Leaf nutrient concentration standards for lemon verbena (*Aloysia citrodora* Paláu) obtained from field and pot fertilization experiments
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**Abstract**

Lemon verbena (*Aloysia citrodora* Paláu) is a perennial shrub native to South America, used in the food industry to add a lemon flavour to food and drink. The species has also caught the attention of the scientific community due to its potential pharmaceutical value. In spite of the useful work that has been carried out in recent years on the chemical composition and pharmacological properties of lemon verbena, very few agronomic studies have been carried out on this crop. There are no studies reporting the elemental composition of this species, making it impossible to monitor crop nutritional status through plant analysis or to establish accurate fertilizer recommendation programs. This work reports results from field and pot fertilization trials that took place in NE Portugal during 2013–2015 to assess crop response to applied N, P, K or B as fertilizers, to establish sufficiency ranges for important macro and micronutrients and SPAD-readings and to estimate crop nutrient removals in aboveground biomass. To this end, a total of 13 fertilizer experiments were conducted which involved 46 cuts of vegetation. Nitrogen fertilization significantly increased dry matter yield of lemon verbena on almost all the sampling dates of the field and pot experiments. In the conditions of these experiments, P and K did not increase dry matter yield of lemon verbena. Crop response to applied B was only found in 2014 on a few sampling dates. The sufficiency ranges set for the macronutrients N, P, K, Ca, and Mg were respectively 28.0–43.0, 0.9–3.8, 10.0–28.0, 7.5–30.0, and 2.0–8.0 g kg$^{-1}$. The sufficiency ranges found for the micronutrients B, Cu, Fe, Zn, and Mn were, respectively, 35–200, 7–22, 60–300, 25–125, 40–250 g kg$^{-1}$. Adequate SPAD readings were found within the range 40–45. Aboveground dry biomass removed 31.2, 2.2, 25.3, 16.7, and 4.2 kg t$^{-1}$, respectively of N, P, K, Ca and Mg.

**Keywords:** Plant analysis
Sufficiency ranges
Crop nutrient removal
Critical nutrient concentration

1. Introduction

Lemon verbena (*Aloysia citrodora* Paláu) is a perennial shrub belonging to the Verbenaceae family, native to South America (Di Leo Lira et al., 2013). The leaves of the plant emit a pleasant lemon-scent when crushed, and are used to add a lemon flavour to fish and poultry dishes, salads, jams, puddings and non-alcoholic beverages (Cunha et al., 2007, 2012). Its aromatic properties are also used in perfumes and potpourris to scent homes (Brant et al., 2010). In folk medicine, lemon verbena has been used as an antispasmodic, carminative, diuretic, digestive, and sedative agent (Pereira and Meireles, 2007; Cunha et al., 2012; Di Leo Lira et al., 2013). Due to the increased interest in this species, several studies have been done to assess its chemical composition (Carnat et al., 1999; Hudaib et al., 2013; Parodi et al., 2013). Other research has tried to determine antioxidant properties (Pereira and Meireles, 2007; Carrera-Quintanar et al., 2012), antibacterial activity (Rojas et al., 2010; Parodi et al., 2013), or the pharmacological and neuroprotective profiles (Abuhamdah et al., 2015) of the diverse products of lemon verbena. Recent studies have linked lemon verbena to the alleviation of obesity-related disturbances (Herranz-López et al., 2015), prevention of inflammatory disease associated with multiple sclerosis (Mauriz et al., 2015) and post-exercise recovery (Martinez-Rodriguez et al., 2015).

Despite the interest that the scientific community has devoted to this crop, and the consequent range of published papers on its chemical composition and pharmacological properties, agronomic studies on this crop are still very few. Most published work has only a rudimentary agricultural content, usually lasting a year, and simply to obtain plants for chemical analysis, oil extraction and the determination of chemical oil composition. Some studies are published in national journals and are not always in English. Most of those which can be found in the open literature are summarized below. In Iran, Tabatabaie and Nazari (2007) evaluated the influence of nutrient concentrations and NaCl salinity on the growth, photosynthesis, and essential oil content of lemon verbena in an experiment carried out in slabs/bags filled with perlite and vermiculite (1:1). In a field trial, Mohammad et al. (2013) studied the production of biomass and essential oil components of lemon verbena.
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subjected to the application of several rates and forms of fertilizers. Also from Iran, Nematian et al. (2014) reported the subject to the application of several rates and forms of fertilizers. Also from Iran, Nematian et al. (2014) reported the essential oil content and composition of lemon verbena. In Brazil, Brant et al. (2010) studied the production of biomass and essential oil content of lemon verbena in response to manure applications in an experiment carried out in a greenhouse. Paulus et al. (2013), from an experiment also carried out in Brazil, reported the content and constituents of the essential oil of lemon verbena grown at different planting densities and under varying harvesting regimes.

At present, there is still not a basic theoretical scientific body of knowledge on this species which can guide the production sector in choosing the best agricultural practices. As far as we know, there are no knowledge on this species which can guide the production sector in choosing the best agricultural practices. As far as we know, there are no data on suicidal efficiency ranges for important macro and micronutrients; (iii) to provide a sufficient range for SPAD-readings, an N nutritional index that can be obtained in the implementation of a fertilizer recommendation program due to the lack of threshold values or sufficient ranges for plant nutrients. The Plant Analysis Handbook III of Bryson et al. (2014), a compilation of data on sufficiency ranges for more than 2000 plant species, including the major herbaceous, shrub and tree crops, but also ornamental plants and forestry species, has no information on lemon verbena.

In this work results are presented on the individual effect of N, P, K or B on dry matter yield and elemental composition of lemon verbena from field trials and pot experiments that took place from 2013 to 2015 in Bragança, NE Portugal. The main goals of the study were: (i) to ascertain the plant response to the applied N, P, K or B; (ii) to set threshold leaf nutrient concentrations or sufficiency ranges for important macro and micronutrients; (iii) to provide a sufficiency range for SPAD-readings, an N nutritional index that can be obtained in the field by using a portable tool; and (iv) to estimate crop nutrient removals in aboveground biomass, a necessary component of the fertilizer recommendation programs. To do this, a set of experiments were carried out, involving a total of 13 fertilizer trials which involved 46 cuts of vegetation.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study site

The study consisted of 13 fertilization experiments conducted in NE Portugal. Four fertilizer experiments, one for each of the nutrients N, P, K and B were conducted in the field during the growing seasons of 2013 and 2014. Another field experiment was conducted in 2015 as an N fertilization trial. Eight pot experiments were spread over three years, 2013 (N fertilization trial), 2014 (three fertilization trials with N, P, or K) and 2015 (four fertilization trials with N, P, K, or B).

The region of Bragança benefits from a Mediterranean type climate with average annual air temperature and precipitation of 12.3 °C and 758 mm, respectively. Average monthly temperature and precipitation for the normal climate 1971–2000 and the meteorological data for the experimental period are presented in Fig. 1.
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**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture (USDA)</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH H2O</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH KCl</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic C (g kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractable P</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>281.5</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;mg kg⁻¹)²</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>132.5</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>104.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchangeable bases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (cmol, kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (cmol, kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (cmol, kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Walkley-Black.
² Egner-Rhiem.
³Ammonium-acetate, pH 7.

The field trials of 2013–14 were carried out on a private farm which is organically managed. The plantation was installed one year before the trial started. When the experiment began the plants entered in their second growing season. The soil is a Leptosol derived from a bed-rock of schist. The cultivated plot was prepared by the farmer on terraces to reduce the slope and facilitate crop management. The field trial of 2015 was purposefully installed for this study in a Regosol of colluvial origin. The properties of these two soils and of those used in the pot experiments are presented in Table 1.

2.2. Experimental designs and crop management

The field trials of 2013–2014 were arranged as completely randomized designs with four N (0, 50, 100 and 150 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), three P (0, 21.8 and 43.7 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), three K (0, 41.5 and 83.0 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and three B (0, 1, and 2 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) rates. All plots were replicated three times. Each individual plot consisted of six rows 2.8 m long. In order that the plots of the experimental design of a given nutrient (N, P, K or B) could also receive the other three nutrients, a basal fertilization plan was prepared. It consisted of 100, 43.7, 83.0 kg ha⁻¹ 8% total N, 3.2% P and 24.9% K fertilizers. Boron was supplied as sodium borate (15% B). The preparation of the nutrient
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solutions took into account the rate of nutrients provided in the experimental design and in the basal fertilization plan, the number of plants per hectare (50,000), the volume of the nutrient solution used per plant (50 ml) and the number of fertilizer applications (four) during the growing season. The fertilization program was split into four applications during the growing season to reduce salinity effects on plants and to increase nutrient use efficiency. The ground was covered by an anti-weed black plastic mat punched with holes spaced at 50 × 40 cm where the plants could grow. After being prepared, the nutrient solutions were poured close to the plants into the holes of the mat. The plants were drip-irrigated from May to September.

The field trial of 2015 was also arranged as a completely randomized design with three N rates (0, 200 and 400 kg ha⁻¹) and five replications. Ammonium nitrate (34.5% N) was used as a fertilizer. The aim of this apparent excess of N was to obtain leaf N concentrations high enough to help to establish the higher limits of the sufficiency range. The fertilization was split into four equal applications during the growing season, at preplant and as three sidedressings. At preplant, the whole experimental area received also a basal fertilization plan with 43.7 kg P ha⁻¹ and 83.0 kg K ha⁻¹, applied, respectively, as superphosphate (18% P₂O₅) and potassium chloride (60% K₂O). In this experiment, planting density was 40,000 plants ha⁻¹ (50 × 50 cm) and the individual plots consisted of seven rows 3 m long. The field was furrow-irrigated from May to September and manually weeded.

The pot experiment of 2013 consisted of an N fertilization trial where the same fertilizers of the field trials of 2013–14 were used. The experiment was arranged as a completely randomized design with four N rates (0, 0.45, 0.90, and 1.35 g pot⁻¹) and six replications (six pots). The pots were filled with 4 kg of dry soil, after being crushed and passed through a 2 mm sieve, mixed with 15 g perlite kg⁻¹ dry soil. The pots received also 0.39 g P pot⁻¹, 0.75 g K pot⁻¹ and a mixture of secondary macro and micronutrients applied as a fertilizer containing 10% MgO, 0.9% B, 18.5% SO₄, 0.3% Cu, 2% Fe, 1% Mn, 0.02% Mo, and 1.6% Zn at a rate of 0.08 g pot⁻¹. The fertilization plan was split into four applications during the growing season. The nutrients were added as a nutrient solution prepared in a similar manner as reported for the field trial of 2013–14. Cuttings of 10–15 cm height were transplanted on 21 March. They were prepared from stems collected in the farm where the field trials were carried out. The pots were thereafter placed in holes in the ground lined with PVC pipe to protect the wall of the pots from direct sunlight and prevent overheating of the rhizosphere, an infrastructure available at the Mountain Research Center of Polytechnic Institute of Bragança. During the growing season the plants were watered as needed to allow normal plant growth.

The pot experiments of 2014 were arranged as a completely randomized design with four N (0, 0.8, 1.6 and 2.4 g pot⁻¹), three P (0, 0.35 and 0.70 g pot⁻¹) and three K (0, 0.66 and 1.33 g pot⁻¹) rates and eight replications. The experiment of a given nutrient (N, P and K) received the other two as a basal fertilization plan, consisting of 1.6 g N pot⁻¹, 0.70 g P pot⁻¹ and 1.33 g K pot⁻¹. The N, P and K pots also received 0.08 g of the fertilizer containing a mixture of macro and micronutrients as previously described. The nutrients were supplied as a nutrient solution prepared with the same fertilizers of the previous experiments. The pots were filled with 15 kg of dry soil, after being crushed and passed through a 2 mm sieve, mixed with 15 g perlite kg⁻¹ dry soil. The cuttings were transplanted on 8 May. The nutrient solution was split into four applications during the growing season. The pots were also placed in holes in the ground lined with PVC pipe as reported for the pot experiment of 2013 and watered as needed.

The pot experiments of 2015 were also arranged as completely randomized designs with four rates of N (0, 1.6, 3.2 and 6.4 g pot⁻¹), P (0, 0.70, 1.40 and 2.79 g pot⁻¹) and K (0, 1.33, 2.66 and 5.31 g pot⁻¹), and also four rates of B (0, 32, 64, and 128 mg pot⁻¹), and three replications. The nutrients were supplied as ammonium nitrate (34.5% N), superphosphate (18% P₂O₅), potassium chloride, (60% K₂O), and sodium borate (15% B). The high amount of nutrients used in this experiment (estimated as 400, 175 and 332 kg ha⁻¹ of N, P and K, after taking into account a planting density of 62,500 plants ha⁻¹, pot spaced at 40 × 40 cm) aimed to push the leaf nutrient concentrations to values allowing the establishment of higher limits of the sufficiency ranges. The use of conventional fertilizers was due to their higher solubility and availability to plants. A basal fertilization plan was also prepared for the nutrients not included in the experimental designs. It consisted of 2.4, 1.0 and 2.0 g pot⁻¹ of N, P and K, respectively. A mixture of secondary macro and micronutrients was also added to the N, P and K pots, but not to B pots, since that fertilizer contains B. The pots were filled with 15 kg of dry soil mixed with 15 g perlite kg⁻¹ dry soil. Cuttings of 10–15 cm were transplanted on 5 May. The nutrients of the experimental design and those of the basal fertilization plan were split in four applications during the growing season. The pots were placed in holes in the ground lined with PVC pipe and watered as needed to allow a normal plant growth.

### 2.3. Plant sampling and laboratory analyses

In the field trials of 2013–14, the plants were sampled three times per year. The dates of sampling were 15 July, 8 August, and 7 October in 2013 and 11 June, 24 July, and 6 October in 2014. At each cut, six plants per plot were randomly selected excluding those of the outer rows. The plants were trimmed ~15 cm from the soil surface shortly before flowering. A subsample of young mature leaves was used for elemental analysis to serve as the standard tissue for the establishment of the sufficiency ranges. The remaining sample was separated into leaves and stems and used to estimate dry matter yield and plant nutrient recovery. All tissue materials were oven dried at 65 °C, weighed and ground. Tissue analysis was performed by Kjeldahl (N), colorimetry (P and B), flame emission spectrometry (K), and atomic absorption spectrometry (Ca, Mg, Cu, Fe, Zn, and Mn) methods.

The plants of the field trial of 2015 were harvested twice (13 August and 31 October) during the growing season. The dates of harvest of the pot experiments were 7 May, 11 June, 12 August, and 4 October 2013, 17 July, 20 August, and 23 October 2014 and 22 June, 5 August, and 27 November 2015. The harvesting procedures and the pre-treatments of tissue samples were the same as reported for the field trial of 2013–2014.

### 2.4. SPAD readings

Estimates of the leaf greenerness were recorded by using the portable SPAD-502 Plus chlorophyll meter. The device measures the transmittance of light throughout the leaf in two different wavelengths, 650 nm (red light, absorbed by chlorophyll pigments) and 940 nm (infrared light, not absorbed by chlorophyll), displaying chlorophyll-SPAD readings which are proportional to the chlorophyll content of the leaves. The readings were taken from the middle of the blade of fully expanded young leaves. SPAD readings were taken from the field trial of 2013-14 and pot experiments of 2015.

### 2.5. Data analysis

The effect of the fertilizer treatments on dry matter yield of stems, leaves and total was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). When significant differences among treatments occurred, the means were separated by Tukey HSD test (α = 0.05).

Critical nutrient concentration is defined as the point in the curve of the relationship between tissue nutrient concentration and yield below or above which there is, respectively, a high or low probability of obtaining a positive response by the crop if more nutrient is applied (Rodrigues et al., 2005). The concept could also be applied to an excess of a nutrient in a plant tissue to define a critical toxic (or excessive) tissue nutrient concentration. To establish sufficiency ranges, tissue nutrient concentrations were divided into three classes: deficient;
adequate; and excessive. The boundaries of the adequate range are set by the threshold values as defined above. In this work, when a positive response to a given nutrient is found, critical nutrient concentrations are determined by the graphical method of Cate-Nelson (Cate and Nelson, 1971), modified by declaring the horizontal line at a fixed value (Rodrigues, 2004). From the relationship between leaf nutrient concentration and relative yield, a horizontal line is fixed at 90% of relative yield, and the vertical line is free to keep the greatest number of points in the positive quadrants. The Cate-Nelson technique has widespread use since it can overcome the difficulty in obtaining a good relationship between tissue nutrient concentration and yield. Relative yields are estimated as the percentage of the maximum yield obtained in individual plots of each experiment. The procedure allows for the removing of most of the experimental variability and comparing critical values from a wide range of conditions. For nutrients for which a response by the crop was not found, or those not included in the experimental design, the sufficiency ranges were estimated by removing 10% of extreme higher and lower points of the scatterplot, which probably represent outliers associated to experimental error.

Crop nutrient removal was estimated from the higher productive plots of each experiment by multiplying dry matter yield and tissue nutrient concentration after plant samples had been split into leaves and stems and weighed and analyzed separately. The results are expressed per ton of dry biomass.

3. Results

3.1. Crop response to N, P, K and B fertilizers

Nitrogen fertilization increased dry matter yield of lemon verbena on almost all the sampling dates of the field and pot experiments. The results of the 2014 field trial, for instance, showed a significant increase in leaf and total dry matter yield from 0 to 100 kg N ha$^{-1}$, followed by stabilization between 100 and 150 kg N ha$^{-1}$ where dry biomass did not increase (Table 2). In the pot experiment of 2015, a significant increase in dry biomass was found when comparing the control to the maximum yield obtained in individual plots of each experiment. The procedure allows for the removing of most of the experimental variability and comparing critical values from a wide range of conditions. For nutrients for which a response by the crop was not found, or those not included in the experimental design, the sufficiency ranges were estimated by removing 10% of extreme higher and lower points of the scatterplot, which probably represent outliers associated to experimental error.

Crop nutrient removal was estimated from the higher productive plots of each experiment by multiplying dry matter yield and tissue nutrient concentration after plant samples had been split into leaves and stems and weighed and analyzed separately. The results are expressed per ton of dry biomass.

3. Results

3.1. Crop response to N, P, K and B fertilizers

Nitrogen fertilization increased dry matter yield of lemon verbena on almost all the sampling dates of the field and pot experiments. The results of the 2014 field trial, for instance, showed a significant increase in leaf and total dry matter yield from 0 to 100 kg N ha$^{-1}$, followed by stabilization between 100 and 150 kg N ha$^{-1}$ where dry biomass did not increase (Table 2). In the pot experiment of 2015, a significant increase in dry biomass was found when comparing the control to the maximum yield obtained in individual plots of each experiment. The procedure allows for the removing of most of the experimental variability and comparing critical values from a wide range of conditions. For nutrients for which a response by the crop was not found, or those not included in the experimental design, the sufficiency ranges were estimated by removing 10% of extreme higher and lower points of the scatterplot, which probably represent outliers associated to experimental error.

Crop nutrient removal was estimated from the higher productive plots of each experiment by multiplying dry matter yield and tissue nutrient concentration after plant samples had been split into leaves and stems and weighed and analyzed separately. The results are expressed per ton of dry biomass.

In the conditions of these experiments, P did not increase dry matter yield of lemon verbena. None of the eleven cuts performed in the field and pot experiments revealed significant differences among fertilizer treatments (data not shown). The use of K as a fertilizer produced a similar result as reported for P. None of the cuts revealed significant differences among K fertilizer treatments (data not shown).

Crop response to applied B was only found in 2014 in the field trial. Leaf and total dry matter yields were significantly higher in the fertilized treatments in comparison to the control treatment (Table 2).

In the pot experiment of 2015, where very high rates of B were used, a significant decrease in dry matter yield was found between the treatments consisting of 32 and 64 mg B pot$^{-1}$ (Table 2). The highest rate of B (128 mg pot$^{-1}$) completely destroyed the plants, producing no biomass for analysis, and was not included in Table 2. Between the control B treatment and that consisting of 32 mg B pot$^{-1}$, dry matter yield was not statistically different.

### 3.2. Sufficiency ranges for macro, micronutrients and SPAD readings

Critical leaf N concentrations for lemon verbena were established from the relationship between leaf N concentration and relative dry matter yield by using the Cate-Nelson technique. The procedure was performed for all the seventeen plots for each sampling date of all the field and pot experiments. An example is given in Fig. 2a by using data of the sampling date of 18 August of the pot experiment of 2013. By applying the technique to all plots, values varying between 24 and 33 g kg$^{-1}$ were found. The average of those individual critical leaf N concentrations is 28 g kg$^{-1}$, this being the value here proposed as the lower limit of the sufficiency range for N. In the pot experiment of 2015 very high rates of N were used with the aim of establishing critical excessive leaf N concentrations. These threshold values were set as the average of leaf N concentrations in the plants grown in the most highly fertilized plots (Fig. 2b). Averaging critical excessive leaf N concentration obtained from all plots and sampling dates, the result was 43 g kg$^{-1}$, being the value proposed as the higher limit of the sufficiency range for N.

Lemon verbena did not respond to applied P and K as fertilizers. In both these situations, the sufficiency ranges were set by removing −10% of extreme values, which can be associated to experimental variability. Removing those extreme values, a range remains were there is a high probability to find results of plant analysis from well-nourished plants. The procedure is shown in Fig. 3.

In this study, the response of lemon verbena to applied B was weak. Only on few sampling dates of the field trial of 2014, a significant response of dry matter yield to applied B was found. In these situations, critical leaf B concentrations were established by using the Cate-Nelson technique (Fig. 4a). The lower leaf B concentrations in the plots where a response to applied B was not found, were also used to estimate critical leaf B concentrations. Thus, the lower limit of the sufficiency range for B was the average of the critical leaf B concentrations of the plots where a response of B was observed, and the leaf B concentrations in the control B treatments in the plots where a response of B application was not found. The value estimated was 35 mg kg$^{-1}$ and is proposed as the lower limit of the sufficiency range for B. In the pot experiment of 2015, where very high rates of B were applied, dry matter yield decreased with the excessive use of B as a fertilizer. Data from this experiment was used to estimate critical excessive leaf B concentrations. These threshold values were found as the average of critical leaf B concentrations in the plants grown in the most highly fertilized plots from which a decrease in dry matter yield of lemon verbena was not found (Fig. 4b). The average of the threshold values of all these plots was 200 mg B kg$^{-1}$, being our proposed value for the upper limit of the sufficiency range for B.

To establish sufficiency ranges for the nutrients not included in the experimental design, the methodology reported for the nutrients for which a response by the crop to the applied nutrients was not found, was followed. The methodology consisted of removing −10% of extreme values, which are almost certainly outliers associated with experimental error. These instances refer to the macronutrients Ca and Mg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growing conditions</th>
<th>Nutrient and rate</th>
<th>Leaf DM yield</th>
<th>Total DM yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field (2014)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.0 c</td>
<td>33.9 c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>29.2 b</td>
<td>68.2 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>48.5 a</td>
<td>103.1 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>44.5 a</td>
<td>105.8 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pot (2015)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (g pot$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.3 d</td>
<td>13.7 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>27.5 c</td>
<td>47.1 c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>40.7 b</td>
<td>76.3 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>48.3 a</td>
<td>85.8 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field (2014)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.8 b</td>
<td>48.3 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.4 a</td>
<td>59.3 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.1 a</td>
<td>56.4 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pot (2015)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (mg pot$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(g plant$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.2 a</td>
<td>18.6 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>11.8 a</td>
<td>19.5 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.8 b</td>
<td>12.7 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1,2,3Sum of 3, 2, and 1 cuts of biomass, respectively.

4 Within each fertilizer response trial, means followed by the same letter were not significantly different by Tukey HSD test (α = 0.05).
and the micronutrients Cu, Fe, Zn and Mn. The results are presented in Fig. 5.

Critical SPAD readings were established for each individual plot based on the relationship between SPAD readings and relative dry matter yield following the Cate-Nelson technique. The scatterplot of the cut of 24 July of the field trial of 2014 is presented in Fig. 6a as an example. The value estimated by averaging all the individual critical SPAD readings was adopted as the lower limit of the sufficiency range for SPAD readings. The value found was 40 units. The average of the SPAD readings recorded in the plants of the most N fertilized plots was used as the higher limit of the sufficiency range for SPAD readings (Fig. 6b). The value found was 45 units.

Table 3 summarizes the sufficiency ranges established for the macronutrients N, P, K, Ca and Mg, the micronutrients B, Cu, Fe, Zn and Mn and SPAD readings. The establishment of all these leaf nutrient standards was based on several cuts of biomass performed on plants grown in pots and field conditions.

3.3. Nutrient removal in aboveground biomass

Nutrient removal by lemon verbena was estimated from the most productive plots of each experimental design. The aboveground biomass was split into stems and leaves and analyzed separately for elemental composition. Crop nutrient removal was estimated from nutrient concentration and dry matter yield in the different plant parts. The results are expressed per ton of total dry biomass and presented in Table 4.

4. Discussion

Applied N significantly increased dry matter yield of lemon verbena in almost all the cuts carried out in the field and pot experiments. It was not possible to find in the literature studies on lemon verbena’s response to N fertilization. However, this kind of result is common in other crops. Soils do not accumulate N in available forms to plants. In agricultural fields, N is usually applied as a fertilizer every year to promote crop growth. Alternatively, organic amendments should be added, or the soil/plant system should receive N through a natural process, such as biological dinitrogen fixation. Previous studies from this region with other crops, such as potato (Solanum tuberosum L.), maize (Zea mays L.), Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus tuberosus L.), stevia (Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni)) or olive (Olea europaea L.) have shown significant increases in crop production in response to N (Rodrigues et al., 2005, 2007, 2011, 2017) or manure (Rodrigues et al., 2006) applications. Green manures and legume cover crops have also promoted the tree nutritional status and enhanced crop production in olive orchards (Rodrigues et al., 2013, 2015). In the study here reported, lemon verbena did not respond to P and K fertilization. This does not diminish the importance of these two nutrients in the agricultural systems as is widely recognized (Hawkesford et al., 2012; Havlin et al., 2014). Instead, these soils were probably able to supply enough P and K for plant growth from their own means. The crop responded to applied B in the field trial of 2014 but not in the other experiments, and excessive use of B decreased dry matter yield. Boron deficiency is an important nutritional disorder in the agricultural soils of the region. Boron deficiency symptoms and crop response to applied B are common, mainly in rainfed managed dicots, such as olive (Arrobas et al., 2010) and chestnut (Portela and Louzada, 2012). In this particular study, the response to B application was probably masked by irrigation, since B is water soluble and irrigation can provide appreciable amounts of B (Gupta, 1993). Boron is an essential element, meaning that it is absolutely necessary to plant metabolism, but in excess it can be toxic to plants (Nable et al., 1997; Broadley et al., 2012). In this experiment, high rates of B were used to push the leaf B concentrations to toxic levels to allow the establishment of the higher limit of the sufficiency range. In practice, a toxicity of B is not likely to occur in this region in lemon verbena or other crops. Brant et al. (2010) reported an increase in dry matter yield of lemon verbena with increased rates of cow manure from 0 to 12 kg m$^{-2}$. The result was probably due to a combination of the direct effect of manure on plant growth by releasing nutrients and/or to an indirect effect through the enhancement of general soil growing conditions.
Fig. 4. Critical leaf B concentration (a) established from the relationship between leaf B concentration and relative dry matter (DM) yield by using the Cate-Nelson technique (data from the cut of 24 July of the field trial of 2014) and critical excessive leaf B concentration (b) established from the average of leaf B concentrations in the plants grown in the plots fertilizer with high rates of B (data from the sampling date of 22 June of the pot experiment of 2015).

Fig. 5. Sufficiency range for leaf Ca, Mg, Cu, Fe, Zn and Mn estimated from the relationship between leaf nutrient concentrations and relative dry matter (DM) yield by removing ~10% of extreme lower and higher values.

Fig. 6. Critical SPAD readings (a) established from the relationship between SPAD readings and relative dry matter (DM) yield by using the Cate-Nelson technique (data from the cut of 24 July of the field trial of 2014) and critical excessive SPAD readings (b) established from the average of SPAD readings recorded in the plants grown in the plots fertilizer with the highest rates of N (data from the cut of 22 June of the pot experiment of 2015).
Thus, the threshold for N applied as a fertilizer in the aboveground biomass of lemon verbena estimated from the dry matter yield of each plant part and respective elemental composition and expressed per ton of total dry biomass.
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