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Sixty-two commercial samples of dehydrated bee pollen (Apis mellifera bees) collected in Brazil (eight states and the Federal District) were analyzed for commercial quality (moisture, ash, lipids, proteins, and carbohydrates), and hygiene (aerobic mesophiles, yeasts and molds, coliforms, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and sulfite-reducing Clostridium). The analyzed samples were within the regulatory limits established by the legislation for ash and protein, except for moisture content. The analyzed hygiene parameters evaluated for bee pollen are not regulated by the Brazilian legislation, and the data discussed can contribute to regulatory agencies. Only moderate and weak correlations were observed between dehydrated pollen samples and the parameters evaluated.

Calidad microbiológica y caracterización físicoquímica del polen de abeja

Se analizó la calidad comercial (humedad, cenizas, lipidos, proteínas e hidratos de carbono) e higiene (mesófilos aeróbicos, levaduras y mohos, coliformes, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus y Clostridium) de 62 muestras comerciales de polen de abejas deshidratadas (Apis mellifera abejas) recogidas en Brasil (ocho estados y el Distrito Federal). Las muestras analizadas se encontraban dentro de los límites reglamentarios establecidos por la legislación para las cenizas, lipidos y proteínas, con excepción del contenido de humedad. Los parámetros de higiene analizados para el polen de abejas no están regulados por la legislación brasileña y los datos discutidos pueden ser distribuidos a los organismos reguladores. Sólo se observaron correlaciones moderadas y débiles entre muestras de polen deshidratadas y los parámetros evaluados.
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Introduction

Bee pollen is used mainly as a natural food supplement with low levels of lipids, and may be of particular interest to those seeking a balanced diet. Besides Brazil, some countries such as Switzerland, Argentina and France have legally recognized bee pollen as a food supplement presenting identity and quality standards, as well as the limits for each parameter to be analyzed. Although this product is sold in many health food stores, bee pollen is not considered a food supplement by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the USA and has not been marketed with special standards (Almeida-Muradian, Pamela, Coimbra, & Barth, 2005; Almeida-Muradian, Arruda, & Barreto, 2012; Mundargi et al., 2016).

It would be useful to add specific standards to the legislation, for example, regarding the possible organic and inorganic contaminants or macroscopic and microscopic criteria. In addition, probably by the lack of scientific support, Brazilian Legislation does not require an expiry date for dehydrated bee pollen. Barreto, Funari, and Orsi (2005) examined the packaging of dehydrated bee pollen from seven different Brazilian States, observing that 59% of the samples were marketed in glass containers and 41% in plastic containers (jars or bags). Expiry dates from six months to three years were found on the labels for this product. Due to the nutritional and functional importance of the components present in food, it is necessary to control and to supervise the different elaboration processes, so as to ensure that the processed food supply could guarantee that the consumer gets all the nutrients in their most bioavailable form, and should be in accordance with the legislation requirements retaining their organoleptic properties (Torres, Guinand, & Guerra, 2003; Hani et al., 2012).

The main physicochemical and nutritional composition of bee pollen in natura combined with the presence of micro-organisms naturally available in this product are conditions that have to be monitored for the good practices of bee pollen collection, transport, packaging and processing (Arruda, Freitas, Barth, Estevinho, & Almeida-Muradian, 2013; Arruda, Pereira, Estevinho, &
Almeida-Muradian, 2013; Arruda, Pereira, Freitas, Barth, & Almeida-Muradian, 2013; Campos et al., 2008; De-Melo et al., 2016; Fatcrová-Sramková et al., 2013; Gabile et al., 2015; Melo, Freitas, Barth, & Almeida-Muradian, 2009; Melo & Almeida-Muradian, 2011; Puig-Peña, Del-Risco-Rios, Álvarez-Rivera, Leiva-Castillo, & García-Neninger, 2012; Yang et al., 2013; Sattler et al., 2015). Also, there are a variety of microorganisms that could develop in this product (De-Melo, Estevinho, & Almeida-Muradian, 2015; Estevinho, Rodrigues, Pereira, & Féis, 2012; Féis, Vázquez-Tato, Estevinho, Seijas, & Iglesias, 2012; Morgano, Milani, Martins, & Rodriguez-Amaya, 2011; Nogueira, Iglesias, Féis, & Estevinho, 2012).

When assessing the quality of a food, it is essential to determine the safety from the microbiological point of view (Nardoni, D’Ascenzi, Rocchigiani, Moretti, & Mancianti, 2016). In this paper, a study of the physicochemical composition and microbiological quality of commercial samples of dehydrated bee pollen produced in eight Brazilian States and in the Federal District. Within the context of the effects of rules and regulations on the food security of the product, the data presented are of particular importance because microbiological quality parameters are not regulated, nor is the standardization of methods for physicochemical and microbiological analysis.

Materials and methods
Reagents and bee pollen samples
The reagents used during the extraction procedures and other analytical methods were of analytical grade and were applied without further purification. Ultrapure water was prepared by Milli-Q Direct purification system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Sixty-two commercial samples of dehydrated bee pollen (Apis mellifera bees) were collected in the years 2009–2012 from the Federal District and eight Brazilian States (Bahia, Espirito Santo, Sergipe, Sao Paulo, Santa Catarina, Mato Grosso, Rio Grande do Norte and Rio Grande do Sul). The commercial samples received were kept in glass jars and stored in a freezer (−18 °C) until analysis. Prior to analysis, the samples were homogenized and ground in analytical mill.

Physicochemical determinations
The gravimetric method was used to quantify moisture in the samples. Electronic precision scale Micronal B160 balance, adjusted with infrared dryer Metler Toledo (LP16), was used for the gravimetric determination. Approximately one gram was subjected to 85 °C in the infrared scale (Almeida-Muradian et al., 2012; Arruda, Pereira, Freitas, et al., 2013; Melo & Almeida-Muradian, 2011). Proteins were quantified by the Micro-Kjeldahl method, using factor 6.25 for converting the total nitrogen into proteins (Almeida-Muradian & Penteado, 2007; Almeida-Muradian et al., 2012; Arruda, Pereira, Freitas, et al., 2013). Lipids were quantified using an intermittent Soxhlet extractor with diethyl ether as solvent (Almeida-Muradian & Penteado, 2007; Almeida-Muradian et al., 2012; Arruda, Pereira, Freitas, et al., 2013). Ash was determined gravimetrically after incineration of the material in an oven at 550 °C, up to constant weight (Almeida-Muradian et al., 2005, 2012; Arruda, Pereira, Freitas, et al., 2013).

Quantification of fructose and glucose were performed by the Normal-Phase Liquid Chromatography method. Approximately 2.5 grams of dried bee pollen were mixed with 30 mL of water at 40 °C, and the solution was heated for 15 min in a water bath at 60 °C. The mixture was continuously swirled while 2 mL of each Carréz solution were added. The solution was then cooled at room temperature, and transferred to a 50 mL volumetric glassware; additional deionized water was used to complete the volumetric glassware. Finally, filtration by a 0.45 μm membrane was used before HPLC injection (Burgner & Feinberg, 1992; Martins, Morgano, Vicente, Baggio, & Rodriguez-Amaya, 2011). The HPLC mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile and water (85:5 v/v) a 1 ml/min flowrate. The HPLC system was equipped with two Shimadzu (LC-20AT) pumps, Shimadzu (SIL-20A Autosampler) autosampler; Shimadzu (IR-10AXL) Refractive Index detector, and a normal phase column (Luna NH2. 250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm, Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, USA) with pre-column (Luna NH2. 10 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm), thermostated at 40 °C; Software LC-Solution, Shimadzu CBM-20A (SCL-10AVP) system controller. The accuracy of the method to analyze glucose and fructose was determined by the method of addition and recovery of the analyte. Three concentration levels for the addition of analyte were explored (50; 75; and 100% of the expected concentration for bee pollen).

Microbiological determinations
Microbiological determinations were evaluated as previously described by Gomes, Dias, Moreira, Rodrigues, and Estevinho (2010), Estevinho et al. (2012), Feás et al., 2012, and Nogueira et al. (2012). All the microbial tests were performed in triplicate. Ten grams of each bee pollen sample were homogenized into 90 mL of peptone water solvent as sample preparation for the microbiological tests. Decimal dilutions were performed using the same solvent.

Aerobic mesophilic bacteria were counted onto standard plate count agar (PCA; Himedia, Mumbai, India) and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h (NP-3788. 2002). Microbial counts were expressed as colony-forming units per gram of bee pollen (CFU g−1). Molds and yeasts enumerations were made onto DG18 agar Himedia and incubated at 25 °C for 5 days (ISSO 21527–2. 2008). Microbial counts were expressed as colony-form-
ing units per gram of bee pollen (CFU g⁻¹). For sulfite-reducing clostridia counting, aliquots of 10.0, 5.0, 1.0 and 0.1 mL of the initial suspension were added to an empty tube, thermally treated at 80 °C for 5 min and covered with ISA (iron sulfite agar) media (Oxoid), and incubated at 37 °C for 5 days (ISO 15213, 2003).

**Statistical analysis**

The experiments were conducted in a fully randomized manner and all the data obtained were tested regarding normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneity of variances (Levene, and Brown-Forsythe tests). The results of the experiments were analyzed statistically in four groups. Each group corresponds to the Brazilian macro-regions (Northeast, Midwest, Southeast, and South) where bee pollen samples were collected from.

The existence of association between the variables: pollen type and proximate analysis (ashes, lipids, proteins and moisture) was analyzed using the Pearson correlation and adopting the coefficient of correlation (r) as the parameter to evaluate the nature (directly or inversely proportional) and the intensity of these correlations (0 to 1. with 1 indicating maximum correlation). In the sets of data in which the normal distributions and, specially, the homogeneity of variances were not observed, non-parametric statistical tests were employed. For the test of correlation, the Spearman correlation test was adopted; for comparing two groups, the Mann-Whitney was employed, and for more than two groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was employed. The results were expressed as the mean of the results ± standard deviation. All the statistical analyses were performed using the program STATISTICA 8.0 and adopting the significance level of 5% (p < 0.05).

**Results and discussion**

Regarding the physicochemical attributes of the dehydrated bee pollen samples analyzed, in Table 1, the samples are observed to be significantly different (p < 0.05) for moisture, ash and lipids. Moisture was higher for the samples from the Midwest region than in any other regions (p < 0.05) and the average humidity of samples from the northeastern region was higher than in samples from the southern region (p = 0.039). The amount of ash was higher in samples from the northeastern region (p < 0.001). The content of ashes can be influenced by the ability of the plant to accumulate minerals, by the geographical origin, type of soil and floral species (Carpes, Mourão, Alencar, & Masson, 2009; Martins et al., 2011).

Martins et al. (2011), evaluating 154 Brazilian bee pollen samples, also found that ash contents were higher for the samples in the Northeast region. The lipid content of the samples from the Northeast and Southeast regions were smaller than the Southern region samples (p < 0.001 and p = 0.029, respectively).

The moisture content of the samples presented values between 3.06% and 8.12%. Out of the 62 samples analyzed, only 17.7% showed moisture value below 4% (maximum value provided by the Brazilian Legislation and by the Argentinian regulation). The average value obtained for samples from the southern region of Brazil by Almeida-Muradian et al. (2005), was 7.40%; however, the method used was the gravimetric using vacuum oven at 70 °C. As demonstrated by Melo and Almeida-Muradian (2011), the method influences the results and the recommended method is the infrared dryer (Almeida-Muradian et al., 2012). High moisture values were also reported by Bastos, Rocha, Cunha, Carvalho, and Torres (2003) using the method of Karl Fisher for dehydrated bee pollen samples from the States of São Paulo and Minas Gerais, Brazil (average of 8.78%). The results aforementioned for researchers showed variability which, according to Marchini, Reis, and Moreti (2006) can be explained by the fact that the dehydrated bee pollen is very hygroscopic and can be affected by environmental conditions.

The results found are similar to those already found, in samples from several Brazilian states, by Arruda, Pereira, Freitas, et al. (2013), Melo and Almeida-Muradian (2011), Oliveira (2006), Martins et al. (2011), Sattler et al. (2015). It is important to note that results may vary according to the method of moisture determination employed (e.g., infrared dryer, Karl Fisher, and freeze drying). The variation for moisture results (Table 1), points out the difficulty of producers in obtaining a bee pollen that meets the Brazilian legislation requirements (Martins et al., 2011) and also a legislation that fails to state the method that should be adopted by all producers of beekeeping pollens.

Water content and water activity play an important role in the organoleptic characteristics and the shelf life of the bee pollen. When the values are too high, they can potentially stimulate microbial contamination, especially by fungi and yeasts (Coronel, Grasso, Pereira, & Fernández, 2004; Morgano et al., 2011; Nogueira et al., 2012). It is recommended not to collect bee pollen in rainy days or in very wet days. Moreover, in the processing line, the oven to dehydrate must be adequate; a dehumidifier can also be used in the drying room. As bee pollen is a highly hygroscopic material, glass or hard plastic packaging would be the most appropriate for marketing and storing the product.

Regarding ash content, of the samples analyzed, 96.8% were in accordance with the maximum value established by the Brazilian Legislation (4%). Results above 4% may indicate the presence of contaminants such as dirt, wood and foliage. There is a directly proportional relationship between the values of ashes and the percentages of Cocos nucifera, M. verrucosa, Myrcia and Tapirira. There is an inversely proportional content of ashes with Asteraceae, Euphorbiaceae, Poaceae, according to a preliminary study of botanical origin for the same 62 samples (Freitas, Arruda, Almeida-Muradian, & Barth, 2013).
The results found are similar to those already found, in samples from several Brazilian states, by Bastos et al. (2003), Almeida-Muradian et al. (2005), Barreto, Funari, Orsi, and Dib (2006), Marchini et al. (2006), Modro, Message, Luz, and Meira Neto (2007), Melo et al. (2009), Arruda, Pereira, Freitas, et al. (2013), Martins et al. (2011), Carpes et al. (2009), and Sattler et al. (2015). According to Sattler et al. (2016), bee pollen samples from southern Brazil have iron, chromium and magnesium as prevalent minerals.

Lipid results obtained in this study ranged between 3.25 and 10.96% which is in accordance with the Brazilian Legislation (minimum of 1.8%). According to Freitas et al. (2013) involving the same 62 bee pollen samples, proteins showed a positive correlation with M. verrucosa and Myrcia, and negative correlation with Amaranthus, Asteraceae, Poaceae and Euphorbiaceae. Proanthocyanidins are major polyphenolic components in bee pollen, and may play a role in antioxidant activity and immune system stimulation.

According to the researches by Serra-Bonvehi and Escolà Jordà (1997) and Szczesna (2007), fructose and glucose are the free sugars present in greater concentrations in bee pollen, therefore, they are considered as the main sources of energy for bees. The results varie between 8.08% and 25.71% and 2.77% and 20.90% for fructose and for glucose, respectively (see Table 2 for spike-and-recovery tests for glucose and fructose content).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>DP</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-west</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-west</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipids (%)</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-west</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteins (%)</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>24.84</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>18.54</td>
<td>33.13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-west</td>
<td>23.27</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>23.65</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>34.73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>22.96</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>17.84</td>
<td>31.67</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>21.97</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>20.42</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23.35</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>34.73</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose (%)</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>16.51</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>25.71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-west</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>20.87</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>19.82</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16.07</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>15.74</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>25.71</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (%)</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>20.53</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-west</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>13.77</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Descriptive level of statistical test.
Table 2. Dehydrated bee pollen: spike-and-recovery tests for glucose and fructose content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Glucose Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Fructose Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>98.72</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>86.60</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>89.50</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>81.90</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>86.70</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>74.60</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variability in the centesimal composition found for the bee pollen samples analyzed herein may be explained by the botanical diversity, age, nutritional condition of the plant and environmental conditions of the different production localities, seasons (Barreto et al., 2006; Funari et al., 2003; Herbert & Shimanki, 1978) as well as by factors related to the product manipulation and storage (Villanueva, Marquina, Serrano, & Abellán, 2002).

Molds and yeasts were detected in 60% of the samples analyzed (Table 3). However, Hervertin (2009) and Coronel et al. (2004) detected the presence of these microorganisms in all the samples analyzed in Brazilian and in Argentinian bee pollen samples, respectively. Hervertin (2009) analyzed dehydrated bee pollen samples from the State of São Paulo and verified that 60% of the samples presented numbers exceeding $10^5$ CFU g⁻¹. According to Article 785 of the Argentinian Food Code (Argentina, 1990), this number cannot exceed $10^6$ CFU g⁻¹ of pollen.

In Brazil, there is still no law or regulation about microbiological quality control for bee pollen (ANVISA, 2001), making it imperative to establish hygienic-sanitary standards for beekeeping products. Studies about hygiene-sanitary in beekeeping products also provide information to national regulatory agencies to establish microbiological standards. The results obtained by Estevinho et al. (2012), Nogueira et al. (2012) and Feárs et al. (2012), were smaller than the ones determined herein in Portuguese organic dehydrated bee pollen samples and commercial bee pollen. From the biological viewpoint, the mold and yeast values are related to the environmental conditions, and are an indication of the adequate or inefficient management of the apiaries (Nogueira et al., 2012).

Aerobic mesophiles were present in 56% of the samples, oscillating between $<10$ and $1.26 \times 10^3$ (Table 3). The results from the aerobic mesophiles agreed with the standard established by the Argentinian Food Code, which provides a maximum value of $1.5 \times 10^5$ CFU g⁻¹ for aerobic mesophiles in dehydrated bee pollen. The number of aerobic mesophiles reflects the hygienic quality of food or raw material, as well as the processing, handling and storing conditions. The presence of a large number of mesophilic bacteria may indicate excessively contaminated raw material; inadequate cleaning and disinfection of surfaces; insufficient hygiene in food production or preservation; inadequate time/temperature conditions in food production or preservation, or a combination of these circumstances (De-Melo et al., 2016; Estevinho et al., 2012; Feárs et al., 2012; Franco & Landgraf, 2005; Hani et al., 2012; Nogueira et al., 2012).

Total coliforms, *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were present in 50, 11.3 and 30.6% of the samples, respectively (Table 3). In the works by Estevinho et al. (2012), Nogueira et al. (2012) and Feárs et al. (2012) into organic and in commercial pollen produced in Portugal, these microorganisms were absent from all
the samples. Hani et al. (2012) reported total coliforms in bee pollen <10 to 10^4 CFU g⁻¹ and De-Melo et al. (2016) reported the presence of total coliforms in bee pollen <10 to 2.80 × 10^3 CFU g⁻¹. Coliform contamination is an indicator of the hygienic conditions of the manufacturing process, once they are easily inactivated by sanitizers and have a large capacity of forming colonies at different points of the processing plant, when sanitization is faulty (see Table 3).

Sulfite-reducing Clostridium spores were absent from all the 62 samples analyzed (Clostridium data are not presented in Table 3). The same was observed by Estevinho et al. (2012), Nogueira et al. (2012), Fea’s et al. (2012), Coronel et al. (2004) and De-Melo et al. (2016).

According to a preliminary study of botanical origin (Freitas et al., 2013) involving the same 62 samples, an inversely proportional relationship between the percentages of Cecropia was verified from the aerobic mesophiles, molds, yeasts and total coliform numbers. The total coliforms also presented a positive correlation with M. caesalpiniaefolia and M. scabrella. A positive correlation of E. coli with Amaranthus was found. For S. aureus, a positive correlation was verified with Ambrosia and Asteraceae.

All the 62 samples from eight Brazilian States and from the Federal District presented contamination by microorganisms. Only sulfite-reducing clostridia spores were absent from all the 62 samples analyzed. A stricter control on those handling the samples during the production is suggested, which must also occur at the depots along the product fractioning and packaging. The parameters assessed herein are not provided in the specific legislation for bee pollen and should have their inclusion considered by regulatory agencies. This would possibly establish specific microbiological standards for bee pollen. The samples analyzed generally correspond to the limits established by the incipient Brazilian Legislation for the ash, lipid and protein parameters, except for the moisture content of the samples, which exceeded the 4% limit established by the Brazilian Legislation for dehydrated samples. Result variation for moisture can also be attributed to data obtained by different methods. A regulatory legislation should state the method to be adopted by all producers of beekeeping pollens.
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