Cadmium uptake by algal biomass in batch and continuous (CSTR and packed bed column) adsorbers
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ABSTRACT

In this work, the properties of marine algae Gelidium, algal waste from agar extraction industry and a composite material were investigated for cadmium(II) biosorption. Equilibrium experiments were performed at three pH values (4, 5.3 and 6.5). Equilibrium data were well described by the Langmuir and Langmuir–Freundlich isotherms. Two models predicting the pH influence in the cadmium biosorption (discrete and continuous models) have been developed in order to better describe the equilibrium. The continuous model also considers a heterogeneous distribution of carboxylic groups, determined by potentiometric titration. The results of batch kinetic experiments performed at different pH values were well fitted by two mass transfer models and the homogeneous diffusion coefficients for the cadmium ions inside the biosorbent were obtained. Continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) and packed bed column configurations were also examined for the biosorption of cadmium ions. A strong acid (0.1 M HNO3) was used as eluant to regenerate the biosorbents in the column. Several mass transfer models were applied with success to describe the biosorption process in batch mode, CSTR and fixed bed column.

1. Introduction

The two major sources of environmental contamination by cadmium are the production and consumption of cadmium and other non-ferrous metals and the disposal of wastes containing cadmium. Areas in the vicinity of non-ferrous mines and smelters often show pronounced cadmium contamination. The principal applications of cadmium fall into five categories: protective plating on steel, stabilizers for poly-vinyl chloride (PVC), pigments in plastics and glasses, electrode material in nickel–cadmium batteries, and as a component of various alloys [1,2].

Biosorption of metal ions by various biological materials has been studied extensively in the last decade due to its potential for wastewater treatment [3,4]. Different dead biomass types, such as bacteria [5,6], fungi [7], blue-green algae [8], green algae [9], red algae [10], brown algae [11–13], peat biomass [14], chitosan [15], aquatic mosses [16], tree fern [17], waste brewery biomass [18] and many other low-cost biosorbents have been applied to remove cadmium ions from solution.

Considerable work carried out with seaweeds, especially with brown algae (Sargassum fluitans, Ascophyllum nodosum and others), have shown their capacity to bind cadmium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc cations up to 20% of the biosorbent dry weight [19]. Metal uptake is possible due to electrostatic interactions (attractions) between metal cations and negatively charged sites at the cell surface [3].

To apply these low-cost adsorbents in an industrial process, continuous flow studies need to be performed. Biosorption in packed bed column has been widely investigated and seems to represent the most effective operating system [20–26]. Nevertheless, CSTR (continuous stirred tank reactor) allows handling suspensions, permits the combination of flow schemes and does not need immobilization of the biomass to maintain the integrity of the adsorbent particles, if there is a biosorbent filtration step. Polyaclylonitrile has been proposed for the preparation of granular forms of powdered materials, such as algal wastes, with regard to their application in environmental technologies [27,28].

This paper evaluates the effect of pH, ionic strength and temperature on the adsorption capacity of three different biosorbents, compares their adsorption capacity based on the model...
### Nomenclature

- $a_p$: specific area for thin plates particles
- $C_b$: metal concentration in the bulk (mg or mmol metal/l fluid)
- $C_{bo}$: initial metal concentration in the bulk (mg or mmol metal/l fluid)
- $C_E$: feed concentration (mg or mmol metal/l fluid)
- $C_I$: metal concentration in the film (mg or mmol metal/l fluid)
- $C_{final}$: metal concentration in the solution at the end of the saturation or elution process (mg or mmol metal/l fluid)
- $C_{Cl}$: initial metal concentration in the solution (elution process) (mmol proton/l fluid)
- $C_{H}$: equilibrium concentration of proton in the fluid phase (mmol proton/l fluid)
- $C_{M}$: equilibrium concentration of metal in the fluid phase (mmol metal/l fluid)
- $C_{T}$: total (cadmium + acid) liquid concentration (mmol/l fluid)
- $C_{To}$: initial total (cadmium + acid) liquid concentration (mmol/l fluid)
- $C_{Te}$: total feed (acid) liquid concentration (mmol/l fluid)
- $D_{ax}$: axial dispersion coefficient (cm s$^{-1}$)
- $D_h$: homogeneous diffusion coefficient (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$)
- $\Delta G^o$: enthalpy of the adsorption process given by Clausius–Clapeyron relationship (J/mol)
- $K_H$: equilibrium proton constant (l fluid/mmol proton)
- $K_M$: equilibrium metal constant (l fluid/mmol metal)
- $K_{M}'$: average value of the affinity constant distribution for the proton (1 fluid/mmol proton)
- $K'_{M}$: average value of the affinity constant distribution for the metal (1 fluid/mmol metal)
- $K_L$: equilibrium constant of Langmuir (1 fluid/mg metal)
- $K_{LF}$: equilibrium constant of Langmuir–Freundlich (1 fluid/mg metal)
- $K_{M}'$: selectivity coefficient between metal ion in the particle and proton in solution
- $L$: bed length (cm)
- $n$: empirical dimensionless parameter
- $n_M$ and $n_H$: constants that reflect the overall non-ideality of metal and proton
- $N_d$: number of mass transfer units by intraparticle diffusion
- $N_I$: number of mass transfer units by film diffusion
- $p$: represents the intrinsic heterogeneity of the biosorbent
- $P_e$: axial Peclet number based on the bed length
- $P_{Pp}$: axial Peclet number based on the particle diameter (spherical) or width (thin plate)
- $\rho_{SE}$: pH of feed solution
- $\rho_{AI}$: initial pH of interstitial fluid inside the adsorber (CSTR)
- $\rho_{CI}$: initial pH of interstitial fluid inside the column
- $\rho_{AE}$: final pH of interstitial fluid inside the column
- $\rho_{CE}$: final pH of interstitial fluid inside the column
- $\rho_{E}$: solid phase concentration in equilibrium with $C_E$ (mg or mmol metal/g biomass)
- $\rho_H$: equilibrium concentration of proton in the biomass (mmol metal/g biomass)
- $\rho_{LE}$: maximum amount of metal per g of adsorbent of Langmuir (L) and Langmuir–Freundlich (LF) equations (mg metal/l)
- $\rho_{M}$: equilibrium concentration of metal in the biomass (mg or mmol metal/g biomass)
- $\rho_{M0}$: metal concentration in the solid phase in equilibrium with $C_{bo}$ (mg or mmol metal/g biomass)
- $\rho_{I}$: concentration of ion species in the sorbent at time $t$ (mg metal/g biosorbent)
- $Q_{max}$: concentration of carboxylic groups or maximum capacity of biomass (mg or mmol g$^{-1}$ biomass)
- $r$: dimensionless axial coordinate inside the particle
- $R$: distance to the symmetry plane (cm)
- $R_0$: half thickness of the thin plate (cm)
- $Sh$: Sherwood number
- $\Delta S^o$: entropy of the system given by the van’t Hoff equation (J/mol K)
- $t$: time (s)
- $t_B$: breakthrough time (s)
- $t_{st}$: stoichiometric time (s)
- $T$: temperature (°C)
- $u_1$: interstitial fluid velocity (cm s$^{-1}$)
- $V$: metal solution volume (l)
- $V_I$: volume of the adsorber (CSTR) (ml)
- $W$: mass of biosorbent (g)
- $y$ or $x$: dimensionless concentration in the solid phase
- $y_0$ or $x_0$: dimensionless average concentration in the solid phase
- $y_f$ or $x_f$: dimensionless concentration in the fluid phase at the film
- $y_{f*}$ or $x_{f*}$: dimensionless total concentration in the fluid phase
- $z$: bed axial position (cm)

### Greek letters

- $\epsilon$: porosity of the bed
- $\theta$: dimensionless time
- $\rho_{ap}$: apparent density of particles (g solid/cm$^3$ particle)
- $\tau$: space time (s)
- $\tau_d$: time constant for intraparticle diffusion
- $\tau_f$: time constant for film diffusion
- $\xi$: the adsorber capacity factor
- $\zeta$: axial position normalized by the bed length

### 2. Theory

#### 2.1. Discrete equilibrium model

A mathematical equilibrium model has been developed assuming one kind of active sites (carboxylic groups) in the cell wall, parameters, and compares the biosorption performance in batch and continuous mode using a CSTR and a packed bed column.
responsible for metal biosorption for pH < 7, and competition between metal ions and protons [29]. The model is based on apparent equilibrium binding constants, $K_H$ and $K_M$ for $H^+$ and $M^{2+}$, respectively. The total metal uptake ($q_M$) can be calculated as:

$$q_M = \frac{Q_{\text{max}}K MC}{1 + K_M C} \tag{1}$$

where $Q_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum amount of binding sites (carboxylic groups) (mmol g$^{-1}$) and $C_H$ and $C_M$ are the equilibrium concentrations for protons (H) and divalent metal ions (M), respectively.

This equation can be converted to a Langmuir-type equation dividing by $(1 + K_HC)$:

$$q_M = \frac{Q_{\text{max}}K'_M C}{1 + K'_MC} \quad K'_M = \frac{K_M}{1 + K_HC} \tag{2}$$

From $K_M$ and $K'_H$ values it is possible to calculate the equilibrium constant ($K'_H$) for each pH ($pH = \log C_H$).

The Langmuir (L) [30] and Langmuir–Freundlich (LF) [31] equilibrium models are represented by the following equations, respectively:

$$q_M = \frac{q_L K'_L C}{1 + K'_LC} \tag{3}$$

and

$$q_M = \frac{q_L K'_L(C/H)^{1/n_F}}{1 + K'_LC^{1/n_F}} \tag{4}$$

where $q_L$ and $q_L'$ (mg or mmol g$^{-1}$) are the maximum amount of the metal per unit weight of biosorbent of the L and LF equations, respectively, and $K'_L (1 \text{mg}^{-1})$ and $K'_L (1/10 \text{mg}^{-1/10})$ are the equilibrium constants of the L and LF equations, respectively, and $n$ is an empirical parameter of the LF equation.

2.2. Continuous equilibrium model

For an adsorbent with heterogeneous active sites, assuming competition between metal ions and protons, and that cadmium biosorption occurs only on carboxylic groups (pH < 7), the continuous model is defined as [32]:

$$q_M = Q_{\text{max}} \frac{n_M (K'_H C_H)^{n_H} (K'_M C_M)^{n_M} c^{i-1}}{1 + (K'_H C_H)^{n_H} + (K'_M C_M)^{n_M} c^{i-1}} \tag{5}$$

where $K'_n$ is the average value of the affinity constants distribution for species X (M-metal or H-proton), and $n_M$ and $n_H$ reflect the overall non-ideality which can be due to lateral interactions and/or stoichiometry effects. The effect of the groups intrinsic heterogeneity ($p$) can be isolated from the non-ideal behaviour of metal and proton ($n_M$ and $n_H$), since $n_i = n \times p$.

2.3. Mass transfer models in batch system

For quantitative description of the biosorption process dynamics, the following assumptions are made: the effect of the external film diffusion on the biosorption rate is negligible when using adequate stirring; the sorption rate is controlled by homogeneous diffusion inside the particle or by linear driving force (LDF); the biosorption process is isothermal and equilibrium exists between bound and dissolved metal ions, as formulated by the Langmuir isotherm; particles are uni-dimensional thin plates. The concentration profiles inside the particle can be predicted either by the Homogeneous Diffusion Model or by the linear driving force model, but the last one can be analytically solved [33,34].

2.3.1. Homogeneous Diffusion Model

Mass conservation inside the particles

$$\frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r d^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( q(r, t) \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{R^2}{D_H} \tag{6}$$

The initial and boundary conditions for Eq. (6) are:

$$t = 0 \quad q(r, 0) = 0 \quad 0 \leq r < R \quad \frac{\partial q(r, t)}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \forall t \quad r = R \quad t > 0 \tag{7}$$

Dimensionless variables:

$$\xi = \frac{q-M}{Q_{\text{max}}} \quad \frac{x(r, t)}{Q_{\text{max}}} = \frac{\langle q(r, t) \rangle}{Q_{\text{max}}} \quad r = \frac{R}{R_0} \tag{8}$$

A collocation on finite elements method [35] was used to solve the non-linear parabolic partial differential equations (PDE) with the initial and boundary conditions for each model equation.

2.3.2. Linear driving force model (LDF)

If the average metal concentration inside the particle is considered, instead of a concentration profile, the following equations are obtained:

Kinetic law:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle x(t) \rangle = k_p a_p (x^+(t) - \langle x(t) \rangle) \quad k_p a_p = \frac{D_H}{\phi R_0^2} = \frac{3}{T_d} \quad \phi = \frac{1}{3} \tag{9}$$

$$a_p = \frac{1}{R_0} \tag{10}$$

Mass conservation in the fluid inside the closed vessel:

$$\langle x(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{t} \langle x(t) \rangle \quad 0 < t < T_{in} \tag{11}$$

Initial condition:

$$t = 0 \quad \langle x(t) \rangle = 0 \quad 0 < t < T_{in} \tag{12}$$

Rearranging Eqs. (10) and (11) the following expression is obtained that can be solved analytically using the initial conditions of Eq. (12):

$$\frac{1}{k_p a_p} \frac{d \langle x(t) \rangle}{dt} + \left( \frac{\xi K'_LC_{B_0}}{1 + K'_LC_{B_0}} + 1 \right) \langle x(t) \rangle = 1 \tag{13}$$

2.4. Mass transfer model in CSTR adsorber

A model was developed with the following assumptions: isothermal operation, adsorption equilibrium described by the Langmuir isotherm, external (film) resistance to mass transfer, and internal mass transfer resistance described by the LDF approximation. The model equations are [36]:

Mass conservation in the fluid around particles

$$\frac{d y_b(\theta)}{d\theta} = 1 - y_b(\theta) - \frac{d}{d\theta} \langle y(\theta) \rangle \tag{14}$$
Mass conservation inside particles (linear driving force)
\[
\frac{\partial \langle y(\theta) \rangle}{\partial \theta} = N_d \left( y^*(\theta) - \langle y(\theta) \rangle \right)
\]  
(15)

Assuming that there is no accumulation in the fluid film surrounding particles, we can write:
\[
\frac{\partial \langle y(\theta) \rangle}{\partial \theta} = N_f \left( y_b(\theta) - y_f(\theta) \right)
\]  
(16)

Equalling Eq. (15) to Eq. (16) we will get:
\[
N_f \left( y_b(\theta) - y_f(\theta) \right) = N_d \left( y^*(\theta) - \langle y(\theta) \rangle \right)
\]  
(17)

Initial conditions can be set as,
\[
\theta = 0 \ y_b(\theta) = 0, \ y_f(\theta) = 0, \ \langle y(\theta) \rangle = 0
\]  
(18)

The dimensionless variables are:
\[
y_b(\theta) = \frac{C_b(t)}{C_E}, \quad y_f(\theta) = \frac{C_f(t)}{C_E}, \quad \langle y(\theta) \rangle = \frac{\langle q(t) \rangle}{q_E}, \quad y^*(\theta) = \frac{q_M(t)}{q_E}
\]

and the dimensionless parameters are:
\[
\tau = \frac{\varepsilon V_f}{Q}, \quad \tau_d = \frac{D_0}{D_h}, \quad \tau_a^* = \frac{1}{\varepsilon k_a}, \quad \tau_f = \frac{1}{\varepsilon k_f}, \quad \xi = \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \rho_a q_E C_E, \quad N_f = \frac{1}{\varepsilon k_a}, \quad N_d = \frac{1}{\varepsilon k_f}
\]

where \( q_E = Q_{max} k_a C_E [1 + k_s C_f] \) is the solid phase concentration in equilibrium with \( \Delta C_E \).

The system of Eqs. (14) and (15) in conjunction with the initial conditions (Eq. (18)), was solved by Livermore Solver for Ordinary Differential Equations (LSODA) subroutine [37], where \( y_f(\xi, \theta) \) is obtained by solving Eq. (17) and the equilibrium is given by the Langmuir isotherm.

2.5. Mass transfer models in packed bed column

2.5.1. Saturation

The saturation model was developed with the following assumptions: isothermal operation, axial dispersed plug flow of the fluid phase, adsorption equilibrium described by the Langmuir isotherm, external (film) resistance to mass transfer, and internal mass transfer resistance described by the LDF approximation. We present below the dimensionless equations of the mass transfer model [33,34].

Mass conservation in the fluid around particles:
\[
\frac{\partial y_b(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi} = \frac{1}{P_e} \frac{\partial^2 y_b(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi^2} - \frac{\partial y_b(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi} - \xi N_d \left[ y^*(\xi, \theta) - \langle y(\xi, \theta) \rangle \right]
\]  
(19)

with the initial and boundary conditions:
\[
\theta = 0 \ y_b(\xi, 0) = 0, \ y_f(\xi, 0) = \langle y(\xi, 0) \rangle = 0
\]  
(20)

\[
\xi = 0 \ \frac{\partial y_b(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi} + y_b(\xi, \theta) = 0
\]  
(21)

\[
\xi = 1 \ \frac{\partial y_b(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi} \bigg|_{\xi = 1} = 0
\]  
(22)

The new dimensionless variables are defined as:
\[
\xi = \frac{z}{L}, \quad \langle y(\xi, \theta) \rangle = \frac{\langle q(t, z) \rangle}{q_E}, \quad y^*(\xi, \theta) = \frac{q_M(z, t)}{q_E}
\]  
(23)

And the new dimensionless parameters as:
\[
\tau = \frac{L}{u_t}, \quad Pe = \frac{u_t L}{D_{ax}}
\]  
(24)

The partial differential Eq. (19) and ordinary differential equation (ODE) Eq. (15) in conjunction with the initial and boundary conditions, Eqs. (20–22), were solved by the General Collocation Software for Partial Differential Equations (PDECOL) package [35], where \( y_f(\xi, \theta) \) is obtained by solving Eq. (17) and the equilibrium is given by the Langmuir isotherm.

2.5.2. Regeneration

The desorption mechanism was shown to be considered as an ion exchange between metal ions and protons with a 1:1 stoichiometry and equilibrium was well described by the mass action law [38]:
\[
y^* = \frac{K_{M}^M y_f(\xi, \theta)}{y_f(\xi, \theta) + (K_{M}^M - 1) y_f(\xi, \theta)}
\]  
(25)

where \( K_{M}^M \) and \( Q_{max} \) values are 0.93 and 11.0, 36 and 0.16 mmol g\(^{-1}\), respectively, for algae Gelidium and composite material [38].

A model has been developed using the mass action law to describe equilibrium, in order to simulate the elution experiment. So, Eqs. (15), (16) and (21) are still valid if the concentrations in the liquid and solid phase are expressed in mmol l\(^{-1}\) instead of mg l\(^{-1}\). After biomass saturation, the concentration total metal and H\(^+\) bound to the solid phase is equal to the maximum concentration of active sites, so the partial derivative in time is zero \( (\partial y(t) + \partial M(t)) = 0 \) and,
\[
\frac{\partial y_f(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi} = \frac{1}{Pe} \frac{\partial^2 y_f(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi^2} - \frac{\partial y_f(\xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi}
\]  
(26)

The new dimensionless variables are:
\[
y_b(\xi, \theta) = \frac{C_b(z, t)}{C_{T0}}, \quad y_f(\xi, \theta) = \frac{C_f(z, t)}{C_{T0}}, \quad y_l(\xi, \theta) = \frac{C_l(z, t)}{C_{T0}},
\]  
(27)

\[
\langle y(\xi, \theta) \rangle = \frac{\langle q(t, z) \rangle}{Q_{max}}, \quad y^*(\xi, \theta) = \frac{q_M(z, t)}{Q_{max}}
\]  
(28)

The dimensionless parameters are the same considered before, with the exception of the column capacity factor, which is now defined as:
\[
\xi = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \rho_a Q_{max} \left| \frac{C_{T0}}{C_{T0}} \right|
\]  
(29)

The initial and boundary conditions are:
\[
\theta = 0 \ y_b(\xi, 0) = \frac{C_{b0}}{C_{T0}}, \ y_f(\xi, 0) = \frac{C_{f0}}{C_{T0}}, \ y_l(\xi, 0) = 1, \langle y(\xi, 0) \rangle = \frac{Q_{b0}}{Q_{max}}
\]  
(30)

where \( C_{T0} \) is equal to the molar concentration of feed solution (0.1 M HNO\(_3\)) and \( C_{T0} \) is the sum of the metal and proton concentrations in the interstitial fluid inside the column at the end of the saturation process.

The partial differential Eq. (19), (26) and ODE Eq. (15) in conjunction with the initial and boundary conditions, Eqs. (29–31), were solved by the PDECOL package [35], where \( y_f(\xi, \theta) \) is obtained by
solving Eq. (17) and the equilibrium is given by the mass action law (Eq. (25)).

2.6. Estimating parameters

Mathematical models were fitted to the experimental data, obtained from equilibrium studies, by a non-linear regression method (FigSys for Windows from BIOSOFT). Models parameters were obtained by minimizing the sum of the squared deviations between experimental and predicted values. Model goodness was evaluated by standard deviations, sum of square residuals ($S^2_R$) and regression coefficients ($R^2$).

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Preparation of the biosorbents

Algal waste from the agar extraction industry and the same waste granulated with polyacrylonitrile-PAN were used in this study as well as algae Gelidium, which is the raw material for agar extraction. The characteristics and preparation of both materials were presented in previous works [39,40].

3.2. Preparation of cadmium solutions

Cadmium(II) solutions were prepared by dissolving a weighed quantity of cadmium(II) chloride dehydrate (Sigma–Aldrich, 99%) in distilled water. The pH was controlled by adding 0.01 M HCl and 0.01 M NaOH solutions.

3.3. Sorption studies

Batch biosorption dynamic experiments were carried out in a 1-l capacity glass vessel, equipped with a cooling jacket (Grant type VFP) to ensure a constant temperature of 20°C during the experiment. The pH was also monitored and controlled (WTW 538 pH/temperature meter). In a typical batch biosorption kinetic experiment the vessel was filled with 0.5 l of distilled water, a known weight of biomass (2 g – algae Gelidium and algal waste, 1 g – composite material) was added and the suspension was stirred for 20 min (magnetic stirrer Heidolph MR 3000 operating at 600 rpm). Then, the metal solution (200 mg/l) was added and the suspension was maintained at constant pH (6.5, 5.3 or 4) and temperature ($T=20\degree$ C). Samples were taken after the addition of metal solution at pre-determined time intervals, ranging from 1 to 10 min until equilibrium was reached. They were centrifuged (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5410) and the supernatant analysed for Cd(II).

The equilibrium experiments were conducted in duplicate, using 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, at constant values of pH (4, 5.3, 6.5) and temperature (20°C). The initial metal concentration was changed between 10 and 200 mg Cd/l. A given weight of adsorbent was suspended in 100 ml of a Cd(II) solution and stirred at 100 rpm; the pH was adjusted and controlled by adding NaOH and HCl diluted solutions; the temperature was maintained constant by using a HOTTECOLD thermostatic refrigerator. Once equilibrium was reached, 1 h later, samples were taken out and centrifuged (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5410) and the supernatant analysed for the remaining Cd(II).

3.4. Adsorber continuous experiments

CSTR experiments were conducted in a “carberry” well mixed adsorber (mechanical stirrer Heidolph) with hampers packed with algae Gelidium particles or granules of composite material [36].

Fig. 1. Cd(II) biosorption isotherms (average ± standard deviation) for algae Gelidium (a), algal waste (b) and composite material (c), for different values of pH. (----) Langmuir–Freundlich model, (—) Langmuir model.
An acrylic jacket surrounding the CSTR adsorber body allows the operation at constant temperature. The apparent densities of the biosorbents determined by mercury intrusion (PORESIZER 9320), were 1.34 and 0.25 g cm$^{-3}$, respectively, for algae Gelidium and composite material [41]. A known quantity of algae Gelidium or composite material ($\approx$10 g) was placed into the hampers. The pH of the effluent has been continuously monitored and collected regularly and analysed by atomic absorption spectrometry. The pH of the effluent has been continuously monitored and acquired.

### 3.5. Column experiments

Column experiments were conducted in a glass column (Sigma C 5794) with 2.5 cm inner diameter and 15 cm length (L) packed with algae Gelidium particles or granules of the composite material. Temperature was maintained constant by circulating water through an acrylic jacket surrounding the column body. Adjustable plungers, with 20 $\mu$m selective filters, were attached to the top and bottom of the column. Around 10 g of algae or composite material was placed into the column. Cadmium solution (25 mg l$^{-1}$) was pumped through the column at 4 ml min$^{-1}$ (peristaltic pump Gilson Minipuls 2). The flow rate was measured several times during the experiment. Samples from the column effluent were collected regularly by a programmable fraction collector (Gilson FC 203B Fraction Collector) and analysed by atomic absorption spectrometry. The effluent pH was permanently recorded and acquired using a Labview program. After column saturation, a regeneration process using 0.1 M HNO$_3$ at 8 ml min$^{-1}$ was performed. The sample collection and analysis procedure was the same as for the adsorption step. After elution, the bed was washed with distilled water until the effluent pH reached the initial loading value. The regenerated bed was reused in a next cycle. The biomass-dried weight was obtained by drying the particles at 50 °C during 2 days. The column was washed with nitric acid (20%) and distilled water to remove all the contaminants.

### 3.6. Analytical procedure

Cd(II) concentrations in the supernatants were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (GBC 932 Plus AAS). Deuterium background correction was used with a spectral slit width of 0.5 nm.

### Table 1
Model parameters for Langmuir equilibrium model (Eq. (3)) (value ± standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosorbent</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>F(M)</th>
<th>$q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_L$ (l mg$^{-1}$)$\times 10^2$</th>
<th>$q_L \times K_L$ (l g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$S_e^2$(mg g$^{-1}$)$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal waste</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>20 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal waste</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>15 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Model parameters for discrete equilibrium model (Eq. (1)) (value ± standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosorbent</th>
<th>Discrete model</th>
<th>$Q_{max}$ (mmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$pK_M$</th>
<th>$pK_M$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$S_e^2$(mmol g$^{-1}$)$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>5.3 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal waste</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.54 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>1.7 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>0.070 ± 0.002</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.90 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>4.3 $\times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
Model parameters for continuous equilibrium model (Eq. (5)) applied to biosorption of Cu$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ (value ± standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosorbent</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Continuous model</th>
<th>$\log K_M$</th>
<th>$n_M$</th>
<th>$n_M/n_H$</th>
<th>$n_H$</th>
<th>$n_H/n_M$</th>
<th>$m_M$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$S_e^2$(mmol g$^{-1}$)$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>Cu$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>4.8 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>3.1 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.89 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>3.1 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal waste</td>
<td>Cu$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>3.1 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>3.1 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.98 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>2.2 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>Cu$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>2.2 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb$^{2+}$</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>2.2 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>2.2 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The working current/wavelength was adjusted to 3.0 mA/228.8 nm, giving a detection limit of 0.2 mg l$^{-1}$.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Comparison of biosorbents

Langmuir and LF adsorption isotherms were fitted to Cd(II) biosorption equilibrium data as shown in Fig. 1. The models performance was compared by using the $F$-test. As no significant difference has been found between the two models for 95% confidence interval, only the Langmuir constants ($q_L$, $K_L$) are reported in Table 1.

The uptake capacities presented in Table 1 indicate that algae Gelidium is the best biosorbent, even for low cadmium concentra-
Table 4
Thermodynamic parameters for the biosorption of Cd(II) on algae Gelidium, algal waste and composite material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioskorbent</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T=20$ °C</td>
<td>$T=35$ °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>+31 ± 10</td>
<td>−19.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal waste</td>
<td>+54 ± 10</td>
<td>−19.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>+51 ± 17</td>
<td>−22.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Effect of pH

Since the solution pH has a significant effect on the adsorption equilibrium, cadmium uptake capacity was evaluated at different pH (Fig. 1(a), (b) and (c)). The uptake capacity decreases with pH, which suggests competition between hydrogen and cadmium ions. Additionally, carboxylic groups are protonated at pH < 5 (pK$_a$ $\approx$ 5) [43].

As L and LF isotherm models, in their simple form, do not include the pH influence on the biosorption process, two equilibrium models were developed, to predict this influence. As metal adsorption was studied at pH below 6.5 and hydroxy groups are not dissociated at this pH range (pK$_a$ > 8.0), only the contribution of carboxylic groups for binding Cd(II) has been considered. Both equilibrium models (discrete and continuous) were able to predict the experimental data at different pH values and cadmium concentrations.

The model parameters are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively, for the discrete and continuous equilibrium models. The continuous model parameters, $Q_{\text{max}}$ = 0.36, 0.23 and 0.16 mmol g$^{-1}$, pK$_a$ = 5.0, 5.3 and 4.4 and $K$ = 0.43, 0.37 and 0.33, respectively, for algae Gelidium, algal waste and composite material, were determined by potentiometric titration [43]. Fig. 2(a), (b) and (c) display the three-dimensional surfaces given by the continuous model and the equilibrium data. The $p$ parameter represents the intrinsic heterogeneity of biosorbent active sites and only depends on the biosorbent. Copper and lead sorption data at different pH were also fitted, simultaneously with cadmium sorption data, to the continuous model and the model parameters values are presented in Table 3. The higher $p$ value found for algae Gelidium (Table 3) allows to conclude that the carboxylic sites are more heterogeneous than those of algal waste and composite material. Metal ions act as Lewis acids by accepting electron pairs from ligands. Class A metal ions, also called hard or nonpolarizable, preferentially form complexes with similar nonpolarizable ligands, particularly oxygen donors, and the binding is mainly ionic. Class B or soft metal ions preferentially bind to polarizable soft ligands (S), to give a rather more covalent bonding [44]. Lead, copper and cadmium ions are considered as borderline metals. Table 3 shows that the affinity increases in the following order Cd(II) < Cu(II) < Pb(II) for all biosorbents. The covalent index (product of the electronegativity square by the sum of the ionic radius and 0.85, which is an appropriate constant assumed to reflect the radius of O and N donor atoms) also increases in the order: Cd(II) (5.20) < Cu(II) (6.32) < Pb(II) (6.61) [45]. In general, the greater the covalent index of a metal ion, the greater its Class B character, and consequently its potential to form covalent bonds with biological ligands. Fig. 3 represents the affinity distribution function for the binding of cadmium to the biosorbents carboxylic groups, obtained by the Sips distribution with parameters from Table 3. Algae Gelidium presents a higher peak than those of algal waste and composite material because it has more carboxylic groups and consequently a higher uptake capacity for cadmium. The affinity distribution function is narrower for algae Gelidium than for algal waste and composite material, which is in agreement with the heterogeneity parameters, $m_{\text{Gel}}$ in Table 3 (low $m_{\text{Gel}}$ value for the widest distribution and the highest value for the narrowest distribution). Results suggest that cadmium binds to more homogeneous surface sites in algae Gelidium and to more heterogeneous sites in the others adsorbents. The values of $m_{\text{Gel}}$/$m_{\text{Gel}}$ > 1 (Table 3) were obtained for all metal ions and biosorbents, except for Pb(II) [29,43] and Cd(II) adsorption on the composite material. The results suggest some degree of multi-dentism [32].

The ratio $n_{\text{H}}/n_{\text{M}}$ has a particularly strong influence on the exchange ratio ($r_{\text{ex}}$): $r_{\text{ex}}$ changes over the range 0 < $r_{\text{ex}}$ < $n_{\text{H}}/n_{\text{M}}$. The highest value corresponding to small $p$ (high heterogeneity), low metal concentration and low pH. This happens when most sites are occupied by protons or other cations (Na$^+$, K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$) and the binding reaction approaches a “normal” ion exchange reaction. Under these conditions, the ratio $n_{\text{H}}/n_{\text{M}}$ represents some kind of reaction stoichiometry [32]. The obtained values (Table 3), suggest that cadmium ions adsorption on the composite material has the highest ion exchange character.

The values of $K'_L$ (Eq. (2)) decrease with pH, as shown in Fig. 4(a). The same trend was observed for copper [42] and lead adsorption [29], due to metal ions competition with protons. Fig. 4(b) illustrates the pH influence on the initial Langmuir equation slope, $K'_LQ_{\text{max}}$, that represents the driving force for adsorption at low cadmium concentrations. Fig. 4(b) also shows the values of $K'_LQ_{\text{max}}$ (Table 1) for pH 4, 5.3 and 6.5, calculated from the parameters of the Langmuir equation. Those values are similar to those obtained from the

Table 5
Model parameters ($k_p \times a_p$, $t_d$ and $D_h$) for linear driving force (LDF) and homogeneous particle diffusion models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioskorbent</th>
<th>$C_i$ (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>LDF model</th>
<th>Homogeneous Diffusion Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_p \times a_p$ (min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$t_d$ (min)</td>
<td>$D_h$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$D_h^*$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal waste</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5. Cd(II) biosorption isotherms (average ± standard deviation) for algae Gelidium (a), algal waste (b) and composite material (c), for different values of ionic strength. (---) Langmuir–Freundlich model, (--) Langmuir model. Equilibrium parameters presented in Table 3.

Fig. 6. Cd(II) biosorption isotherms (average ± standard deviation) for algae Gelidium (a), algal waste (b) and composite material (c), for different values of temperature. (---) Langmuir–Freundlich model, (--) Langmuir model. Equilibrium parameters presented in Table 3.
parameters of the discrete model for pH 4. However, for pH 5.3 and 6.5, the values have a higher deviation, principally for pH 6.5 (algae Gelidium), where \( K_l = 1.41 \) and \( K'_l = 0.69 \).

4.3. Effect of ionic strength (IS)

The effect of ionic strength on Cd(II) biosorption was studied by changing the electrolyte (KNO₃) concentration at pH 5.3. Fig. 5(a), (b) and (c) shows that by increasing the ionic strength, the biosorption of Cd(II) decreases by 71.2%, 45.3% and 23.3%, respectively, for algae Gelidium, algal waste and composite material. For high ionic strengths, the negatively charged adsorption sites are surrounded by counter ions (potassium ions) and partially lose their charge, which weakens the contribution of the electrostatic binding to the overall biosorption capacity.

The influence of IS on the metal uptake followed the order Cd(II) > Cu(II) > Pb(II). When the contribution of the covalent binding to the overall biosorption is higher than the electrostatic binding, the influence of the IS is less noticed. This is in agreement with the covalent index, which is higher for lead ions.

4.4. Temperature effect

Fig. 6(a), (b) and (c) shows that the equilibrium uptake capacity remains almost the same. However, the biosorption capacity increases with temperature for low cadmium concentrations, suggesting that the metal ions diffusivity increases and/or some active sites become available [46]. The thermodynamic parameters for cadmium adsorption by algae, algal waste and composite material are presented in Table 4. The negative \( \Delta G^\circ \) values mean that biosorption is a spontaneous process. \( \Delta S^\circ > 0 \) confirms the increased randomness at the solid–solution interface during biosorption and suggests some structural changes in the biosorbent surface during metal binding. The positive \( \Delta H^\circ \) values mean that the process is endothermic, but the increase in temperature is not economically viable because it has only a slight effect on

Fig. 7. Evolution of adsorbed Cd(II) concentration (a) algae Gelidium, algal waste (b) and composite material (c) with contact time for different values of pH: experimental data and mass transfer kinetic models (‐‐‐‐‐‐‐ linear driving force model, (—) Homogeneous Diffusion Model).

Fig. 8. Experimental data and simulated concentration profiles for continuous biosorption on a CSTR adsorber: (a) algae Gelidium and (b) composite material.
the uptake capacity. On the other hand, temperature must be in the range ~5–60 °C, because higher temperature can destroy the biomass, losing the active sites [3].

4.5. Batch kinetics

Fig. 7(a), (b) and (c) represents the cadmium uptake as a function of time at different pH. The adsorption process is faster on algal waste, suggesting that diffusion resistance is low. During the agar extraction process the porosity of the algal biomass increases, reducing the resistance to intraparticle diffusion [41]. For the preparation of the composite material, the algal waste is immobilized with PAN, which acts as an additional resistance to metal diffusion.

The two mass transfer models (LDF and Homogeneous Diffusion Model) presented in Section 2.3 were able to fit the kinetic experimental data. Fig. 7(a), (b) and (c) compare predicted and experimental data for different pH values. Model parameters are presented in Table 5. The intraparticle homogeneous diffusion coefficient ($D_h$) was obtained considering that particles are plates 0.1 mm thick (as determined by microscopic observations) [41]. The average diffusion coefficients for cadmium, in the pH range 4.0–6.5, were $4.7 \times 10^{-8}$, $1.3 \times 10^{-7}$ and $4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively, for algae, algal waste and composite material.

4.6. Biosorption in CSTR

Biosorption of cadmium ions by algae and composite material was also studied in a continuous stirred tank adsorber (CSTR) (Fig. 8(a) and (b)), operating in the conditions listed in Table 6. The equilibrium parameters were obtained from the discrete equilibrium model, for the final pH value measured in the CSTR. The mass transfer model describes the experimental data, although for algae Gelidium the equilibrium was underestimated. The same result was found for copper and lead [36]. The biosorbents used in continuous experiments were previously suspended in distilled water, and submitted to vacuum under agitation, in order to remove air present into the pores and organic matter easily desorbed. Moreover, the biosorbent was washed with distilled water during 2 h, before the beginning of each experiment. After these treatments, the concentration of dissolved organic matter that releases to the solution in the adsorption experiments is low and does not interfere with the adsorption process. The underestimation of the uptake capacities determined in batch system, for algae Gelidium, may be due to metal ions competition between soluble organic matter and particle surfaces, errors in the equilibrium parameters determined by the discrete model at the final pH of each experiment, pH variation and algae Gelidium heterogeneity, harvested at different seasons and places. Using the composite material, a small quantity of organic matter is released to the solution due to the active component immobilization process [43], therefore, similar adsorption capacities were obtained in continuous and batch systems.

The model parameters are presented in Table 7. The “knee” of the breakthrough curve is lower for the composite material than for algae Gelidium, which results in a lower film resistance for the composite material (Fig. 8(b)). The homogeneous diffusivity values were obtained from the batch studies (see Table 5). For composite material $N_1 > \varepsilon N_2$ (see Table 7) and then we can conclude that the process is intraparticle mass transfer controlled. For algae Gelidium $\varepsilon N_2 > N_1$, suggesting that the process is external mass transfer controlled.

The cadmium uptake in the adsorber was 0.11 and 0.06 mmol g$^{-1}$, respectively, for algae Gelidium and composite material. The protons released to solution by ion exchange with the cadmium ions, calculated from the pH profile in Fig. 8(a) and (b) (0.073 and 0.017 mmol H$^+$) are much less than the obtained for lead and copper biosorption [36], which indicates that Cd$^{2+}$ can exchange with other ions (K$, Na^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$ and others), during Cd(II) adsorption.

4.7. Biosorption in column

Continuous biosorption by algae Gelidium and composite material was also performed in a packed bed column operating at the conditions presented in Table 8. The breakthrough time for biosorption on the algae ($t_{Bp} = 685$ min) is higher than that obtained using the composite material ($t_{Bp} = 172$ min), which results from the higher uptake capacity of algae (19.8 mg g$^{-1}$) compared with the composite material (4.9 mg g$^{-1}$). The length of the mass transfer zone (MTZ) and the fraction of unused bed (LUB) values are presented in Table 9. It can be also observed from Fig. 9(a) and (b), that the final pH is about 4.6 for both experiments, much higher than the obtained for copper and lead biosorption (≈4.0) [43]. As the biosorption capacity for cadmium was found to be higher relatively to copper, for the same operational parameters, we can conclude that the cadmium ions exchange essentially with light metals (K$, Na^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$), as observed in the CSTR studies.

Fig. 9(a) and (b) presents the mass transfer model curves. The model fits well the experimental data for both biosorbents, although the equilibrium was underestimated for algae Gelidium as occurred in the CSTR experiments (see Table 9). As shown by Fig. 9(b), higher values of the film diffusion coefficient, decrease
the breakthrough time, and lower $k_1$ values turn the breakthrough curve steeper. Equilibrium parameters were obtained considering the final pH of the breakthrough curve, which is the equilibrium pH inside the column. Biosorption of metal ions by algae Gelidium is accomplished by the release of $H^+$, $K^+$, $Na^+$, $Ca^{2+}$, $Mg^{2+}$ and other ions, due to ion exchange mechanism. Since the metal ions can bind to surface groups by exchange with ions other than $H^+$, not affecting the solution pH, it was not possible to model the pH profile.

The axial Peclet number based on the particle diameter, $Pe = \frac{u_d d_p}{D_{ex}}$, is normally around 2 [47]. This value was used to calculate the Peclet number of the column, $Pe = \frac{Pe_d L}{d_p}$, which is 3000 and 332, respectively, for algae Gelidium and composite material. As $Pe$ is high, principally for algae Gelidium, the axial dispersion could be assumed as negligible. However, those values were considered in the model. As $\xi N_d > N_l$ for both biosorbents, the process is apparently controlled by external diffusion.

After biosorbents saturation with cadmium ions, the desorption process was studied using $\text{HNO}_3$ 0.1 M as eluant. Desorption is a complete and fast process, which allows the biosorbents reutilization in an efficient way.

For high solid to liquid ratio, $S/I_s$, desorption is 100% efficient and cadmium is recovered in small volumes of high concentrated solutions.

Fig. 10(a) and (b) presents simulated curves by the mass transfer model, assuming film and particle resistance, and equilibrium given by the mass action law, adopting for the homogeneous diffusivity coefficient the value found for copper ions ($D_h = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively, for algae Gelidium and composite material) [38] and the selectivity coefficient ($K_{H/Cd}$) determined in batch system also for copper ions. For the composite material the simulated elution curves are displaced to the left relatively to experimental data. This means that the calculated residence time ($\tau$) is lower than the experimental one. Increasing the apparent density to 0.78 g cm$^{-3}$ the residence time increases. So the simulated elution curve is shifted to right, fitting well the experimental curve (Fig. 10(b)). The apparent density value of 0.78 g cm$^{-3}$ was obtained considering the absence of pores larger than 60 Å diameter [41].

As it was observed in the saturation process, particle mass transfer resistance is lower than the film resistance ($\xi N_d >> N_l$, see Table 10), which means that intraparticle cadmium diffusion is fast and results in an ion exchange process with the high diffusivity

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>$Q$ (ml min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>rpm</th>
<th>$pH_{AE}$</th>
<th>$pH_{AI}$</th>
<th>$C_s$ (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_{final}$ (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$W$ (g)</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>$\tau$ (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_{AI}$ (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$Q_{max}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>$k_1$ (cm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_d$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_h$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_4$ (cm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$N_d$</th>
<th>$\xi N_d$</th>
<th>$N_l$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>2.8 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>4.7 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>4.0 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>102.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.8 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>4.4 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.0 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.6 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>4.4 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>8.0 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Gelidium: $K_{AI} = 19 \pm 1$ mg g$^{-1}$, $Q_{max} = 0.032 \pm 0.003$ mg g$^{-1}$ ($pH_{AI} = 5.1$).
* Composite material: $Q_{max} = 7.9 \pm 0.3$ mg g$^{-1}$, $K_{AI} = 0.06 \pm 0.01$ mg g$^{-1}$ ($pH_{AI} = 5.4$). The values in bold represent the best model parameters.

### Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$Q$ (ml min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>rpm</th>
<th>$pH_{AE}$</th>
<th>$pH_{AI}$</th>
<th>$C_s$ (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_{final}$ (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$W$ (g)</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>$\tau$ (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>45.0/0</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0/41.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0/40.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_{AI}$ (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$Q_{max}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>$k_1$ (cm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$N_d$</th>
<th>$\xi N_d$</th>
<th>$N_l$</th>
<th>$t_{max}$ (min)</th>
<th>$t_{sp}$ (min)</th>
<th>MTZ (cm)</th>
<th>LUB (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.9 x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>5.5 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 x 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>1.0 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>240.4</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Gelidium: $Q_{max} = 19 \pm 2$ mg g$^{-1}$, $K_{AI} = 0.026 \pm 0.003$ mg g$^{-1}$ ($pH_{AI} = 4.7$).
* Composite material: $Q_{max} = 7.9 \pm 0.3$ mg g$^{-1}$, $K_{AI} = 0.031 \pm 0.007$ mg g$^{-1}$ ($pH_{AI} = 4.6$). The values in bold represent the best model parameters.

### Table 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_{AI}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$Q_{max}$ (mmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>$P_c$</th>
<th>$k_1$ (cm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$N_d$</th>
<th>$\xi N_d$</th>
<th>$N_l$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelidium</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.0 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>722.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite material</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3.0 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>715.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cadmium uptake is pH-dependent, decreasing with the pH. The equilibrium cadmium concentrations and pH, giving a major advantage relatively to Langmuir and Langmuir–Freundlich equations. These models can predict cadmium uptake at different pH values. These models can predict cadmium uptake at different pH values.

5. Conclusions

The studied solid materials can be used as efficient biosorbents to remove cadmium from aqueous solutions. Equilibrium was well described by the discrete and continuous models for different pH values. These models can predict cadmium uptake at different equilibrium cadmium concentrations and pH, giving a major advantage relatively to Langmuir and Langmuir–Freundlich equations. Cadmium uptake is pH-dependent, decreasing with the pH. The presence of other cations, such as K⁺, decreases the contribution of the electrostatic binding and negatively affects to the overall cadmium uptake. Temperature has a minor effect on cadmium uptake.

Cadmium affinity for carboxylic sites given by the Sips distribution shows a narrow distribution for algae and algal waste, but it is wide for the composite material.

Cadmium biosorption kinetics was fast and high affinity for the carboxylic groups was found. CSRT studies and fixed bed studies showed that both systems can be used to remove continuously cadmium ions in aqueous solution. Regeneration of the biosorbents was also achieved in a fast way using strong acid.
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