental and Philanthropic Global Concerns (see Table 4). The variables appear to have in common ethical concerns, good conduct and action in the social sphere, so we named **Ethic and social conduct**. This factor seems to be more relevant in terms of communication with the outside, disclosing an image of ethic and social responsibility. The variable business ethics has the higher weight, which may indicate the importance of transparent and ethical image.

Table 4. Dimensions of Portuguese Corporate Social Responsibility.

	Dimensions	
	Standards and Legal Requirements	Ethic and social conduct
Environmental and natural resources management	0,933	
Health and safety at work	0,894	
CSR instruments	0,762	
Human resources management	0,662	
Business ethics		0,862
Stakeholders		0,826
Local communities		0,668
Human rights		0,649
Responsible management		0,663
Environmental and philanthropic global concerns		0,456

5. Conclusions and Further Research

It seems that social performance by Portuguese companies under analysis are mostly motivated by complying with the regulations and attainment of certifications, perhaps motivated by the interest of avoiding restrictive regulations and pressures by external organizations, especially with environment, health and safety at work, and to obtain certifications. Their Corporate Social Responsibility behaviour it also seems to be slitting encourage, by the opportunity to improve their reputation and especially through an ethic and social responsible image. Findings suggest that social performance is not having the same relevance in its multiple dimensions, but it maybe being used as a strategy to comply with regulations and certifications and to improve their reputation.

This study provides a new framework to measure social performance, based on ten variables providing an extended view of the multiple dimensions of Corporate Social Responsibility. These ten variables contributed to construct two new dimensions to explain the Portuguese Corporate Social Responsibility Practice, since 2005; namely Standards and Legal Requirements and Ethic and Social Conduct. Though the policies and corporate responsible practices of biggest Portuguese companies seems to be driven by legal and standard concerns as well as ethic and social issues.

This findings rise questions like the motivations for adoption of corporate social responsibility practices is related to financial and economic performance. The level of implementation the policies of corporate social responsibility is driven by financial and economic performance concerns. Related to this we suggest that further research can follow a different path, by studying different strategies that organizations are using to achieve a social performance. And if that strategies are influencing economic and financial performance, not only in a direct way (*e.g.*, influencing results) but in an indirect way (*e.g.*, avoiding restrictive regulations and cost with environmental and social demands, or increasing employees motivation and work efficiency). As some previews research found positive relation between financial and economic measures and Corporate Social Performance measures we also suggest applying the same methodology to Portuguese Public Companies.

References

- Carroll, A. (1999). Corporate Social Responsibility: Evolution of a Definitional Construct. *Business Horizons*, 38(3), pp. 268-295. Accessed July 17, 2011, <http://bas.sagepub.com/content/38/3/268.full.pdf+html>.
- Centro de Formação Profissional para o Comércio e Afins, [CECOA], (2004). Responsabilidade social das empresas - Estado de arte em Portugal. Accessed November, 2011, <http://www.sairdacasca.com/recursos/docs/CECOA.pdf>.
- Comissão das Comunidades Europeias, [CCE], (2001). Livro Verde - Promover um quadro europeu para a responsabilidade social das empresas. COM 366. Bruxelas. Accessed October 15, 2010, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/site/pt/com/2001/com2001_03-66pt01.pdf.
- Davis, K. (1973). The case for and Against Business Assumption of Social Responsibilities. *Academy of Management Journal*, 16(2), pp. 312-322. Accessed July 17, 2011, <http://uweb.txstate.edu/ek10/socialresponsibility.pdf>.

- Griffin, J. J. & J. F. Mahon (1997). The corporate Social Performance and Corporate Financial Performance Debate. *Business and Society*, 36(1), pp.5-31. Accessed October 8, 2011, http://business.gwu.edu/smpp/articles/griffin_mahon_1997_b_and_s.pdf.
- Heal, G. (2004). Corporate Social Responsibility - An Economic and Financial Framework. Columbia Business School. Accessed October 8, 2011, [http://www.genevaassociation.org/PDF/Geneva_papers_on_Risk_and_Insurance/GA2005_GP30\(3\)_Heal.pdf](http://www.genevaassociation.org/PDF/Geneva_papers_on_Risk_and_Insurance/GA2005_GP30(3)_Heal.pdf).
- Kaiser, H. (1970). A Second Generation little Jiffy. *Psychometrika*, 35(4), pp. 401-415.
- Lama, D., & L. Muyzenberg (2008). O Caminho para a Liderança (Trad. S. Oliveira). Alfragide: Publicações Dom Quixote. (Original work published in 2008).
- Leite, C. & T. Rebelo (2010). Explorando, caracterizando e promovendo a responsabilidade social das empresas em Portugal. *Proceedings of the Eighth National Symposium on Research in Psychology*, Universidade do Minho, Portugal. Accessed October 8, 2011, http://actassnip2010.com/conteudos/actas/PsiTrab_15.pdf.
- Orlitzky, M., F. L. Schmidt, and S. L. Rynes (2003). Corporate Social and Financial performance: A Meta-analysis. *Organization Studies*, 24(3), pp. 403-441. Accessed October 8, 2011, <http://www.finanzasostenible.it/finanza/moskowitz2004.pdf>.
- Stanwick, P. A. & S. D. Stanwick (1998). The Relationship between Corporate Social Performance, and Organizational Size, Financial Performance and Environmental Performance: An Empirical Examination. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 17, pp. 195-204. Accessed October 8, 2011, <http://www.springerlink.com/content/16366530525221v0/full-text.pdf>.
- United Nations Human Development Report (2010). The Real Wealth of Nations: Pathways to Human Development. Accessed July 15, 2011, http://hdr.undp.org/en/media/HDR-10%20EN%20summary_without%20table.pdf.
- Waddock, S. A. & S. B. Graves (1997). The Corporate Social Performance - Financial Performance link. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(4), pp. 303-319. Accessed October 8, 2011, [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199704\)18:4%3C303::AID-SMJ869%3E3.0.CO;2-G/pd](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199704)18:4%3C303::AID-SMJ869%3E3.0.CO;2-G/pd).
- Wartick, S. & P. Cochran (1985). The Evolution of the Corporate Social Performance Model. *Academy of Management Review*, 10(4), pp. 758-769.
- Wood, D. & R. Jones (1995). Stakeholders Mismatching: A Theoretical Problem in Empirical research on Corporate Social Performance. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 3(3), pp. 229-267. Accessed July 17, 2011, <http://www.kantakji.com/fiqh/-Files/Companies/1148.pdf>.
- Wood, D. (1991). Toward Improving Corporate Social Performance. *Business Horizons*. Accessed July 17, 2011, <http://www.kantakji.com/fiqh/-Files/Companies/1150.pdf>.
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development, [WBCSD], (2000). Corporate Social Responsibility: making good business sense. Accessed July 31, 2011, <http://www.wbcsd.org/DocRoot/IunSPdIKvmYH5HjbN4XC/csr2000.pdf>.
- Zadek, S. (1999). Stalking Sustainability. Accessed July 31, 2011, http://www.zadek.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/Zadek_Stalking-Sustainability_1999.pdf.
- Zadek, S. (2004). The Path to Corporate Responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*. Accessed July 31, 2011, <http://organizacionsistemas.com/ARTICULOS/cr.pdf>.