

# Strategies for Teaching in the XXI Century

Edited by

Fernando J. Garrigos-Simon,  
Carlos Rueda-Armengot,  
Ignacio Gil-Pechuan  
and Sofia Estelles-Miguel

Cambridge  
Scholars  
Publishing



Strategies for Teaching in the XXI Century

Edited by Fernando J. Garrigos-Simon, Carlos Rueda-Armengot,  
Ignacio Gil-Pechuan and Sofia Estelles-Miguel

This book first published 2015

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2015 by Fernando J. Garrigos-Simon,  
Carlos Rueda-Armengot, Ignacio Gil-Pechuan, Sofia Estelles-Miguel  
and contributors

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,  
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without  
the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-4438-7723-9

ISBN (13): 978-1-4438-7723-7

# CONTENTS

|  |      |
|--|------|
| Prologue.....  | viii |
| Strategies for Teaching in the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century<br>Ángel Ortiz-Bas   |      |
| Chapter One.....   | 1    |
| Innovative Management of the Self-Learning Process for Students<br>of Higher Education Through Business Process Management<br>Montserrat Jiménez-Partearroyo, Diana Benito-Osorio<br>and Marta Peris-Ortiz |      |
| Chapter Two .....  | 13   |
| Visual Semantic Conceptualization “Conceptipedia” a Collaboration<br>Platform for “WikiNizers”<br>Andrés G. Benedek, Christopher P. Goodman and Gyuri Lajos  |      |
| Chapter Three .....  | 28   |
| Content and Language Integrated Learning in Higher Education:<br>An English Input-rich Learning Environment for Digital-age Learners<br>Giovanna Carloni   |      |
| Chapter Four .....   | 44   |
| Comparing Generic Competences in Spanish Higher Education:<br>An Analysis in Degrees of Different Areas of Knowledge<br>José Ángel Zúñiga-Vicente, Alicia Blanco González<br>and Camilo Prado Román        |      |
| Chapter Five .....   | 66   |
| Learning by Writing on a Wiki: A Collaborative Tool<br>M <sup>a</sup> Victoria Esteban, Juan I. Modroño and Marta Regúlez  |      |
| Chapter Six .....  | 71   |
| Supporting Teachers to Change Paradigms in Computer Engineering<br>Courses by Using Tablets and Digital Ink<br>Félix Buendía-García and Jose Vte. Benlloch-Dualde  |      |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Chapter Seven.....   | 83  |
| Performance of Bologna Higher Education Diploma Supplement in<br>Telecommunications Engineering Studies                |     |
| Roberto Llorente, Maria Morant and Fernando J. Garrigos-Simon  |     |
| Chapter Eight.....   | 97  |
| Experience of a Pilot Utilization of Active Methodologies in a Bachelor<br>Level Business Administration Course Module |     |
| S. Estelles-Miguel, J. Albarracín Guillem, M. Palmer Gato<br>and A. Juárez Tárraga                                     |     |
| Chapter Nine .....   | 106 |
| The Evaluation of Higher Economics and Business Studies Students’<br>Competencies                                      |     |
| Beatriz Cuadrado Ballesteros, Jennifer Martínez Ferrero,<br>José Frías Aceituno and Isabel María García Sánchez        |     |
| Chapter Ten .....  | 117 |
| CAN-8 Software as a Multifunctional Tool   |     |
| Denis Liakin   |     |
| Chapter Eleven .....   | 129 |
| Involvement Measurement through Head Pose Estimation   |     |
| Cristina Mesquita-Pires and Rui Pedro Lopes  |     |
| Chapter Twelve .....   | 144 |
| Twitter Content Analysis of a Course about Strategic Business<br>Management  |     |
| Edna Bravo, Aura Pedraza and Liliana Herrera   |     |
| Chapter Thirteen .....   | 159 |
| European Higher Education Areas: Does Change Imply Progress?   |     |
| Román Salmerón Gómez   |     |
| Chapter Fourteen .....   | 171 |
| The Use of The Press in Teaching Social Sciences: Experiences in<br>Training Primary School Teachers                   |     |
| Raimundo A. Rodríguez Pérez, Cosme J. Gómez Carrasco<br>and Sebastián Molina Puche                                     |     |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Chapter Fifteen .....   | 185 |
| Innovation Experience in a Management Accounting Course for Engineering Students  |     |
| C. Rueda-Armengot, F. de B. Trujillo-Ruiz and C. A. Devece-Carañana   |     |
| Chapter Sixteen .....   | 196 |
| The Perceptions of Students Regarding Outdoor Activities and Workshops in Mountain Education: A Case Study of Bei-Gang Senior High School |     |
| Ta-Yu Lin and Chien-Fu Yang   |     |
| Chapter Seventeen .....   | 201 |
| The Development and Implementation of the “Advanced Thinking Skills” Course with the Support of an Extended Communication Platform        |     |
| Victoria Kalogerou, Anna-Maria Andreou and Hanan Maoz   |     |
| Chapter Eighteen .....  | 207 |
| Techniques for Overcoming Psychological Barriers of Students from Asian-Pacific Countries to Studying in Russia                           |     |
| Svetlana I. Sheveleva, Lyudmila A. Sivitskaya and Evgeniya O. Frantsuzskaya   |     |
| Chapter Nineteen .....  | 213 |
| Democratizing Education: From Open Educational Resources (Oer) to Massive Open Online Courses (Mooc)                                      |     |
| Mónica López Sieben and Jaime Alonso Gómez Aguirre  |     |
| Chapter Twenty .....  | 224 |
| Development and Evaluation of Teamwork Competence in the Last Year Of Industrial Engineering  |     |
| Teresa Barbera-Ribera, Yeamduan Narangajavana and Carlos M. Dema-Perez  |     |

# Involvement Measurement Through Head Pose Estimation

Cristina Mesquita-Pires, Rui Pedro Lopes

*Polytechnic Institute of Bragança (Portugal)*  
*cmmgp@ipb.pt, rlopes@ipb.pt*

## Abstract

Several decades of research clearly demonstrate that high-quality, developmentally appropriate, early childhood programs produce short and long-term positive effects on children's cognitive and social development. The maintenance of high quality education processes and methodologies demand a rigorous process for improvement, guided through observation, reflection and consequent action. Typically, teachers will pay attention to the evolution of learning within the child, namely, on how the child is feeling and acting when dealing with a specific activity.

This information, obtained through a detailed and thorough observation procedure, is based on measuring the child's involvement. In fact, research with the Leuven Involvement Scale has shown that the levels of children's involvement are a predictor of learning quality. An involved child gets extremely fascinated and absorbed by the activity he is performing. There are several indicators that can be used to assess children involvement, such as concentration, energy, complexity and creativity, facial expression and posture, persistence, precision, reaction time, language and satisfaction.

Traditionally, the teacher will record the observation details in a paper based form. At the same time, he is controlling time and paying attention to the child's posture when facing a specific activity. This task is complex and requires several observations, on many children, distributed through the day. Usually, this is performed with a video recorder to help the teacher to evaluate the observation.

The number and diversity of observation tasks makes this a difficult process, with the possibility of many details being lost or disregarded. Moreover, the teacher's training and background can also influence the subjectivity of the observation, further hindering the exchange of knowledge between teachers and institutions.

Involvement is directly associated to the gaze direction (focus of attention), since humans face the activity they are performing. The more involved the child is, the more focus and concentration reveal, with less distractions and variations of the head posture. This fact opens the possibility to automatically associate head posture estimation to the measurement of engagement, providing a quantification of the child's involvement.

The work described in this chapter focus on how modern image processing technology can provide a valuable aid to kindergarten teachers, helping them in the task of registering observations. In this context, head posture is automatically detected and measured during a specific time period. Although easy for a human to interpret the orientation and movement of the human head, it is a challenge to computers.

Although the different observation tools and approaches are useful for correlating data, the quantification resulting from this process will contribute to help teachers reduce subjectivity and to make informed and critical judgments about the quality of teaching and learning they offer.

Keywords: Head pose detection, quality control, preschool education

## 1 Introduction

Early childhood education, as in many other areas, demand a constant attention and dedication in order to maintain or increase the process quality. Several decades of research demonstrate that adequate early childhood education is fundamental to ensure a sound basis for later life. In fact, some studies revealed that well-implemented preschool curriculum models had strong effects not only on children's intellectual and academic performance but on also on criminal behavior, community activities and misconduct, as well as other parameters Schweinhart and Weikart (1997).

The education process involves many actors and techniques, arranged in very diverse ways. Moreover, the importance that young children's early learning has in their future life demands rigorous quality assurance mechanisms. These will further extend the expertise and skill of all of those involved in the education process Belfield et al. (2006).

Several initiatives and projects have been implemented aiming at evaluating and improving quality and effectiveness on early learning. An well known example is the Effective Early Learning (EEL) Bertram and Pascal (2004), known in Portugal as *Desenvolvendo Qualidade em*

*Parceria* (DQP) Bertram and Pascal (2009).

These initiatives define a referential for quality assessment and improvement by taking the participants through a systematic and rigorous four stage process of *Evaluation and development Framework* Pascal et al. (1997). This referential includes all the actors, such as teachers, parents, institution, children, in the evaluation process, to better assess quality issues in a broad scope. The evaluation process not only incorporates all this information but it also fosters improvement of techniques and skills through reflection.

## 1.1 Evaluation process in EEL/DQP

The evaluation of quality of early learning in the scope of EEL/DQP requires obtaining a considerable amount of data through several techniques. Data is obtained through detailed observations of children and adults, interviews of parents, colleagues and children, documentary analysis and a number of questionnaires.

Data is then systematically organized in research portfolios, that will be used in a cyclic process of thinking-do-thinking to research and create change Mesquita-Pires (2012). The process of change is constituted as a dynamic, interactive and open process that includes the following phases: (1) a flexible plan; (2) act; (3) reflect; (4) evaluate/validate, where data is described and analyzed, and decisions are evaluated and the effects observed; (5) dialog in order to share the view with other partners (colleagues, or others).

This process of quality assessment is enhanced by the utilization of observation techniques which measure the effectiveness of the learning and teaching processes, such as the Child Involvement Scale, an observation technique which measures the level of a child's involvement in an activity, the Adult Engagement Scale, to evaluate the interaction between the practitioner and the child Laevers (1994) or the Child Tracking Observation Schedule, to gain a snapshot of the child's day and providing information of learning experiences Bertram and Pascal (2006).

The application of the procedure has a broad set of difficulties and challenges. Initially, it is necessary that the research group learn about participatory pedagogies and theoretical foundations of the EEL/DQP research techniques and the practicalities of their use. The application of interviews come soon after, leading the participants to reflect on the ethical issues involving its use. Learning to observe was another challenge, because the signs are not always evident and observers must be trained to identify them.

In particular, the EEL/DQP initiative defines a four phase/thirteen steps procedure, comprising:

- Evaluation
  1. Initial preparation
  2. Initial data gathering
  3. Interviews
  4. Child Tracking Observation Schedule
  5. Child Involvement Scale
  6. Adult Engagement Scale
  7. Development of evaluation report
- Action planning
  8. Development of the action plan
- Development
  9. Document and support the implementation of the action plan
  10. Child Involvement Scale, following the same procedure from 5
  11. Adult Engagement Scale, following the same procedure from 6
- Reflection
  12. Reflection on the impact of the plan in the future
  13. Final report

The step introduced in 5 (Child Involvement Scale) provides information about the participation in activities and projects, thus giving indicators of concentration and motivation as well as satisfaction.

## 1.2 Child Involvement Scale

As approached earlier in this chapter, quality evaluation covers several aspects. In particular, quality of care has three possible angles. The first can be described by the questions: “Are the materials and activities adequate in number and type?”; “Are things well organized? Are they safe?”; “How is the relation between the supervisors and the

children?". This provides an insight into the practice and how it is shaped.

Another way to assess quality is by observing the long term effect of care on children, comprising several areas, such as motor skills, self-reliance, social competence, language, and qualities such as self-confidence, motivation to learn and resilience.

Finally, quality can also be evaluated by assessing the process within the child. Here, the focus is placed on how children are feeling and how they are engaged with the activities presented to them Laevers (1994).

Motivation reveals that the children are truly interested and driven to engage in the activity. The impressions and experiences obtained by a motivated child are very strong, assuming an influence that will not occur otherwise. High level of involvement also results in a high level of satisfaction. Children spontaneously take initiatives to get into this particular state. This is also achieved through the interest that the children have to discover or explore.

The concept of involvement developed by Laevers (2005) refers to the human quality (visible in children and adults) characterized by intense involvement in activities, being considered as a necessary condition to carry out a deeper level of learning and development. Involvement contributes for a deeper way to learn. When lacking, chances are that the children development will stagnate, and all the actors in the education process should do everything in order to create an environment in which children can engage in a wide variety of activities.

According to the author, when a child is involved, is completely "absorbed" by the activity, which is possible only if it is challenging, taking the kids to operate within the limits of their capabilities. A high level of involvement can be seen when children are concentrated in the activity, showing interest and motivation and even some fascination, visible persistence laying on its action. The children mime body indicates the intense mental activity, full of sensory experiences and meanings. A powerful flow of energy and a high degree of satisfaction emerges from the activity.

To evaluate the involvement of children, Laevers (2005) constructed a scale of analysis consists of two components: a list of indicators of involvement and levels of involvement in a five-point scale.

An involved child focuses his attention on a specific aspect and rarely gets distracted. Simultaneously, there is a tendency for the continuation and persistence in this activity. According to Laevers (2005) the involvement of the child is expressed through a set of indi-

cators that the observer must take into account:

1. concentration, which reflects if the child's attention is deeply focused on the activity;
2. energy, i.e., if the child invests much effort in the activity. His interest and motivation can be verified through his facial expressions, the way he manipulates objects and the chanting voice;
3. the complexity and creativity that is observed when the child voluntarily mobilizes his cognitive abilities giving his best;
4. facial expression and posture, nonverbal displays information about the involvement of the child, his gaze and posture are indicators of involvement or annoyance;
5. the persistence refers to the duration of child concentration on activity and indicates if he feels pleasure in what he's doing;
6. reaction time, reveals that children involved are aware and react quickly to stimuli;
7. the language that the child uses in the action or the way he expresses his needs to achieve, indicate the importance that activity has for him;
8. the satisfaction, if the child is involved demonstrates a high satisfaction with the result of his work.

Considering these indicators, children's involvement is registered at levels of 1 to 5.

At level 1 children have the absence of activity – the child wanders her gaze elsewhere in the room, away from the action. The posture of her head may indicate movement in different directions, or set up a one direction but not focusing on the activity that is taking place.

At level 2, the activity is frequently interrupted – the child is doing a particular activity, but half of the time her head is lifted and her gaze is absent.

At level 3 children's activity is more or less continuous – the child often raises her head, looking in other directions but she focus in the activity that is taking place for significant periods of time.

At level 4 child has intense moments of activity – Even if there are moments of interruption child easily returns to its action. Her head is directed at work and their movements show the energy and persistence that poses into action. Her eyes can focus on other stimuli briefly, but returns easily at what she is doing.

At level 5 child maintained intense and prolonged activity – The position of the child’s head, her gaze and her movements are completely focused on the activity. Nothing makes her lift the head and nothing distract her gaze from what she is doing. The child performs the action persistently over a long period of time. She displays energy, creativity and concentration.

### **1.3 Observation Process**

The kindergarten teachers should be able to make reasoned assessments and criticisms about the quality of learning that they offer to children. The analysis of the involvement of the child is one of the windows that enable this analysis. For that, kindergarten teachers should make observations accurately and objectively, to obtain data that allow emerging consciously weaknesses about their action and may enhance educational quality.

In practical terms, and following the systematic and rigorous process defined by Laevers (2005), the observation should be held to 50% of children in the room (including the diversity of ages, genders and ethnicities), up to maximum of 12 children. Observations should be made in two sessions a week (morning and afternoon). Each observation should take 2 minutes. Each child is observed three times per period (morning or afternoon) but not continuously. At the end there will be six child observations in a total of 12 minutes.

The observation process involves dealing with several details at the same time and some subjectivity is natural, derived from the observer interpretation of what he is seeing. Moreover, it is difficult to maintain track of the time at the same time that the observation is occurring. Measuring the amount of time the head assumes specific postures can provide an added insight into the concentration a child is having and thus reducing subjectivity.

Essentially, the teacher will pay attention to the child’s gaze. If the child is focused in the learning experience, it may reveal high level of involvement. If the child deviates gaze into his hands, the ceiling or to the side, it may reveal distraction. The relative time each posture is assumed is registered, to be able to quantify the child’s involvement.

## **2 Gaze Direction**

Humans and many other species tend to look at things in their environment that are of immediate interest to them Langton et al. (2000). When facing another person’s gaze, we quickly conclude that we are,

some how, of interest to him (probably, we are someone with whom he would like to interact). When living in large groups, the accuracy and speed to detect and interpret other's intentions can contribute to own survivability. This contributed to the evolution of mechanisms that are specially precise in perceiving gaze. Due to this accuracy, gaze is also used to communicate, for example to invite someone to look at a specific object (shared-attention) or to influence someone to follow a specific path.

In simple terms, gaze marks objects or people. Its perception allows knowing not only what is designated but also the degree of interest (George and Conty (2008)). For instance, as we naturally look at objects around us, we automatically mark which we prefer. This is easily interpreted by others through gaze direction perception.

Gaze is typically associated to the eye direction, a biological characteristic that we are particularly sensible to. According to some studies, we can perceive a change in gaze direction of just  $1.4^\circ$  at a distance of 1m (Langton et al. (2004)). The eye geometry is specially important, since the contrast of the iris with the sclera makes it easier to perceive the direction the eye-ball is assuming.

## 2.1 Gaze Direction and Head Pose

Eye direction by itself is not enough to perceive gaze direction. If, for example, the iris of a person is to the left side, it would mean to you that the person is looking to you right. However, if the head is turned to his right, the eyes may be looking straight to you. Head orientation is evidently important as a cue to attention direction. Humans are able to use head contour and nose angle to judge head orientation (Wilson et al. (2000)). In addition, head angle can influence the perception of eye-gaze direction (Langton et al. (2004)).

In the context of the Child Involvement Scale observation procedure, it is not very difficult for a trained observer to get an idea of children involvement by analyzing gaze direction and shift. This reveals the persistence and concentration of the child. An involved child rarely deviates his attention from the object or activity he is analyzing of performing and hardly gets distracted. Gaze is constantly directed towards the target of attention.

Automatic measurement of head posture through computer vision can provide a valuable tool for the observation of involvement. In this context, the time the child's head spends in a discrete number of angles is registered and presented to the observer. Together with his comments, quantified measurements can result in more accurate data as well as a reference for child involvement scale.

### 3 Head Pose Estimation

In computer vision, head pose estimation allows inferring the orientation of a person's head relative to the view of a camera (Murphy-Chutorian and Trivedi (2009)). This procedure requires several processing steps to transform the data from digital images into the concept of direction. The procedure should be independent of several physical phenomena, such as lighting, facial expression, or the presence of glasses, hats or others.

In terms of output, head pose estimation depends on the number of degrees of freedom. A single degree of freedom can be a measurement of turning the head to the right or to the left. Ideally, we would like to measure head posture in six degrees of freedom, including translation (up/down, back/forward, left/right) and rotation (pitch, roll, and yaw) (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1 – Head rotation angles Wang et al. (2013).

Depth cameras, such as Microsoft Kinect, can provide additional tools for accuracy improvement and independence on some physical conditions (Kondori et al. (2011)). However, these kind of devices are not, at the moment, conspicuous enough to be comfortably used for children observation in preschool environment. Acquisition devices should be small, portable, lightweight and should be familiar to children, so that they won't get distracted by it.

We chose to use a single-camera for 3D tracking of the child's head (monocular). Moreover, camera quality and computation power of current smartphones provide an interesting device to concentrate

the measurement in a single, familiar device. We intend to use a smartphone camera to take real-time images from the child as he is performing an activity. Since the head can assume a continuous position on all the six degrees of freedom, it is necessary, at first, to define a discrete set of positions in order to make it possible to count the time each position is assumed.

For this we will consider the following assumptions:

- Facing down/front – the child is facing the activity
- Facing to the left/right – if there is another child to his left/right, he is interacting with another child
- Facing to the left/right – He is distracted
- Looking up – The child is thinking about the activity

According to the previous assumptions, we will have four discrete angles for head posture estimation. The application will continuously measure the time the head is assuming each position during the observation session. Before this step, however, is necessary to identify the person's head and to estimate the direction it is facing.

There are several techniques in a wide variety of approaches that can be used in such scenario Murphy-Chutorian and Trivedi (2009). The first approach we followed was based on non-rigid face tracking Wang et al. (2008). This approach calculates an estimation of a quasi-dense set of facial features in each frame of a video stream. We found that it is very processor demanding, making it too slow for smartphones. We later simplified the approach to use a geometric method, calculating the angles between lines connecting the eyes, nose and corners of the mouth. The accuracy was very low, and we also discarded the approach.

Based on the literature, one of the most accurate technique is based on tracking methods. They operate by following the relative movement of the head between consecutive frames of a video sequence. In fact, these methods operate by following low-level facial landmarks from frame to frame. We are currently experimenting this method, based on La Cascia et al. (2000). First, the application starts by looking for a head, through the Viola-Jones algorithm Viola and Jones (2001). After finding the head position a Good Features to Track algorithm is started, in the region of interest defined by the head width and height Shi (1994). Fig. 2 show a movement sequence with the interest points to track.




Fig. 2 – Interest points in child’s face.

The following steps, not yet implemented, require image correlation between frames, through sum-of-squared-difference (SSD) methods.

## 4 Results and Opportunities

The observations of the involvement provide a variety of data. The information is based on a sample of children in a kindergarten classroom and indicates in what extent the learning experiences match with the needs, abilities and interests of children. Furthermore the data allow comparisons between the involvement of boys and girls, children of all ages, to know if in that environment are developed relationships of equity.

The use of observation instruments that stimulate reflection on the educational activity are an important support the kindergarten teachers’ decisions. The child’s involvement scale allows them to explore the issues related to the quality of learning experiences, the possibilities provided by the multi-functionality of space and daily routine as well as the type of interactions established. Enabling environment created by the intentional act of kindergarten teachers constitute itself as a key element in the involvement of children. If the observation data indicate that children are not involved, adults should reflect critically about the aspects to improve (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3 – Involvement chart.

## 5 Conslusions

The educational studies involve integrated and holistic understanding of the processes, so the use of various methods and techniques of data collection and its analysis help validate and highlight rigor of the hermeneutical and dialectical interpretations.

The indicators of involvement are references that guide the observer to a more authentic understanding of the child’s engagement in the learning process. However they should not be used as a scale but as aspects which, as a whole, help to understand the quality of the learning experience.

The existence of different resources to support the observer to make decisions constitutes itself as an asset in this process. The use of resources that objectively show the posture of the head of the child and the focus of her gaze, allows the observer to eliminate some of his subjectivism.

An involved child reveals concentration, energy, complexity and creativity, facial expression and posture, persistence, precision, reaction time, language and satisfaction. Some of this indicators can be evaluated through gaze. If the child is involved, he is concentrated in the learning experience.

Some studies discussed gaze direction as dependent, or related to, head posture. Modern digital image processing can be used to measure the time each posture is assumed and, as such, provide a quantification of gaze direction. We also propose to use smartphones for this purpose, hoping that it will be easier to handle and more available for daily use.

## References

- Belfield, C. R., M. Nores, W. S. Barnett, and L. Schweinhart (2006). The High/Scope Perry Preschool Program: Cost-Benefit Analysis Using Data from the Age-40 Followup. *Journal of Human Resources* 41(1), 162–190.
- Bertram, T. and C. Pascal (2004). *Effective Early Learning ({EEL}): A handbook for evaluating, assuring and improving quality in settings for Three to Five Year Olds*. Birmingham: Amber Publishing.
- Bertram, T. and C. Pascal (2006). *The baby effective early learning programme: Improving quality in early childhood settings for children from birth to three years*. Birmingham: Centre for Research in Early Childhood.
- Bertram, T. and C. Pascal (2009). *Manual {DQP} - desenvolvendo a qualidade em parceria*. Lisboa: Ministério da Educação.
- George, N. and L. Conty (2008, June). Facing the gaze of others. *Neurophysiologie clinique = Clinical neurophysiology* 38(3), 197–207.
- Kondori, F. A., S. Yousefi, H. Li, S. Sonning, and S. Sonning (2011, November). 3D head pose estimation using the Kinect. *2011 International Conference on Wireless Communications and Signal Processing (WCSP)*, 1–4.
- La Cascia, M., S. Sclaroff, and V. Athitsos (2000, April). Fast, reliable head tracking under varying illumination: an approach based on registration of texture-mapped 3D models. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 22(4), 322–336.
- Laevers, F. (1994). *Adult Style Observation Schedule for Early Childhood Education ({ASOS-ECE})*. Lovaina: Centre for Experiential Education.
- Laevers, F. (Ed.) (2005). *{Sics/Zicko.} Well-being and Involvement in Care Settings. A Process-oriented Self-evaluation Instrument*. Lovaina: Kind & Gezin e Research Centre for Experientel Education.
- Langton, S., H. Honeyman, and E. Tessler (2004, July). The influence of head contour and nose angle on the perception of eye-gaze direction. *Perception & Psychophysics* 66(5), 752–771.

- Langton, S., R. Watt, and I. Bruce (2000, February). Do the eyes have it? Cues to the direction of social attention. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 4(2), 50–59.
- Mesquita-Pires, C. (2012, December). Children and professionals rights to participation: a case study. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal* 20(4), 565–576.
- Murphy-Chutorian, E. and M. M. Trivedi (2009, April). Head pose estimation in computer vision: a survey. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 31(4), 607–26.
- Pascal, C., T. Bertram, and F. Ramsden (1997). The Effective Early Learning Research Project: Reflections Upon The Action During Phase 1. *Early Years* 17(2), 40–47.
- Schweinhart, L. J. and D. P. Weikart (1997, January). The high/scope preschool curriculum comparison study through age 23. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* 12(2), 117–143.
- Shi, J. (1994). Good features to track. In *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition CVPR-94*, pp. 593–600. IEEE Comput. Soc. Press.
- Viola, P. and M. Jones (2001). Robust real-time object detection. *International Journal of Computer Vision*.
- Wang, C., Y. Li, and X. Song (2013, February). Video-to-video face authentication system robust to pose variations. *Expert Systems with Applications* 40(2), 722–735.
- Wang, Y., S. Lucey, J. F. Cohn, and J. Saragih (2008, September). Non-rigid face tracking with local appearance consistency constraint. *2008 8th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition*, 1–8.
- Wilson, H. R., F. Wilkinson, L. M. Lin, and M. Castillo (2000, January). Perception of head orientation. *Vision research* 40(5), 459–72.