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ABSTRACT
Iron oxide materials were synthesized by an easy and rapid hydrothermal method using different iron precursors (FeCl\textsubscript{2}6H\textsubscript{2}O, Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}9H\textsubscript{2}O and K\textsubscript{4}Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}3H\textsubscript{2}O), solution pHs (acid and basic) and reaction times (3 h and 6 h). The synthesized materials were thoroughly characterized by transmission electron microscopy and it was found that, depending on the synthesis conditions, iron oxide samples with crystalline or with amorphous structures could be obtained. Except for the materials synthesised from K\textsubscript{4}Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}3H\textsubscript{2}O and FeCl\textsubscript{2}6H\textsubscript{2}O under acidic conditions all the samples show mean particle sizes below 70 nm. The materials were further tested as catalysts for the degradation of 100 mg l\textsuperscript{-1} diphenhydramine aqueous solutions by the photo-Fenton process at 25 °C, pH = 2.8, 100 mg l\textsuperscript{-1} of catalyst and 16 mM of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} concentration. The best results in terms of pollutant removal and mineralization extent were obtained with the catalysts prepared from Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}9H\textsubscript{2}O and K\textsubscript{4}Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}3H\textsubscript{2}O, under basic conditions, after 3 h of synthesis reaction time (complete degradation of diphenhydramine and total organic carbon removal higher than 60% after 60 min of reaction). Leaching of iron species from these catalysts was measured below 1.5 mg l\textsuperscript{-1}, a value complying with European Union Directives.
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1. Introduction

In the last decade, nanomaterials have been gaining increasing attention due to their unique properties when compared with those of the bulk materials. The progress achieved on the synthesis of inorganic
nanostructures, in particular iron oxide nanoparticles, has been accompanied by the parallel exploitation of these systems in various fields, such as biology, medicine and environmental technology (Mornet et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2012). Several types of iron oxides exist in nature, such as α-Fe₂O₃, γ-Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄, and can be prepared in the laboratory using different methods such as co-precipitation (Miao et al., 2011), thermal decomposition (Zhu et al., 2011) and hydrothermal synthesis (Tadic et al., 2011). The application of this type of materials as catalysts in water clean-up based on hydrogen peroxide advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) has attracted increasing attention due to their high activity, surface area, uniform pore size distribution and stability (Hou et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2013). AOPs are known as relatively low cost, easy to operate and effective options for water purification and wastewater treatment, and they can be coupled with biological or traditional physico-chemical processes in order to design cost-effective solutions. Among the existing AOPs, the Fenton process (named after the Fenton’s reaction following the 1894 studies of Henry Fenton (Fenton 1894)) consists on the production of highly reactive hydroxyl radicals (HO⋅) from a mixture of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and Fe²⁺ ions at acidic pHs (2.5-3.0). This process can be assisted with ultraviolet and/or visible light (known as the photo-Fenton process) with the aim to accelerate the regeneration/reduction of Fe³⁺ into Fe²⁺ and restart the normal cycle of the Fenton reaction. In fact, the photo-Fenton process has proven to be a promising alternative for the degradation of a large number of hazardous water pollutants (Herney-Ramirez et al., 2010). Nevertheless, this process has some disadvantages such as the production of sludge, as well as the difficult recovery of the catalyst which is often mandatory because the maximum dissolved iron concentration allowed in European Union standards for discharge of treated waters is 2.0 mg l⁻¹ (as for example in the Portuguese legislation, Decreto-Lei 236/98), while for drinking water is 0.2 mg l⁻¹ (EU Council Directive 98/83/EC 1998). This is the main reason that drove the development of heterogeneous catalytic systems for photo-Fenton, with a special emphasis being given to iron oxide supported catalysts (Liu and You, 2013; Shih et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2012).

In this work, iron oxide materials were synthesized by a simple and rapid hydrothermal route and tested for the degradation of the emerging pharmaceutical pollutant diphenhydramine (DP) by the photo-Fenton process, aiming to understand the influence of synthesis parameters (iron precursor, pH and reaction time) on the characteristics of the synthesized materials and related catalytic activity and stability.

2. Experimental

2.1 Reagents

Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O, > 99.0%), iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, 98 wt.%), potassium hexacyanoferrate(II) trihydrate (K₄Fe(CN)₆·3H₂O, 98.5 wt.%), diphenhydramine hydrochloride (2-(diphenylmethoxy)-N,N-dimethyllethylamine hydrochloride, 99%), H₂O₂ (30% w/w) and 1,10-phenantrolinone (C₁₀H₈N₂, > 99%), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ammonia aqueous solution (25 wt.%) was obtained from Pronolab. All chemicals were used without further purification. Distilled water was used throughout the work.

2.2 Preparation of iron oxide materials and characterization

The iron oxide materials were synthesized by a hydrothermal process at 180 °C, adapting the procedures described elsewhere (Polshettiwar et al., 2009; Srivastava et al., 2010). Different metallic precursors (FeCl₃·6H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and K₄Fe(CN)₆·3H₂O), solution pHs (acid and basic) and reaction times (3 h and 6 h) were considered (as shown in Table 1), aiming to understand the influence of these parameters on the synthesis yield, particle size and morphology. In a typical synthesis procedure, 0.01 mol of the metallic precursor was dissolved in 50 ml of distilled water. When basic conditions were required for the synthesis, the pH was adjusted with a 25 wt.% ammonia aqueous solution, slowly dropping it into the iron solution. The resulting solution was then placed in a Teflon-sealed vessel inside a stainless steel autoclave and maintained at 180 °C in autogenous pressure during the desired reaction time. At the end of the synthesis method, the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature and the
obtained products were then recovered by filtration using a 0.45 μm cellulose nitrate membrane, washed with water and dried overnight at 40 °C. Dark or light brown/orange powders were obtained depending on the synthesis conditions used. A key for the produced samples and correspondent synthesis conditions is given in Table 1, as well as the yields obtained in each experiment, calculated according to Equation (1).

\[
\text{Yield(\%)} = \frac{\text{Mass of iron in the nanoparticles}}{\text{Mass of iron in the precursor}} \times 100
\]

For comparison with the synthesized catalysts, commercial iron oxide nanoparticles (Sigma-Aldrich Ref. 544884, hereafter referred as COM) were also considered in this work. The textural properties of the synthesized materials, as well as of the commercial sample, were assessed by nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms determined at 77 K in a Quantachrome NOVA 4200e equipment. Before analysis, all samples were outgassed for 8 h at 393 K. The apparent surface area was calculated by applying the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation (Brunauer et al., 1938). The samples were also characterized in detail by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), coupled with selected area electron diffraction (SAED) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDXS). These analyses were performed on a JEOL JEM-2100, operating at 200 kV, information on the morphologies and particle sizes being obtained.

Table 1. Synthesis conditions and respective yield of iron oxide particles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Reference</th>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA3</td>
<td>FeCl$_3$-6H$_2$O</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB3</td>
<td>FeCl$_3$-6H$_2$O</td>
<td>9.2*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB6</td>
<td>FeCl$_3$-6H$_2$O</td>
<td>8.2*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA3</td>
<td>Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$-9H$_2$O</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB3</td>
<td>Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$-9H$_2$O</td>
<td>8.1*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB6</td>
<td>Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$-9H$_2$O</td>
<td>9.2*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3</td>
<td>K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$-3H$_2$O</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB6</td>
<td>K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$-3H$_2$O</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Addition of ammonia aqueous solution (25%)

2.3. Photo-Fenton experiments

The photo-Fenton degradation of 100 wt.% (3.40×10$^{-4}$ M) of DP aqueous solutions was carried out at room temperature (25 °C) under near-UV/Vis irradiation. The experiments were performed in a quartz cylindrical reactor filled with 7.5 mL of the pharmaceutical pollutant, with an Heraeus TQ 150 medium-pressure mercury vapour lamp, used as irradiation source, delivering to the photoreactor an amount of irradiance equal to 33 mW cm$^{-2}$ (determined using a UV–Vis spectroradiometer USB2000+, OceanOptics, USA). A DURAN® glass cooling jacket with water recirculation was used to operate in the near-UV/Vis light (λ > 350 nm) and to control the temperature. Before turning on the lamp, the suspensions were saturated with an oxygen flow and magnetically stirred for 30 min to establish adsorption-desorption equilibrium. The time zero for the reaction was defined as the time when the lamp was turned on while H$_2$O$_2$ was simultaneously added.

The experiments were performed at pH 2.8, 100 mg l$^{-1}$ of catalyst and 16 mM of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration (stoichiometric relatively to the complete mineralization of the pollutant). The operational conditions were selected taking into account the effects of catalyst load, initial reaction pH and H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in the degradation of DP obtained in preliminary photo-Fenton experiments.

2.4. Analytical techniques

The concentration of DP was measured by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Hitachi Elite LaChrom system equipped with a Hydrosphere C18 column. The total organic carbon (TOC) was determined for selected samples using a Shimadzu TOC-5000A analyzer. The H$_2$O$_2$ concentration was determined by adding 1 ml of a 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution and 0.1 ml of TiO(SO$_4$) (15 wt.% in diluted
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3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

Table 1 shows that the highest synthesis yields were obtained using the FeCl₃·6H₂O precursor with an alkaline pH (8.2) and 6 h of synthesis at 180 °C, or when using the Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O precursor at the natural solution pH (1.5) during 3 h at 180 °C. In both cases, the yield is higher than 86%.

A more detailed analysis reveals that for each precursor the reaction yield strongly depends on the pH. The influence of pH on the reaction yield also depends on the precursor used. When FeCl₃·6H₂O is used as precursor and the synthesis takes place in alkaline conditions the yield is strongly increased: the yield obtained at acidic pH in 3 h was the lowest (10.8% for sample CA3), while at basic pH the yield increased to 68.2% for a pH value of 9.2 (sample CB3). When Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O is used as precursor, the reverse occurs in 3 h, i.e., the highest yield was obtained at acidic pH (86.3% for sample NA3) and the reaction yield decreases at basic pH (53.4% for sample NB3).

The influence of the reaction time is dramatic, as the yield increases more than 25% (66% in the case of sample HB6), regardless of the metallic precursor used, meaning that the formation of the particles is not complete after 3 h of reaction in all cases.

All the synthesized materials, as well as the commercial sample, presented very low BET surface areas (<20 m² g⁻¹). These materials were extensively characterized by TEM. The detailed results will be described in the following and a summary of this analysis is compiled in Table 2.

Table 2. Results obtained from Transmission Electron Microscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mean particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Morphology/crystal habits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA3</td>
<td>&gt; 2000, 100x700</td>
<td>Crystalline, blocky and prismatic crystals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Crystalline, mainly isometric (octahedral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Crystalline, mainly isometric (octahedral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Mainly amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Amorphous and crystalline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Crystalline, blocky crystals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3</td>
<td>&gt; 1000</td>
<td>Dendritic crystals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB6</td>
<td>&gt; 1000</td>
<td>Amorphous and dendritic crystals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COM</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Crystalline, isometric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 shows TEM micrographs of samples CA3, CB3 and CB6. Sample CA3 (Figure 1a) consists of quite large blocky crystals (particle sizes > 2000 nm) and smaller prismatic particles (mean particle sizes around 100x700 nm). In the prismatic particles a large amount of chlorine was also detected by EDXS. Regarding samples CB3 and CB6 (Figures 1b-c and 1d-f, respectively), a uniform size distribution is observed, resulting in isometric rather than irregular nanoparticles. The sample CB6, obtained after 6 h of synthesis, presents a very clear crystalline structure, which was confirmed by SAED analysis (inset in Figure 1e), in contrast with the structure of sample CB3 shown in Figure 1c with the respective SAED analysis, with less crystallinity. The particle size distribution of samples CB3 and CB6 were also determined from the TEM results and mean particle sizes about 50 nm were found (Table 2). Regarding sample NA3, which was synthesized under acidic conditions (Table 1), TEM reveals that the nanoparticles are mainly amorphous (Figure 2a), although some particles show clear crystalline structures (Figure 2b), as confirmed by SAED analysis (inset in Figure 2b). The size distribution is uniform and the mean particle size is 66 nm. On the other hand, sample NB3, obtained under basic conditions

H₂SO₄ to 1 ml of the liquid sample, and measuring the respective absorbance at 405 nm in a JASCO V-560 spectrophotometer (Eisenberg, 1943). The total iron concentration was measured at the end of the experiments using a colorimetric method with 1,10-phenantraline, measuring the absorbance at 510 nm (ISO 6332 1998).
after 3 h of reaction, presents a mixture of particles with amorphous structure, Figures 2c and 2d, and particles with some crystalline order, as shown in Figures 2e and 2f. The size distribution is also very uniform and a mean particle size of 64 nm was found.

Sample NB6 was also synthesized under basic conditions (Table 1), but with a reaction time of 6 h. Again, as observed for the previous samples, the nanoparticles show uniform size distribution (Figure 2g), mainly blocky crystals and clear crystalline structure (Figure 2h), which was confirmed by SAED analysis (inset in Figure 2g). Compared with sample NB3, obtained after 3 h of synthesis, sample NB6 puts in evidence the influence of the synthesis reaction time on the level of crystallinity of the iron oxide nanoparticles produced from \( \text{Fe(NO}_3\text{)}_3\cdot9\text{H}_2\text{O} \), as similarly observed for the nanoparticles produced from \( \text{FeCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O} \). The mean particle size obtained for NB6 was 44 nm, possibly reflecting the higher pH (9.2) of the initial precursor solution used in the corresponding synthesis experiment, when compared to that of the NB3 (8.1). Figures 3a-c show TEM micrographs of sample HB3. This sample consists of large fragments of dendritic type crystals without impurities. TEM images of the sample HB6 are shown in Figure 3d-f. It is noteworthy that the particles synthesized from \( \text{K}_4\text{Fe(CN)}_6\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (> 1000 nm) are very large compared to the particles synthesized from the other precursors (typically < 70 nm). In terms of morphology, sample HB6 consists of amorphous structures (Figure 3d), and some dendritic structures (Figure 3e) resulting from the dissociation in water of the \([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{4-}\) ion (Polshettiwar et al., 2009): having six equivalent cyanide atoms, the growth of the structure goes along its six crystallographical main directions. From EDXS analysis, it is possible to identify non-reacted precursor, which is in line with the synthesis reaction yield previously reported (Table 1).
For comparison, the commercial iron oxide sample (COM) was also analysed, revealing clear crystalline structures with isometric shape (Figure 4). For this sample a relatively non-uniform size distribution was observed with a mean particle size of 29 nm.

The morphologies and mean particle sizes obtained from TEM micrographs for the analysed samples are collected in Table 2. From the given data it is concluded that the synthesis reaction time is an important parameter, influencing the crystalline structure of the synthesized nanoparticles. The 6 h synthesis results in nanoparticles with higher crystallinity when the Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O precursors are used. In the case of the materials synthesized from K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$·3H$_2$O, the size is significantly larger and both amorphous and dendritic type structures are observed.
3.2. Photo-Fenton experiments

The activity of the prepared catalysts during the photo-Fenton runs was evaluated in the photodegradation of DP under near-UV/Vis irradiation (Figure 5).

![Figure 3. TEM micrographs and SAED patterns of (a-c) HB3 and (d-f) HB6 (inset (e): DF (dark-field) image).](image)

The kinetic parameters of the time profiles, as well as DP conversion (%), TOC conversion (%) and the concentration of iron species leached (mg l⁻¹), are gathered in Table 3. The selectivity of the reaction for non-organic compounds (\( S_{\text{NOC}} = \frac{X_{\text{TOC}}}{X_{\text{DP}}} \times 100 \)) was also included in Table 3. A blank experiment (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and UV-Vis) was performed in order to quantify the amount of DP degraded under non-catalytic conditions. In the absence of photocatalyst 57% of DP conversion and 5% of TOC removal was registered at the end of the 60 min experiment (Table 3). When the iron oxide catalysts are used, a significant increase of DP conversion (up to 100 %) and TOC removal (up to 80%) is observed for identical time of reaction. The results also show that NB3, HB3 and HB6 promote the highest DP conversion, all reaching complete removal in just 30 min, with pseudo first-order kinetic rate constants \( k \) of \( 331.7 \times 10^{-3} \) min⁻¹, \( 403.9 \times 10^{-3} \) min⁻¹ and \( 278.6 \times 10^{-3} \) min⁻¹, respectively, which are far better than those obtained with the commercial material, i.e. COM (81% of DP conversion after 30 min of reaction and \( k = 54.9 \times 10^{-3} \) min⁻¹).
The other iron oxide materials do display a photocatalytic activity for DP conversion however with a lower performance to that of the commercial material (Figure 5a).

Figure 4. TEM micrographs and SAED patterns of sample COM

The removal of DP from the aqueous solution obtained in the presence of the different iron oxide photocatalysts was paralleled by a similar qualitative H₂O₂ consumption (i.e. higher DP conversion results in higher H₂O₂ consumption). It should be noted that the magnitude of this consumption is always below the conversion of DP (as shown more clearly in Figure 6b), suggesting that the mineralization (i.e. conversion to only CO₂ and H₂O) is below 100% (as shown by S_NOC values, Table 3), thus indicating the presence of intermediate reaction compounds.

Table 3. DP (X_{DP}) and TOC (X_{TOC}) conversion, selectivity of the reaction for non-organic compounds (S_{NOC} = X_{TOC}/X_{DP} × 100) and leaching of iron species ([Fe]_{leached}) after 60 min of reaction. The pseudo first-order kinetic rate constant (k) of DP degradation, the coefficient of variation (k_{CV}) and regression coefficient (r²) are also included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>X_{DP} (%)</th>
<th>X_{TOC} (%)</th>
<th>S_{NOC} (%)</th>
<th>[Fe]_{leached} (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>k (10⁻³ min⁻¹)</th>
<th>k_{CV} (%)</th>
<th>r²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>24.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>43.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>21.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>331.7 ± 25.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB6</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>38.5 ± 3.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>403.9 ± 40.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>278.6 ± 15.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COM</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>54.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also the TOC conversion (%) analysis after 60 min (Table 3 and Figure 6a) is in-line with these findings, the following conversion trend being observed: HB3 > NB3 > NB6 > COM > CB3 > HB6 > CA3 > CB6 > NA3. These results indicate that the materials synthesized with both Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and K₃Fe(CN)₆·3H₂O...
as precursors, with a synthesis reaction time of 3 h and under alkaline medium (NB3 and HB3, respectively), present a markedly higher catalytic activity for DP degradation than the other materials. This is an interesting result considering that in view of the described characterizations, the crystallinity of the materials is not fully developed for these samples.

![Figure 5](image)

_**Figure 5.** (a) [DP]/[DP]₀ as function of time for photo-Fenton experiments and (b) respective H₂O₂ conversion as function of time obtained with the different samples_

The Fe leached to the solution was also determined for the catalysts at the end of the runs (Table 3 and Figure 6a). NB3 and HB3 lead to the highest leaching of iron species (1.4 and 1.3 mg l⁻¹, respectively) in comparison with the rest of the materials, which all presented values lower than 1 mg l⁻¹. Therefore, it can be assured that all the prepared catalysts present values below the maximum dissolved iron concentration allowed in European Union standards for discharge of treated waters (2.0 mg l⁻¹).

![Figure 6](image)

_**Figure 6.** (a) TOC conversion (X_TOC) and concentration of iron species leached ([Fe]_leached) at the end of the photo-Fenton experiments (60 min); and (b) DP and H₂O₂ conversions (X) after 20 min of reaction performed with the different samples_

4. Conclusions

Iron oxide materials are easily synthesized by a hydrothermal method using affordable iron precursors. The reaction yield is strongly dependent on the precursor used, pH and synthesis time. The highest yield was obtained in alkaline conditions when FeCl₃·6H₂O was used as precursor, and in acidic conditions in the case of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O. Morphology/crystal habits was also dependent on these conditions, namely: (i) for FeCl₃·6H₂O: crystalline blocky and prismatic crystals were obtained at low pH, and crystalline,
mainly isometric (octahedral), at basic pH; (ii) for Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O: mainly amorphous at acidic pH while crystalline blocky crystals were also observed at basic pH; and (iii) for K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$·3H$_2$O: mainly dendritic crystals at basic pH. The catalyst consisting of dendritic crystals has the highest photocatalytic activity, when assessing both pollutant removal and mineralization, and an acceptable leaching of iron species which complies with European Union Directives.
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