Floral parts of *Gomphrena globosa* L. as a novel alternative source of betacyanins: Optimization of the extraction using response surface methodology
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1. Introduction

Colour is often the first sensory quality by which we judge all the things that surrounds us including food and food products. Colourants have been used for a long time in the food industry, and the major reason is to improve the attractive and appetizing of foods for the final consumer (Chen, Mou, Hou, Riviello, & Ni, 1998). This kind of additives are also used to compensate the colour loss due to the conditions of manufacturing and storage, enhance natural colour, provide colour to a colourless food, but also to allow the consumers to identify some products by sight (González, Gallego, & Valcárcel, 2002).

Food dyes or colorants can be classified as natural (or nature-identical) or synthetic; natural dyes when compared with the synthetic ones have a lower tinctorial strength, and are more sensitive to environmental conditions such as light, temperature, pH, among others. Due to their potential harmful features, the usage of most synthetic pigments has been restricted, becoming extremely important the application of natural colorants in the food industry (Amchova, Kotolova, & Ruda-Kucerova, 2015; Martins, Roriz, Morales, Barros, & Ferreira, 2016). This relates not only to the restrictions in using synthetic dyes, but also to the need to satisfy consumers, which over time become more and more demanding about the quality of the products they are purchasing.

Today, most consumers prefer foods without additives or with natural additives in place of the synthetic ones, which have been associated with some toxic effects (Gengatharan, Dykes, & Choo, 2015). There are many natural dyes used in the food industry, in particular carotenoids, anthocyanins and betalains. The betalains are very similar to the anthocyanins, and include compounds having colours ranging from red-violet (betacyanins) to yellow-orange (betaxanthins) (Carocho, Morales, & Ferreira, 2015). Betacyanin structures have some variations in the acyl groups and sugar moieties; the basic structural unit of most of the betacyanins is betanidin, followed by its C15 epimer, so a considerable number of different betacyanins can be obtained by glycosylation of one of the hydroxyl groups (Delgado-Vargas, Jiménez, & Paredes-López, 2000).

Table 1 shows a bibliographic summary of betalains content from different plant materials. Among the various sources of
Table 1
Bibliographic summary of betacyanins content from different source materials using maceration extraction techniques and different conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source material</th>
<th>Plant part</th>
<th>Extraction conditions</th>
<th>Total content (mg/g)</th>
<th>Main compound</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Beta vulgaris</em> L. cv. (Cardeal-F1, Egyptian, Bicor, Kestrel)</td>
<td>Fresh leaf</td>
<td>Methanol 4 60 2.23</td>
<td>Lampranthin II</td>
<td>Vulic´ et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Disphyma australis</em> (W. T. Aiton)</td>
<td>Fresh leaf</td>
<td>Methanol 100 5 0.47</td>
<td>Isogomphrenin III</td>
<td>Silva et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phytolacca americana</strong> L.</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>Methanol:Water (80:20) – – 0.07 to 16.90</td>
<td>Amaranthine</td>
<td>Li et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Iresine herbstii</em> Hook. ex Lindl.</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Methanol:Water (80:20) – – 1.54</td>
<td>Iresinin I</td>
<td>Jerz et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Talinum triangularare</strong> (Jacq.) Wild</td>
<td>Leaf, stem, flower</td>
<td>Methanol:Water (80:20) – – 0.06 to 4.13</td>
<td>Isogomphrenin III</td>
<td>Silva et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amaranthus caudatus</em> L.</td>
<td>Leaves, stalks, seeds, flower</td>
<td>Methanol:Water (80:20) – – 0.23 to 0.56</td>
<td>Amaranthine</td>
<td>Li et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amaranthus hypochondriacus</em> L.</td>
<td>Leaves, stalks, seeds, flower, spouts</td>
<td>Methanol:Water (80:20) – – 1.23</td>
<td>Betanaxthins</td>
<td>Schliemann et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hylocereus polyrhizus</em> (Weber) Britton &amp; Rose</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
<td>Ethanol:Water (80:20) – – 0.79</td>
<td>Phyllocactin</td>
<td>Taira, Tsuchida, Katoh, Uehara, and Og i (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amaranthus cruentus</em> L.</td>
<td>Stalks, seeds</td>
<td>Methanol:Water (80:20) – – 0.23 to 0.56</td>
<td>Amaranthine</td>
<td>Li et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Celosia argentea</em> var. cristata (L.) Kuntze</td>
<td>Inflorescences, Leaf</td>
<td>Methanol:Water (80:20) – – 1.34 to 3.16</td>
<td>Amaranthine</td>
<td>Schliemann, Cai, Degenkolb, Schmidt, and Corke (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Celosia argentea</em> var. plumosa (Burvenich) Voss</td>
<td>Epidermal Layers of stem</td>
<td>Inflorescences</td>
<td>Betaxanthins</td>
<td>Schliemann et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a mg/g of dry weight.  
 b mg/g of fresh weigh.
betalains, the most explored one is red beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.), due to its very high concentration in these pigments (*Nemzer et al., 2011*), but there are less explored alternative sources, such as flowers from the amaranthaceae family, *Gomphrena globosa* L. Native to Latin America and commonly known as globe amaranth, this plant contains a variety of compounds with biological activity, being betacyanins one of them. Therefore, this plant is a good candidate as an alternative source to obtain the mentioned pigments (*Roriz, Barros, Carvalho, Santos-Buelga, & Ferreira, 2014*).

Different solid-liquid systems (maceration, microwave, ultrasound, among many others) are available for the extraction of compounds. There is not a universal approach better than the others, focussing in reducing the time of extraction, amount of solvents, the energy costs and the degradation patterns (*Alonso-Salces et al., 2001; Dai & Mumper, 2010; Ince, Şahin, & Şimnü, 2013*). Betacyanins are generally extracted by maceration extraction technique with water as the main solvent, but aqueous organic solvent mixtures have shown certain improvements in the final extractions yields obtained. Maceration extraction is a conventional method easily transferable to industrial scale and traditionally used in the extraction of bioactive compounds. The main advantage is its simplicity, but if the variables are not properly optimized, very often requires long time periods and high temperatures resulting in high-energy costs and bioactive compounds degradation.

The maceration extraction depends on several process variables whose values cannot be generalized for all matrices due to their specificity in terms of composition and target compounds. Thus, the optimization of the variables involved in the process is needed to select the best conditions to ensure a maximum yield, minimum time, energy and solvent consumption, squeezing the utmost from the maceration system. Traditionally, optimization is achieved by monitoring the influence of one factor at a time. However, by using the response surface methodology (RSM), optimization is done simultaneously and in a more precise manner obtaining polynomial models able to describe within the experimental range tested the optimal conditions that maximize the response criteria used (*Bezerra et al., 2008; Ferreira et al., 2007; Kalil & Maugeri, 2000*).

Therefore, the aims of the present study were: 1) to develop a process in a pre-industrial form for the isolation of the floral parts (mainly bracts and bracteoles of *G. globosa* flowers); and 2) optimize the primary variable conditions of the maceration system (time, temperature, ethanol-water proportion and solid-liquid ratio) and maximize betacyanins extraction assisted by the statistical RSM technique, contributing to the understanding of the potential of betacyanins from *G. globosa* for industrial applications.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Reagents

Acetonitrile of HPLC grade and ethanol p.a. were purchased by Fisher Scientific (Lisbon, Portugal). Water was treated in a Milli-Q water purification system (TGI Pure Water Systems, Greenville, SC, USA). All other chemicals and solvents were of an analytical grade and purchased from common suppliers.

2.2. Sample collection

*Gomphrena globosa* L. plants were purchased by Ervital, a Portuguese company from Castro Daire, established in a mountain region full of diversity. This company markets different certified plant material with different origins such as local spontaneous species and farming exogenous species. After harvesting the plants, they processed them by in-storage and low temperature drying methods. After reception and botanical identification of the plant material, carried out by Dr. Ana Maria Carvalho, responsible of the medicinal plant collection of the Herbarium of the School of Agriculture, Polytechnic Institute of Bragança (Portugal), the plant material was subjected to a mechanical separation, in order to isolate the flowers (bracts and bracelets), that are considered the pigmented parts, from the inflorescences.

2.3. Betacyanins or structural analog compounds used as standards for quantification purposes

For quantification purposes three different criteria were evaluated as possible standards: 1) commercial product of betanin (*C₃₄H₄₂N₂O₁₃, Mw = 550.47*, obtained from red beet extract diluted with dextrin, CD5000584) acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA); 2) gomphrenin III (*C₃₃H₃₅N₂O₁₉, Mw = 728 g/mol*) isolated by preparative Liquid Chromatography (LC); and 3) amaranthine (*C₉₀H₅₃N₂O₁₉, Mw = 726.6 g/mol*) with extinction coefficient (ε) of 56.6 × 10³ L/mol.cm (*Casique-Arroyo, Martínez-Gallardo, De La Vara, & Délano-Frier, 2014; Piattelli, de Nicola, & Castrogiovanni, 1971*).

The preparative separation was performed with an extract of *G. globosa* using an Agilent 1260 Infinity series Preparative LC (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). This equipment consisted of a thermostated autosampler, 2 coupled preparative pumps, which formed a binary system, a diode array detector and a thermostated sampler collector, controlled by OpenLab CDS Chemstation Workstation software (version C.01.D4; Agilent Technologies). The separation was performed using an Agilent Prep-C18 column (5 μm, 21.1 mm × 150 mm, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) thermostated at 35 °C. The solvents used were: (A) 2.5% acetic acid in water and (B) methanol, being the gradient elution as followed: from 20 to 40% B for 10 min, 40% B for 5 min, from 40 to 43% B for 5 min, from 43 to 45% B for 5 min, from 45 to 50% B for 5 min, from 50 to 100% B for 10 min, and 100% B for 10 min, using a flow rate of 15 mL/min. The chromatogram was recorded at 530 nm, which is the maximum absorbance for betacyanins and the compound corresponding to gomphrenin III (most abundant compound in the sample) was collected in a sampler collector.

Afterwards, the samples were concentrated using a SpeedVac™ Systems (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA), in order to remove the methanol and further lyophilized to obtain the dry compound. The purity of gomphrenin III was confirmed by HPLC-PDA and used to obtain a 7-level calibration curve (1000–50 μg/mL) for the spectrophotometric assay (*y* = 0.00481x + 0.001, *R²* = 0.9986) and HPLC-PDA system (*y* = 16,003x − 139,539, *R²* = 0.9995).

2.4. Maceration extraction

The powdered samples of the selected pigmented parts were extracted at different time (*t*), temperature (*T*), water-ethanol proportion (*E*L) and solid/liquid ratio (*S/L*) ranging as defined by the RSM design. The solvent volume was fixed at 20 mL and samples were stirred using a CIMPARE i Magnetic Stirrer with a fixed agitation speed (500 rpm, Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). After that, the mixture was filtered and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. The pellet was discarded and the supernatant was carefully collected and divided in two parts. One part was used to quantify the powder yield extract and its light intensity. The second part of the supernatant was employed to quantify the total betacyanin compounds content by: 1) reading the extracts absorbance in a spectrophotometer (AnalytikJena, Jena, Germany) at 530 nm (subtracting the turbidity absorbance obtained at 650 nm); and 2) HPLC-PDA-MS/ESI analysis identifying the individual betacyanin compounds. Part A of Fig. 1 shows a diagram...
of the different steps carried out for the betacyanins extraction, identification and quantification.

2.5. Responses criteria used to evaluate the extraction process

2.5.1. Quantitative techniques for betacyanins identification and/or quantification

2.5.1.1. Spectrophotometric method for the quantification of betacyanins content.

For each sample, total colour compounds were measured in the spectral range for betacyanins maximum absorbance at the $\lambda = 530$ nm. As for the quantitative examination, a calibration curve was obtained after reading the absorbance of known compound concentrations and the results were expressed in mg of the total colour compounds in the spectral range of betacyanins per g of dry weight G. globosa plant (mg/g).

2.5.1.2. Betacyanins identification and quantification by HPLC-PDA-MS/ESI.

An LC-PDA analysis was performed for betacyanins determination, using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UPLC instrument (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) coupled with a diode-array detector. The chromatographic system contained a quaternary pump, an autosampler maintained at 5 °C, a degasser, a photodiode-array detector and an automatic thermostatic column compartment. The chromatographic separation was done by a Waters Spherisorb S3 ODS-2 C18 (3 μm, 4.6 mm × 150 mm, Waters, Milford, MA, USA) column thermostatted at 35 °C. The solvents used were: (A) 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water, (B) acetonitrile. The gradient elution followed these parameters: 10% B for 3 min, from 10 to 15% B for 12 min, 15% B for 5 min, from 15 to 18% B for 5 min, from 18 to 30% B for 20 min, from 30 to 35% B for 5 min, and from 35 to 10% B for 10 min. Sixty minutes was the resulting total run time, followed by column reconditioning of 10 min, using a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The chromatogram was recorded at 530 nm, which is the maximum absorbance for betacyanins. The identification of these compounds were previously performed by authors (Roriz et al., 2014) and confirmed in this study. Four betacyanin compounds were found: P1, gomphrenin II ([M–H]– at m/z 697) at $t = 39.7$ min (C$_{33}$H$_{32}$N$_2$O$_{15}$); P2, gomphrenin III ([M–H]– at m/z 727) at $t = 40.2$ min (C$_{34}$H$_{34}$N$_2$O$_{16}$); P3, isogomphrenin II ([M–H]– at m/z 697) at $t = 41.2$ min (C$_{33}$H$_{32}$N$_2$O$_{15}$); and P4, isogomphrenin III ([M–H]– at m/z 727) at $t = 42.2$ min (C$_{34}$H$_{34}$N$_2$O$_{16}$). For quantitative analysis, a calibration curve was obtained by injection of compound known concentrations and the results were expressed in mg of the compound per g of dry weight of G. globosa (mg/g).

2.5.2. Colour parameters analysis

A Minolta spectrophotometer (Konica Minolta Sensing, Inc., Chroma Meter CR-400, Japan) was used to measure colour of the extract powder. Using the illuminant C and a diaphragm aperture of 8 mm, the CIE $L^* a^* b^*$ colour space values were reported through the computerized system using colour data software Spectra Magic Nx (version CM-S100W 2.03.0006, Konica Minolta Company, Japan).
Average values were considered to determine the colour coordinates, where L* represents lightness, a* represents chromaticity on a green (−) to red (+) axis, and b* represents chromaticity on a blue (−) to yellow (+) axis. Since the colour that we are interested of is the red one, only measurements of parameter a* were taken into account for further analysis. The instrument was calibrated with standard white tiles before analysis (Spectra Magic NX Instruction Manual, Konica Minolta Sensing, Inc. (ver. 2.0), 2009, Japan).

2.5.3. Extraction yield determination
The residue determination was achieved after drying a portion of the filtered sample (10 mL) in an oven at 60 °C to evaporate the ethanol, and then elevated at 100 °C to evaporate the residual water. After the complete drying of the sample, it was removed from the oven and allowed to cool, and the residue was calculated by difference.

2.6. Experimental design, model analysis and statistical evaluation

2.6.1. Experimental design
The influence of different independent variables was investigated using one-factor-at-a-time to select the significant ones and to determine the preliminary range of the processing variables. Based on these experimental results (data not shown), the variables $X_1$ (time or $t$, min), $X_2$ (temperature or $T$, °C), $X_3$ (ethanol proportion or $E_t$, %) and $X_4$ (solid/liquid ratio or $S/L$, g/L) were selected for the RSM design. Therefore, the combined effect of these four variables on the production of betacyanins (maximizing responses individually or globally) was studied using central composite design as proposed by Box, Hunter, and Hunter (2005). The responses were solved using 25 independent combinations and 4 replicates at the centre of the experimental domain. In this design, the points of experiments are generated on a sphere around the centre point. The centre point is supposedly an optimum position for the response and is repeated to maximize the prediction (Box & Hunter, 1957). This design also requires 5 levels of each factor. Experimental runs were randomized to minimize the effects of unexpected variability in the observed responses. A detailed description of the mathematical expressions to calculate the design distribution and to decode and code the tested variable’s ranges can be found in the Supplemental material section (Table A1).

2.6.2. Mathematical model
The response surface models were fitted by means of least-squares calculation using the following second-order polynomial equation:

$$Y = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i X_i + \sum_{i,j=2}^{n-1} b_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j X_j^2$$  \hfill (1)

where $Y$ is the dependent variable (response variable) to be modelled, $X_i$ and $X_j$ define the independent variables, $b_0$ is the constant coefficient, $b_i$ is the coefficient of linear effect, $b_{ij}$ is the coefficient of interaction effect, $b_j$ the coefficients of quadratic effect and $n$ is the number of variables. As dependent variable responses were used the yield extract, the analytical colour parameter $a^*$ as qualitative response and the quantitative responses of betacyanin compounds either by spectrophotometric and chromatographic analysis.

2.6.3. Procedure to optimize the variables to a maximum response
For optimization of betacyanin compounds extraction, a maximized process of the model produced responses was achieved, using a simple method tool to solve non-linear problems (Heleno et al., 2016; Pinela et al., 2016). Limitations were made to the variable coded values to avoid unnatural conditions (i.e., times lower than 0).

2.7. Fitting procedures and statistical analysis
The fitting procedures of equations to the responses were performed on a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Coefficients estimation and statistical calculations of the experimental results to the proposed equations were carried out in three phases:

1) Coefficients estimation was obtained by minimization of the sum of quadratic differences between the observed and model-predicted values, using the nonlinear least-squares (quasi-Newton) method provided by the macro Solver in Microsoft Excel (Kenmer & Keller, 2010).

2) The significance of the coefficients of the parametric confidence intervals was calculated using the “SolverAid” (Prikler, 2009). The model was simplified by dropping terms, which were not statistically significant ($p$-value > 0.05).

3) The uniformity of the model was checked by applying the following statistical assessment criteria: a) The Fisher F-test ($\alpha = 0.05$) was used to determine whether the constructed models were consistent to describe the observed data; b) The ‘SolverStat’ macro was used for the assessment of the parameter and model prediction uncertainties (Comuzzi, Polese, Melchior, Portanova, & Tolazzi, 2003); c) $R^2$ and $R_{adj}^2$ were interpreted as the proportion of variability of the dependent variable explained by the model; d) The fitting to experimental data was evaluated by calculating the bias and accuracy factors of all equations, such as the Mean Squared Error (MSE), the Root Mean Square of the Errors (RMSE), the Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE); and the Durbin-Watson coefficient (DW).

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Producing food colorants from the floral part of G. globosa

The pigmented portion of the plant is only a part of the inflorescence, so to not compromise the effectiveness of the process it is necessary to separate the parts of interest from the remaining plant material. This will increase the effectiveness of the pigment extraction, without the interference of other portions of the plant that are not pigmented. To overcome this problem a mechanical separation process was used for isolating the pigmented parts (bracts and bracteoles) from the flowers within the inflorescence. Parts B and C of Fig. 1 show, respectively, the relevant morphological parts of G. globosa and the mechanical separation process to isolate the pigmented parts (bracts and bracteoles) from the flower heads. In the first phase of the separation process, the pigmented parts of the flower within bracts and bracteoles (52% of the inflorescence) were removed from the bract-like leaf-pair (12% of the inflorescence) and heads (36% of the inflorescence) in a rotary sieve device. Then, in the second phase, the flowers within bracts and bracteoles were blended and sieved (2 μm) to separate the fibrous inner part of the flower (non-pigmented, 66% of the flowers and 34% of the inflorescence) from the pigmented parts (34% of the flowers and 18% of the inflorescence). By performing the separation process it was possible to concentrate the pigmented parts approximately five times more than using the inflorescences. The process was automatized in two steps procedure for its industrial transference.
3.2. Optimization of the betacyanin compounds extraction by RSM

After separating the pigmented parts of the plant and selecting the most suitable options for compounds quantification, the extraction of the pigments was carried out. Table 1 shows a bibliographic summary of betalains content from different source materials using ME technique under different conditions in order to compare the results obtained with the results presented in this work. There are several factors that may interfere. For optimizing the extraction of the pigment molecules, it is necessary to take into account during the extraction process, factors like extraction time \((X_1, t)\), temperature \((X_2, T)\), type and proportion of the solvent used \((X_3, Et)\) and solid-liquid ratio used \((X_4, S/L)\). The RSM technique consists of an assembly of mathematical and statistical techniques that with the aid of a polynomial equation, helps to describe the behaviour of this data set with the goal of making statistical predictions. This technique becomes extremely useful when the response is influenced by several variables, and aims to optimize the levels of these variables in order to obtain the best performance from the system (Bezerra et al., 2008). Preliminary tests were examined individually to determine their experimental domain (keeping other ones constant) in order to obtain a proper RSM design by analysing their general pattern responses. In conclusion, the five level values of the four tested variables evaluated in a CCD RSM for the maceration process were: \(t\) (5–165 min), \(T\) (25–85 °C), \(Et\) (0–100%) and \(S/L\) (5–45 g/L). The processing conditions were optimized based on the pigmented residue extracted (yield), the analytical colour parameter \(a^*\) and the quantification of betacyanin compounds either by spectrophotometric (total amount) or chromatographic analysis (compounds identified as P1-4 and total amount). The experimental results for the 28 runs are presented in Table 2.

3.2.1. Development of theoretical response surface models and statistical verification

Fitting the models for the selected responses is crucial to elucidate how precisely the RSM mathematical model can predict ideal variances. The models for each response were built by fitting the second-order polynomial model of Eq. (1) (independent variables in coded values) to the experimental values (Table 2) through nonlinear least-squares estimations. The resulting models are presented below.

When the extraction yield response was considered:

\[
Y_{yield} = 40.1 + 0.9x_2 - 4.9x_3 - 2.8x_4 - 0.9x_1^2 - 1.3x_2^2 + 0.9x_1x_2 + 0.9x_1x_4 - 0.45x_1x_3 - 0.5x_3x_4
\]

(2)

When the peaks of the betacyanin compounds \((P1-4)\) and the total amount were considered:

\[
Y_{P1} = 1.4 - 0.2x_2 - 0.8x_3 - 0.6x_4 + 0.2x_1^2 + 0.5x_2^2 - 0.2x_1x_2
\]

(3)

\[
Y_{P2} = 2.5 - 0.5x_2 - 2.3x_3 - 1.0x_4 + 0.7x_1^2 + 1.0x_2^2 - 0.5x_1x_2 - 0.3x_3x_4
\]

(4)

\[
Y_{P3} = 1.5 - 0.2x_2 - 0.7x_3 - 0.5x_4 + 0.1x_1^2 + 0.3x_2^2 - 0.2x_1x_2
\]

(5)

\[
Y_{P4} = 2.1 - 0.4x_2 - 1.6x_3 - 0.6x_4 + 0.2x_1^2 + 0.5x_2^2 + 0.5x_3^2 - 0.3x_1x_2
\]

(6)

---

Table 2: Results of the response surface experimental plan for the optimization of independent variables of time \((t)\), temperature \((T)\), ethanol content \((Et)\) and solid-liquid ratio \((S/L)\) of the maceration system for the extraction of betacyanins. Response criteria comprise the following: \(Y\) yield of extraction, gomphrenin II content \((P1)\), gomphrenin III content \((P2)\), isogomphrenin II content \((P3)\), isogomphrenin III content \((P4)\) and total betacyanins content \((P1 + P2 + P3 + P4)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental domain</th>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>Betacyanins content by HPLC</th>
<th>Other resp.</th>
<th>P1 mg/g</th>
<th>P2 mg/g</th>
<th>P3 mg/g</th>
<th>P4 mg/g</th>
<th>TOTAL mg/g</th>
<th>ABS mg/g</th>
<th>Colour b°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X_1) (X_2) (X_3) (X_4)</td>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>(Y_{yield})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$Y_\text{p4} = 7.7 - 1.2x_2 - 5.5x_3 - 2.8x_4 + 1.5x_2^2 + 2.4x_4^2 - 1.2x_1x_2 - 0.6x_3x_4$  

(7)

When the spectrophotometric quantification and colour determination were considered:

$Y_\text{ARS} = 20.5 - 3.6x_2 - 3.8x_3 - 1.8x_4 - 1.9x_2^2 - 2.2x_4^2 - 2.1x_1x_2 + 1.7x_3x_4 - 2.3x_2x_4$  

(8)

$Y_\text{Colour} = 20.5 - 1.6x_1 - 5.6x_3 - 5.5x_4 + 1.2x_3x_4$  

(9)

where $x_1$ (extraction time), $x_2$ (temperature), $x_3$ (ethanol proportion) and $x_4$ (solid/liquid ratio), $Y$ is the response, sub-indices indicate the analytical criteria used as responses for RSM.

Because some coefficients were statistically non-significant, as described in Table A2, only those parameters of Eq. (1) that showed statistically significant confidence intervals (or in other words that the effects of their variables were important) were used for developing the models of Eqs. (2) to (9). This is well documented in the bibliography in which only the parameters useful to predict the results of untested operating conditions are considered (Ranic et al., 2014) and the complexity of the mathematical equations can be related to the number of factors that affect the response. Several “lack of fit” tests were used to evaluate the competence of the obtained models (Eqs. (2) to (9)) and it was confirmed that no considerable improvement was achieved by the exclusion of the statistically non-significant effects (Table A2). This was also verified by the values of $R^2$ and $R^2_\text{adj}$ (Table A2) that did not show any drop in their numerical value by excluding these non-significant coefficients.

In mathematical terms, the sign of the effect of the coefficients of the models marks the performance of the response, when a coefficient shows a positive effect, the response pattern increases as the variable increases, and when a coefficient has a negative effect, the response decreases as the variable increases. The higher the absolute value of a coefficient is, the more important the weight of the corresponding variable is.

In this regard, different response criteria lead to diverse complex model solutions. In general, all of them present a combination of linear, quadratic and interactive parameters to describe the patterns of their individual behaviour. Being the only exception the colorimetric response (Eq. (9)) in which the variable time ($x_2$) did not characterize any substantial effect, but in all others, the four variables involved played a significant role. Nonetheless, based on the mathematical expressions, the response of the yield extraction (Eq. (2)) was the most complex one, showing high number of interactive coefficients between the variables with a high numeric value. The model with less parametric solutions was the colorimetric response of Eq. (9). All the other models (Eqs. (3) to (8)), representing the effects for the four HPLC compounds quantification of betacyanins identified (P1, P2, P3 and P4), the total sum of HPLC compounds quantification identified and the spectrophotometric quantification, showed an intermediate complexity with linear and quadratic effects with some interactive terms.

In statistical terms, the tests used to evaluate the competence of the obtained models (Eqs. (2) to (9)), confirmed the consistency of the final solutions provided (Table A2). As verified by the values of $R^2$ and $R^2_\text{adj}$ showing in all cases numerical values higher than 0.9, indicating that the variability of each response is explained satisfactorily by the models (Table A2). Additionally, the distribution of residuals was always randomly scattered around zero and grouped data and autocorrelations were not observed (data not shown). This means that these models are practicable and can be applied in the subsequent prediction and optimisation stages. It also indicates a good agreement between the experimental and predicted values.

The patterns of the extraction can be explained by means of the parametric values of the second-order polynomial models described in Eqs. (2) to (9) as described above, or can be illustrated by graphical representations. Thus, Figs. 2, and A1 (supplementary material) show the 3D surface responses in 2D contour plots as a function of the four studied variables. The variables excluded in each contour graphs were positioned at the centre of their experimental domain, i.e., $t = 85$ min, $T = 55$ °C, $Et = 50$% and $S/L = 25$ g/L. From this graphical analysis and the information derived from the mathematical terms of the models developed, the response patterns of the variables to the responses criteria used is depicted satisfactorily and described in detail in the following section.

### 3.2.2. Effects to the extraction variables on the responses criteria that are used to evaluate the betacyanins content

The responses used as criteria to evaluate the betacyanin compounds extracted were the HPLC and spectrophotometric analysis as quantitative ones, and the colorimetric analysis as qualitative one. In general, all of them showed similar patterns with some minor differences that can be straightforwardly understood by the parametric content of the models (Table A2) or by the graphical representation of Fig. 2.

#### 3.2.2.1. Quantitative responses determined by HPLC and spectrophotometric analysis

In the HPLC profile of *C. globosa* extracts (Fig. A2 part A), it was possible to notice four main peaks corresponding to gomphrenin II at $t = 39.65$ min ($P1$, C$_{33}H_{32}N_2O_{15}$), gomphrenin III at $t = 40.21$ min ($P2$, C$_{32}H_{42}N_2O_{16}$), isogomphrenin II at $t = 41.23$ min ($P3$, C$_{33}H_{32}N_2O_{15}$) and isogomphrenin III at $t = 42.15$ min ($P4$, C$_{33}H_{42}N_2O_{16}$). All compounds were affected in a similar mode by the processing conditions, as can be observed comparing each contour graph showed in Fig. A1 (supplementary material) and in the correlation matrix presented in Table A3 (supplementary material). The same patterns are observed when the total sum of the four peaks ($P1 + P2 + P3 + P4$) is analysed and presented in Fig. 2. For representation purposes, the variables excluded in each contour graphs were positioned at the centre of their experimental domain, i.e., $t = 85$ min, $T = 55$ °C, $Et = 50$% and $S/L = 25$ g/L. In general, for all the HPLC responses the following patterns could be observed:

- It was verified that shorter $t$ and high $T$ or high $t$ and shorter $T$ lead to an increase on the extraction of betacyanin compounds, whereas in any other combination, the extraction will gradually be decreased, probably due to degradation phenomena or insufficient extraction conditions. The patterns described show complex interactions with linear, quadratic and interactive effects.
- The effect of the solvent proportion followed a nearly linear relation and water ($Et = 0$%) was the preferred extraction solvent condition. In fact, betacyanin compounds are polar molecules, so the extraction yield increases with increasing water content according to the “like dissolves like” principle (Schmid, 2001). Additionally, water may enhance swelling of cell material, increasing the contact surface area between plant matrix and solvent, resulting also in an increased extraction yield (Hayat et al., 2009).
- As expected, the $S/L$ had linear, quadratic and occasionally some interactive effects with decreasing patterns with the increase of the $S/L$, showing the lower ratio (5 g/L) as the condition that favoured the extraction of betacyanin compounds. On an industrial scale, high ratios are desirable since it is important to maximize the extraction with a minimal solvent consumption, but the information provided will guide to perform more appropriate conditions.

Regarding the spectrophotometric results, similar conclusions as those found in the HPLC were determined, however the HPLC
Fig. 2. Matrix combination of the spectrophotometric (ABS), total betacyanins content (P₁ + P₂ + P₃ + P₄), % yield of the extracted residue, and colour intensity (a* values) responses obtained by using Eqs. (2), (7), (8) and (9). For representation purposes, the variables excluded in each 3D graph were positioned at the centre of their experimental domain (t = 85 min; T = 55 °C; Et = 50%; and SL = 25 g/L). The obtained parametric fitting values are presented in Table A2.
results did not correlate with the results found in the spectrophotometric analysis, as it can be observed in Table A3 (supplementary material). Shorter values of $t$ and high $T$ or high $t$ and shorter $T$ lead to an increase in the extraction of betacyanin compounds. The solvent proportion effect was the variable that causes the lack of correlation showing major differences in respect to the HPLC results, founding linear and quadratic patterns that lead to a high extraction pattern at intermediate values of water-ethanol rather than in water. This issue will be discussed later on together with the unreliable consequences of using spectrophotometric results for quantification. The $S/L$ had mainly linear effects with decreasing patterns as the $S/L$ increases.

3.2.2.2. Qualitative response determined by colorimetric analysis. The effects of the studied independent variables on the colorimetric analysis are presented in Fig. 2. The patterns were similar to the quantitative analysis but with simple and less interactive result solutions. However, the HPLC results did not correlate with the results found in either spectrophotometric or colorimetric analysis, as it can be seen in Table A3 (supplementary material). The $t$ effect was not found significant and the effect of $T$ was minor compared to the effects found in the other responses criteria. The solvent proportion and $S/L$ effects showed an important linear decrease with the increase in the variables, as also observed in the quantitative analysis.

3.2.3. Effects of the extraction variables on the extracted yield material response criterion

The effects of the studied independent variables on the extracted residue (% extraction yield) are presented in Fig. 2. In almost all variables, the parametric patterns described by the models (Table A2) show complex interactions with linear, quadratic and interactive effects. Shorter $t$ and high $T$ or high $t$ and shorter $T$ lead to an increase in the extraction of the extracted residue; any other condition will decrease yield material. The effect of the solvent proportion followed a hyperbolic decreasing relation with optimum values around 20% of ethanol, in contrast with betacyanin compounds affinity to polar molecules. The $S/L$ had a nearly linear decreasing pattern with the increase of the $S/L$ showing the lower ratio (5 g/L), the condition that favoured yield of the residue material.

3.3. Optimal extraction conditions for maximizing the responses criteria

Table 3 and Fig. 3 show the operating conditions that maximize all the responses individually (% yield of extraction, colorimetric intensity, content of P1 to P4 betacyanin compounds and total betacyanins content) and globally.

The optimal processing conditions for each of the P1 to P4 betacyanin compounds were identical (165 min; 25 °C; 0% ethanol and 5 g/L) obtaining the following maximum compound recovery (mg/g; Media ± SD): 8.6 ± 0.5 for P1 (gomphrenin II), 17.9 ± 0.8 for P2 (gomphrenin III), 6.9 ± 0.5 for P3 (isogomphrenin II) and 13.5 ± 0.7 for P4 (isogomphrenin III). The optimal conditions for the total betacyanin compounds content (P1 + P2 + P3 + P4) were evidently at the same conditions of 165 min, 25 °C, 0% ethanol and 5 g/L yielding 45.1 ± 1.3 mg/g. In terms of the colorimetric intensity, the optimal processing conditions were 85 min, 25 °C, 0% of ethanol and 5 g/L allowing a maximum value of 48.3 ± 1.4 ($a^*$ values). The spectrophotometric determination showed the optimal conditions at 165 min, 25 °C, 0% of ethanol and 5 g/L obtaining a maximum of 39.0 ± 1.2 mg/g. Regarding the extraction of the residue material, the optimal processing conditions were 85 min, 85 °C, 20.9% of ethanol and 5 g/L allowing a recovery maximum of 51.9 ± 1.4%.

The global processing conditions that allowed to maximize all the evaluated responses were also calculated using a simplex method tool to solve linear problem. Restrictions were made to the variable coded values, in order to avoid the variable involved in the equations of being considered unnatural conditions (i.e. lower times than 0). These global processing conditions were based on middle processing of $t$ (132.1 min) and $T$ (28.5 °C) and low values of $E_t$ (0%) and $S/L$ (5 g/L) producing maximum responses of 45.64% of residue material, 7.73 mg of P1/g, 15.77 mg of P2/g, 6.19 mg of P3/g, 11.35 mg of P4/g, 39.86 mg of total HPLC compounds/g, 19.95 mg of total spectrophotometric compounds/g and 48.11 of colour intensity ($a^*$ values).

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating conditions that maximize the extraction of betacyanins from C. globosa and optimal response values for the parametric response criteria. Response criteria comprise the following: % yield of extraction, gomphrenin II content (P1), gomphrenin III content (P2), isogomphrenin II content (P3), isogomphrenin III content (P4) and total betacyanins content (P1 + P2 + P3 + P4).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optimal extraction conditions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Betacyanins content by HPLC</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphrenin II (P1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphrenin III (P2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isogomphrenin II (P3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isogomphrenin III (P4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (P1 + P2 + P3 + P4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other responses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLOUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global analysis based on all the response criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphrenin II (P1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphrenin III (P2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isogomphrenin II (P3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isogomphrenin III (P4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (P1 + P2 + P3 + P4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLOUR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. Comparing the quantification procedure and standards appropriated for determination of betacyanins

From the bibliographic material, two aspects need to be reviewed regarding the quantification procedure in the extraction of betacyanin compounds from *G. globosa* or similar plant matrices with betacyanins as the target compounds:

1) Standard compound applied to build the calibration curve. In general, the three common solutions are: 1) Commercial product of betanin (C_{24}H_{26}N_{2}O_{13}, Mw = 550.47, obtained from red beet extract diluted with dextrin), with a 44% of betanin purity (betanidin-5-O-glucoside, as tested in our laboratory) and with similar structure to those betacyanin compounds evaluated in our sample; 2) Isolated compound from sample matrix (in our case gomphrenin III, C_{33}H_{32}N_{2}O_{15}, Mw = 728 g/mol); and 3) Using the reported extinction coefficient of amaranthine ([ε] = 56.6 × 10^3 L/mol.cm, C_{30}H_{34}N_{2}O_{19}, Mw = 726.6 g/mol) as described elsewhere (Casique-Arroyo et al., 2014; Piattelli et al., 1971). The concentration range of the commercial betanin compound was 0.1 to 10 mg/mL for the spectrophotometer and 0.25 to 10 mg/mL for the HPLC determination, meanwhile for the isolated compound of gomphrenin III the range was 0.05 to 0.5 mg/mL for the spectrophotometer and 0.1 to 1.0 mg/mL for the HPLC determination.

2) The quantification technique employed. In general, to the best of our knowledge, there are two general options in literature: a) techniques that measured the global colour intensity, such as the spectrophotometric analysis, that are fast quantification systems but not very reliable due to the presence of very diverse range of compounds from natural sources that could interfere with the target compounds; b) techniques such as the HPLC systems that are described as a very reliable quantification alternative with the advantage of specifically identifying the pigmented compounds but involving a much greater experimental effort.

In order to review which technique and standard compounds that could be more suitable for the quantification of betacyanin pigments all combinatory solutions were evaluated.

The calibration curve with the commercial product of betanin lead to the highest betacyanin compounds quantification results followed by the compound of gomphrenin III isolated from our plant material sample (Fig. A2 part A shows the HPLC-PDA profile and molecular structure of the betacyanin pigments present in *G. globosa*) and followed by the results derived using the reported extinction coefficient of amaranthine compound. Using the available data derived from Table 2, it was concluded that the results derived from the molar extinction coefficient of amaranthine ([ε] = 56.6 × 10^3 L/mol.cm) estimated ~10 times lower results of betacyanins content than those found with the compound isolated in our sample (gomphrenin III) and ~100 times lower than those found with the commercial standard of betanin. Although the typical form to quantify betacyanins is performed by using the reported extinction coefficient of amaranthine, it is also very common to use extracts from red beet as an equivalent value for comparison purposes. However, the average differences were found surprisingly high, and more advanced analysis may be need to be addressed in order to clarify the most adequate standard compounds to quantify the total content of betacyanins in plant sample materials. In this work, the values of the commercial product of betanin and molar extinction coefficient of amaranthine were discarded and the only results computed were those obtained using the calibration curve of the isolated compound gomphrenin III ([ε] = 4967.6 L/mol.cm).
Regarding the spectrophotometric and HPLC quantification techniques employed, it is obvious that the HPLC is the most reliable one. However, it is common to perform evaluations only by direct spectrophotometric analysis. By using the results of the optimization responses obtained, it can be seen that the HPLC results did not correlate with the spectrophotometric results (Table A3, supplementary material). In this regard, it was found that the solvent proportion was the variable that causes the lack of correlation. As the proportion of ethanol increases over the 20% the differences between both quantification systems increases and as long as the proportion is lower than 20%, the quantification of betacyanins by both techniques match reasonably well. Fig. A2 part C shows the data reorganized as function of this rule and it can be seen that when the solvent proportion is lower than the 20% the correlation between the quantification results derived from the spectrophotometric and the HPLC techniques is identical, but when the solvent proportion is higher than the 20%, the spectrophotometric technique overestimates the amount of betacyanin compounds up to 3 times higher than the real amount. Since these differences cannot be attributed to the oxidation compounds generated during the extraction process (Fig. A2 part B), it can be hypothesized that as the solvent proportion increases also increases the extraction of other non-polar compounds that could have a similar range of light absorbance ($\lambda = 530$ nm) than the betacyanin polar compounds, causing the increase in the signal intensity and producing the overestimation of the real betacyanins content in the sample.

4. Conclusions

Betacyanins are betalain pigments that display a red-violet colour that have been reported to be three times stronger than the red-purple-blue dye produced by anthocyanins. The applications of betacyanins cover a wide range of matrices, mainly as additives or supplements in the food industry, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals and livestock feed. Although being less commonly used than anthocyanins and carotenoids, betacyanins are stable between pH 3 and 7 and well suited for colouring low acid matrices. In addition, betacyanins have been reported to display interesting medicinal character as powerful antioxidant and chemopreventive compounds in in vitro and in vivo models.

$G.\ globosa$ is a plant used typically to treat respiratory system diseases, diabetes, hypertension, urinary system conditions, as well as kidney and prostate problems, among others (Roriz et al., 2014). It is consumed preferentially in the form of infusion, but due to its extremely strong and attractive colour and composition, other potential uses not yet properly exploited could be developed for new purposes (Carocho et al., 2015).

In this work, a mechanical separation process for isolating the pigmented parts (bracts and bracteoles) from the flower heads was developed. The process has the potential to be transferred easily to an industrial form and comprise two main steps: a first phase in which the bracts and bracteoles were removed from the bract-like leaf-pair and heads in a rotary sieve device, and a second phase in which the bracts and bracteoles were blended and sieved (2 $\mu$m) to separate the fibrous inner part from the pigmented parts.

Then, the processing conditions ($T$, $E$, Et and S/L) of maceration for extracting the betacyanin compounds were successfully optimized in a five-level full factorial design to maximize the responses. The response criteria were the pigmented residue extracted (yield), the analytical colour parameter $a$ and the quantification of betacyanin compounds either by spectrophotometric (total amount) or chromatographic analysis (compounds identified P1–4 and total amount). In all cases, the system variables had significant effects on the studied responses. Different optimal conditions were determined for each of the responses, as well as the global processing conditions. These values would not be possible to be found without optimizing the conditions of the extraction of betacyanins, which moreover showed contrasting trends to what it has been described in the scientific bibliography. More specifically, concerning the time and temperature variables, in which an increase of both values (from the common ones used in the bibliography), showed a considerable improvement on the betacyanins yield content reducing the degradation patterns.

The proposed models were statistically validated by the high values of the adjusted determination coefficient ($R^2 > 0.90$) and non-significant differences between the experimental and predicted results were found.

Additionally, a discussion of the validity of the spectrophotometric analysis for the determination of betacyanin compounds as well as the available standard compounds for developing calibration curves for quantification purposes were raised. Our findings show that the spectrophotometric responses should be taken into consideration cautiously due to the probable extraction of other non-polar compounds that may absorb at similar ranges as betacyanin compounds causing overestimations up to three times higher than those notified by HPLC determination. Regarding the typical available standards used to develop calibration curves for betacyanins quantification showed higher differences that need to be reevaluated.

As a final highlight, this study reports the use of $G.\ globosa$ as a source of betacyanin compounds in an optimized system that would facilitate the production of extracts with high potential to be used as natural colorant additives.
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